



The Ethics of Potentiality: Exploring the Moral Status of the Fetus (Bhrun-Nash)

Dr. Sunon Nandy

SACT – I, Surendranath Evening College, Email: Sunon84@gmail.com

Abstract: *Abortion (Bhrun-Nash) remains one of the most controversial issues in applied ethics, involving profound questions about the beginning of life, the moral status of the fetus, bodily autonomy, and the rights of pregnant women. This article examines the ethical dimensions of abortion through the lens of the ethics of potentiality. It explores the competing philosophical arguments surrounding fetal rights, women's reproductive autonomy, moral dilemmas, and the concept of personhood. Drawing upon classical and contemporary ethical theories, the article argues that abortion cannot be judged through a single moral principle; instead, every case demands careful ethical evaluation by considering the value of life, individual autonomy, and contextual circumstances.*

Keywords: *Abortion, Applied Ethics, Potentiality, Moral Status, Bodily Autonomy, Fetus, Women's Rights, Personhood.*

Introduction: The twenty-first century has witnessed the emergence of numerous ethical challenges alongside scientific and technological advancement. Issues such as environmental degradation, population growth, euthanasia, suicide, capital punishment, animal rights, and abortion have become central concerns within applied ethics. Unlike ancient societies, where morality was often determined by dominant social classes or religious authority, modern ethical philosophy seeks rational and universal principles that respect human dignity and individual rights.

Among these issues, abortion remains particularly controversial because it involves conflicting moral claims. On one hand stands the right of the fetus to life; on the other stands the pregnant woman's right to bodily autonomy and reproductive freedom. The ethical complexity lies in determining whether abortion is always immoral, always permissible, or morally acceptable only under particular circumstances.

Understanding Abortion: Abortion refers to the intentional termination of pregnancy before the birth of a child, resulting in the death of the fetus. It must be distinguished from miscarriage, which occurs naturally due to medical or biological reasons without deliberate human intention.

Applied ethics concerns itself only with intentional abortion because moral responsibility exists only where intention is involved.

The central ethical questions include:

- * When does human life begin?
- * Does the fetus possess moral rights?

- * Does the mother's right over her own body override fetal rights?
- * Under what circumstances, if any, is abortion morally justified?

The Moral Dilemma: The ethical debate surrounding abortion can be summarized through two opposing principles.

First Principle: Abortion is always morally wrong. This position argues that:

- * Human life begins at conception.
- * Life possesses intrinsic value.
- * Destroying fetal life is morally equivalent to killing an innocent human being.

Second Principle: Abortion is not always morally wrong. This position argues that:

- * The pregnant woman possesses autonomy over her own body.
- * The fetus depends entirely upon the mother's body.
- * Certain situations justify abortion ethically.

Neither principle completely resolves every moral situation, making abortion one of the most difficult problems in applied ethics.

The Ethics of Potentiality: One of the strongest arguments against abortion is based upon the principle of potentiality.

Although the fetus may not yet be a fully developed human person, it possesses the inherent potential to become one. Just as an acorn possesses the potential to become an oak tree, a fetus possesses the biological potential to become a complete human being.

Therefore, destroying a fetus may be understood as destroying a future human life.

Supporters of this position argue:

- * Life exists from conception.
- * Biological development is continuous.
- * Human identity begins with fertilization.
- * The fetus deserves moral respect because of its future potential.

If life itself possesses intrinsic value, then every stage of life—including fetal life—must also possess moral value.

The Personhood Debate:

Supporters of abortion distinguish between a fetus and a fully developed person. According to this view:

- * A fetus is biologically human but not yet a person.
- * Personhood requires characteristics such as consciousness, self-awareness, rationality, and the capacity to experience pleasure and pain.

- * During the early stages of pregnancy, these capacities are absent.

Consequently, early abortion cannot be equated with killing a fully developed human person.

This distinction forms one of the most influential philosophical arguments supporting abortion.

Women's Bodily Autonomy

Another major argument supporting abortion concerns bodily autonomy.

Every individual possesses fundamental rights over their own body.

Since the fetus develops inside the woman's body, proponents argue that she alone should determine whether pregnancy should continue.

This reasoning may be expressed logically:

Major Premise: Every individual has authority over their own body.

Minor Premise: The fetus exists within and depends upon the woman's body.

Conclusion: The woman has the moral authority to decide whether to continue the pregnancy. This argument has become central to modern feminist ethics.

The Father's Role: Critics of complete bodily autonomy argue that the fetus is created through the contribution of both parents.

Therefore:

- * The father's role cannot simply be ignored.
- * Decisions concerning abortion should not always depend exclusively upon the mother.

However, supporters respond that while biological contribution is shared, pregnancy itself is physically experienced only by the woman. Consequently, the ultimate decision should remain with the person whose body undergoes pregnancy.

Special Ethical Circumstances: Several circumstances complicate the ethical evaluation of abortion.

1. Risk to the Mother's Life: When continuing pregnancy threatens the mother's life, ethical reasoning generally favors saving the mother if both lives cannot be preserved. The intention is not to destroy fetal life but to save an existing life that can realistically be protected.

2. Pregnancy Resulting from Rape: Pregnancy following sexual assault presents severe psychological and emotional trauma.

Supporters argue:

- * The woman never consented to conception.
- * Continuing pregnancy may intensify lifelong psychological suffering.
- * Respect for autonomy requires allowing abortion.

3. Serious Fetal Abnormalities: Modern medical science can detect severe genetic or developmental abnormalities before birth. Some argue that abortion may be justified where survival or quality of life would

be profoundly compromised. Opponents respond that disability does not eliminate human dignity and cite examples such as Stephen Hawking, whose achievements demonstrate that disability alone cannot determine the value of life.

4. Mental Health: Pregnancy may significantly affect psychological well-being. Where continuing pregnancy causes severe mental illness or emotional suffering, some ethicists consider abortion morally permissible.

5. Economic Hardship: Some argue that extreme poverty makes responsible parenting impossible. Opponents counter that economic hardship should be addressed through social support, adoption, and welfare rather than abortion.

Arguments Against Abortion: The principal ethical arguments opposing abortion include:

- * Life begins at conception.
- * Human life possesses intrinsic value.
- * The fetus is innocent and defenseless.
- * Abortion destroys a potential human being.
- * Repeated abortions may involve medical risks.
- * Many religious traditions regard life as sacred and believe only God has authority over life and death.

These arguments emphasize the moral duty to protect vulnerable life.

Arguments Supporting Abortion: Supporters present several ethical considerations:

- * Respect for bodily autonomy.
- * Protection of women's physical and mental health.
- * Pregnancy resulting from rape or coercion.
- * Serious threats to maternal life.
- * Prevention of severe suffering.
- * Reproductive freedom.
- * Women's equality and self-determination.

Rather than claiming abortion is always morally justified, most contemporary philosophers argue that its moral permissibility depends upon specific circumstances.

Feminist Perspectives: Modern feminist ethics regards reproductive autonomy as a fundamental human right. According to feminist thinkers:

- * Women bear the physical, emotional, and social burdens of pregnancy.
- * Decisions concerning reproduction should primarily belong to women.
- * Denying abortion may constitute a violation of bodily integrity and personal liberty.

The slogan “Free abortion on demand”, associated with women’s liberation movements in the United Kingdom, reflects this commitment to reproductive rights.

Conclusion: Abortion remains one of the most complex and contested issues in applied ethics because it involves competing moral values rather than simple right and wrong. The ethics of potentiality emphasizes the inherent value of future human life, while arguments based on bodily autonomy stress the rights and dignity of the pregnant woman.

Neither perspective alone fully resolves every ethical dilemma. Cases involving threats to maternal life, rape, severe fetal abnormalities, mental health concerns, or socioeconomic hardship require careful contextual evaluation rather than rigid moral rules.

Ultimately, ethical decision-making regarding abortion should balance respect for fetal life with respect for women’s autonomy, dignity, and well-being. In modern applied ethics, responsible moral judgment demands compassion, rational deliberation, and sensitivity to the unique circumstances of every individual case.

References

- Bandyopadhyay, Tirthanath. (2006). “Abortion: Some Ethical Issues.” Eshona, Gurudas College, Kolkata.
- Harris, John & Holm, Søren. (2003). Abortion. In Hugh LaFollette (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Practical Ethics*. Oxford University Press.
- Nuttall, Jon. (1995). *Moral Questions*. Polity Press.
- Thiroux, Jacques P. (1990). *Ethics* (5th ed.). Prentice Hall.
- Thomson, Judith Jarvis. (1998). “A Defence of Abortion.” In Steven M. Cahn & Peter Markie (Eds.), *Ethics*. Oxford University Press.

Citation: Nandy. S., (2026) “The Ethics of Potentiality: Exploring the Moral Status of the Fetus (Bhrun-Nash)”, *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-4, Issue-06, June-2026.