BHARATI INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT (BIJMRD)

(Open Access Peer-Reviewed International Journal)

DOI Link : https://doi.org/10.70798/Bijmrd/04051001

Available Online: www.bijmrd.com | BIJMRD Volume: 4 | Issue: 05(1) | May 2026 | e-ISSN: 2584-1890

Digital Repatriation of Cultural Heritage Through AI Technologies: A Study

Dr. Debasish Das

Principal, Surendra Lal Das Teachers' Training College, Baba Saheb Ambedkar Education University (Erstwhile The West Bengal University of Teachers' Training, Education Planning and Administration), Howrah, Email: debasishdascal@gmail.com

Abstract: *In response, AI in digital cultural heritage repatriation has been considered in order to ameliorate age-old issues behind ownership, accessibility and conservation for displaced cultural artefacts. Most of these culturally and historically important objects tend to remain with foreign entities and restrict access for source communities. It is qualitative and analytical in that it examines digital repatriation projects at the moment and the development of digital tools such as AI 3D reconstructions, machine learning-based restoration and digital archiving technologies that enhance the ability to recreate and restore heritage assets in a virtualized form. There are great efficiencies of scale, accuracy, and accessibility on repatriation work with AI powered technologies based on digital repatriation technologies for realistic reconstruction, multilingual metadata creation and virtual museum experiences. By fostering ethical, inclusive and equitable community reintegration by using AI technology, these advances enhance the protection of cultural heritage and community empowerment process. The implications of AI in the context of digital repatriation are that it is an enabling and transformative process, which is not only related to physical repatriation, but is also a cultural justice instrument that not only allows world cooperation, dialogues and cross-disciplinary cooperation for preservation. To keep it going and retain sustainability and cultural sensitivity, ethical concerns regarding authenticity, data ownership and reliance on technology should be considered.*

Keywords: *Digital Repatriation, Cultural Heritage, Artificial Intelligence, Virtual Reconstruction, Heritage Preservation, Digital Archives.*

1.0 Introduction: Cultural Heritage, the body of artifacts, manuscripts, artworks, monuments, and intangible traditions, is the collective memory of societies throughout history. But much of this heritage is displaced by colonial acquisitions, war, illicit trafficking, and uneven power relations between nations. So many precious items still remain under the ownership of foreign institutions, fueling a continuous discussion regarding ownership, access, and restitution. Digital repatriation has in recent years been a very novel, even realistic answer for these challenges where virtual culture can be restored to its original, indigenous place via digital technologies. Digital repatriation also includes the production, maintenance, and dissemination of high-resolution digital representations of cultural artifacts through 3D scanning, high-resolution imaging, and virtual reality platforms. It's not to replace objects being returned in the physical sense but broadens the access of people who have traditionally not been able to participate in cultural heritage activities or don't live close to it. This method likewise stimulates pedagogical, cultural reintegration, and cross-border interdisciplinary research. The application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies has dramatically

changed the landscape and the impact of digital repatriation. AI-enabled solutions including machine learning, computer vision, and natural language processing support automated artifact identification, salvage for damaged or incomplete objects, metadata creation, and multilingual access. AI can, for example, reconstruct missing fragments from ancient sculptures, refine faded manuscripts, and generate immersive virtual environments that simulate historical contexts. These potential tools not only enhance the quality and accuracy of digital archives, but also make heritage content interactive and user-friendly, democratizing accessibility to information in an ever-expanding space. Additionally, AI aids large-scale digitization and organization of museum collections and provides an efficient catalog of exhibitions to organizations. In addition to this, AI-powered collaborative platforms allow source communities to actively curate and interpret their own heritage, dealing with issues of representation and cultural sensitivity. But AI applications across digital repatriation raise troubling ethical questions, such as data ownership, algorithmic bias, authenticity, and the commodification of cultural knowledge. Herein, we investigate the potential role of AI technology in promoting digital repatriation of cultural heritage focusing on its opportunities and challenges. Ultimately the aim is to explore how solutions provided by AI can be used to reconcile preservation on the one hand and accessibility on the other, from socio-cultural, ethical, and legal perspectives. In so doing, the research contributes to the burgeoning conversation of what the intersection between technology, heritage management, and cultural justice looks like in the digital age.

2.0 Review of Literature

According to C. Poske (2024), digital repatriation uses a variety of technologies, such as digitization and online platforms, to return cultural heritage in virtual form to source communities. It enhances access and cultural connection but cannot fully replace physical return, raising questions about ownership, ethics, and control over digital data.

D. Harisanty et al. (2024) study emerging research trends in using AI for cultural heritage preservation. They found that AI (such as machine learning and image recognition) is increasingly used in digitization, restoration, and heritage documentation. While there is growing global interest, challenges including technical limitations, ethical concerns, and the need for better collaboration and future research have also been noted.

According to A. Tsatsanashvili (2024), AI helps protect intangible cultural heritage (such as traditions, language, and rituals) through documentation, analysis, and digital preservation. The study highlights benefit like improved accessibility and safeguarding but also raises concerns about authenticity, ethical use, and over-reliance on technology.

M. Wu, J. Dai, and P. Wei (2025) examine digital strategies used by source nations for cultural repatriation. While digital tools improve access, visibility, and cultural reconnection, the study stresses that challenges remain, including technology gaps, resource limitations, and issues of control, ownership, and policy support. Digital heritage preservation is a domain where AI supports preservation and promotion through tools like automation, restoration, and data management **(A. Rauf & H. Mehmood, 2025)**. Although this study reveals improved efficiency and preservation quality, it also highlights challenges such as ethical concerns, data security, and the need for proper technological infrastructure.

3.0 Research Gaps: Drawing from reviewed articles, several notable gaps were found related to the nature and use of digital repatriation and artificial intelligence in cultural heritage management. Most research is focused on digital repatriation and AI-assisted restoration as separate fields, with weak exploration of integrating AI in both spheres to improve the repatriation process, accessibility, and engaging culture. Although the promise of the use of digital technologies to bring communities back into contact with their heritage is high, there is limited discussion of how source communities can co-decide processes, share ownership and interpret their legacy, and so to what extent this aspect of the project will be inclusive and

culturally relevant. Further, while ethical concerns are recognized in the literature regarding data ownership, IP rights, authenticity, and cultural sensitivity, they are reported in a disjointed form without a complete ethical or governance framework. Another understudied area is the issue of digital divide, with the issue of technology and digital divide playing particularly an important and neglected role in developing areas where lack of technological infrastructure, budget and technical know-how may impact the successful implementation of AI-based digital repatriation programmes. Furthermore, the policy and legal aspects of digital repatriation also appear to be insufficiently discussed, and there are few consistent international standards to regulate the application of AI and digital technologies in cultural heritage settings. The majority of existing work has been either conceptual or theoretical, but mainly based on bibliometric analysis, and shows a general need for more evidence-based research, cases, and experiences of real-world applications, challenges and long-term consequences. These lacunae emphasize the need for research that is more integrated, encompassing and practice-oriented looking at the gaps in current work.

4.0 Objectives:

- To examine the concept and importance of digital repatriation of cultural heritage.
- To analyse the role of Artificial Intelligence in supporting digital repatriation.
- To explore the use of AI in preservation, restoration, and documentation of cultural heritage.
- To assess the benefits of digital repatriation in improving accessibility and cultural connection.
- To identify the challenges and ethical issues associated with AI in digital repatriation.
- To provide recommendations for effective and sustainable implementation of AI-driven digital repatriation.

5.0 Research Methodology: The study employs a qualitative approach and exclusively secondary data collection, thus looking at the role of artificial intelligence in digital heritage repatriation in this regard. Existing literature was reviewed in a variety of forms ranging from high-quality journal articles, books, book chapters, conference papers, and credible online databases to access relevant data. New and relevant literature on digital repatriation, AI technologies, and cultural heritage preservation has been collected on academic databases, including Google Scholar, Scopus-indexed journals, and institutional repositories. We used a descriptive and analytical method for the analysis of the collected data in order to highlight important themes, patterns, and research gaps. A review of the literature has focused on studies on both the use of AI in heritage preservation, ethics, accessibility, and source communities. A comparative analysis of related studies has also been conducted to see similarities, differences, and trends in the same field. For the purpose of reliability and validity, only authentic and scholarly-published publications in the previous few years have been scrutinized. There is no direct data collection; the study relies on the interpretation of knowledge and critical evaluation of this existing knowledge in order to reach conclusions. By utilizing this secondary data-based approach, a holistic view of the phenomenon can be developed alongside a solid theoretical base to support further research into the subject.

6.0 Analysis and Discussion; In this study, we examine how Artificial Intelligence is disrupting the digital process of repatriation of cultural heritage, to improve preservation, accessibility and interaction. These papers suggest that AI-powered technologies, including machine learning, computer vision and data analysis technology, contribute in a central role to digitalisation of cultural heritage and the reconstruction of damaged artefacts, designing interactive digital representations. These developments allow displaced cultural assets to be restored, virtually, to their native communities supporting the preservation and re-emergence of culture and culture knowledge-sharing. It also states that digital repatriation (with AI) greatly enhances

accessibility (easing geographical distance) and increases publics' engagement with cultural heritage. Third-party digitization and AI-enabled translations enable access to heritage materials for users from varying geographical locations and linguistic backgrounds. This shows AI does not only support preservation but also supports inclusivity and educational outreach. But the discourse also underscores many serious points. And whatever the best tech we bring, however, return on digital repatriation does not take away the emotional, historic and symbolic significance of physical and cultural restoration. Furthermore, the application of AI also raises ethical concerns - such as ownership of data, authenticity of digitally reconstructed artifacts, and how to prevent cultural misrepresentation. With inadequate governance, institutions-controlled technology and resources may lead to digital control. Another issue that is highlighted is that source communities have limited engagement in the AI digital repatriation projects and initiatives without the presence of a local community. Low and partial representation in general and by cultural factors is the absence of community involved. Moreover, differences in technological infrastructure and digital literacy, particularly in the developing world, inhibit the widespread application of any of these initiatives and the even sharing of the benefits. Moreover, the research reveals a policy and legal void, as there are no common policies and legislations to regulate the application of AI in cultural heritage repatriation. This raises challenges of accountability, protection of intellectual property and sustainability of digital heritage projects. These findings indicate the opportunity of AI to transform digital repatriation in terms of efficiency, accessibility, but the implementation will ultimately be based on good practice and ethical considerations, involvement of community (community involvement) and a well-equipped policy process. It is vital that we take a balanced and inclusive approach to sustainable and culturally appropriate outcomes in digital heritage preservation and return.

8.0 Conclusion and Recommendations: The study concludes that artificial intelligence has an impact on digital cultural heritage repatriation in that it can help develop digital cultural heritage by enhancing preservation, accessibility, and engagement. Thanks in part to technologies powered by artificial intelligence, these digital assets are now easily and economically digitized. As a result, the recovery and dissemination of ancient or historic heritage can be achieved virtually, giving a heritage project virtually renewed connection to source communities in remote areas. But it was also discoveredand it goes back to the heart of our research point, that digital repatriation cannot substitute for physical repatriation, after all, for it requires emotional, historical, and symbolic significance. Also, the issues of ethics, ownership of the data, authenticity, lack of community involvement, and absence of an appropriate policy process all lead to lack of integration of AI in the area due to the inability to apply that practice. So, while AI can be an incredibly valuable tool, it's also a space of big opportunities for creative opportunities; it is imperative that this role is employed with care and that an application of this new technology be managed for its cultural sensitivity, inclusivity, and sustainability.

To maximize any potential benefits from AI-based digital repatriation, clear ethical guidelines are needed to address data ownership, consent, and cultural representation to increase the value of data repatriation from AI use in digital heritage programs. More input of source communities to be incorporated in the implementation of digital heritage projects, for example with a wider range in their design, management, and interpretation, must also be facilitated to further promote inclusion and a sense of authenticity. Standards regulatory efforts by governments and international organizations need to be promoted to introduce standardized legal frameworks that apply to AI in cultural heritage management. Moreover, funds should be allocated for technological infrastructure and digital literacy, particularly in developing regions, to overcome the digital divide. Further investigation and new empirical studies with real-life cases and practical problems will provide insights on practical issues and in turn better understand the long-term sustainability of digital repatriation projects; future research should therefore be oriented towards studying practical problems based on empirical research and real-world case analysis.

References

- Breemen, K., &Breemen, V. (2025). 'Slow libraries' and 'Cultural AI': Reassessing technology regulation in the context of digitised cultural heritage data. Technology and Regulation, 2025, 175-193.
- Calise, A. (2024). Digital restitution of expropriated heritage: technological modes of experience. Itinera, (28).
- Giannini, E., & Makri, E. (2023, March). Cultural heritage protection and artificial intelligence; the future of our historical past. In International Conference on Transdisciplinary Multispectral Modeling and Cooperation for the Preservation of Cultural Heritage (pp. 375-400). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Giannini, E., & Makri, E. (2023, March). Cultural heritage protection and artificial intelligence; the future of our historical past. In International Conference on Transdisciplinary Multispectral Modeling and Cooperation for the Preservation of Cultural Heritage (pp. 375-400). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Harisanty, D., Obille, K. L. B., Anna, N. E. V., Purwanti, E., &Retrialisca, F. (2024). Cultural heritage preservation in the digital age, harnessing artificial intelligence for the future: a bibliometric analysis. Digital Library Perspectives, 40(4), 609-630.
- Lee, C. (2025). Cultural Convergence of Indian Buddhist Heritage in the Digital and AI Era: Reinterpretation of Tradition and Future Cultural Policy. Architecture Image Studies, 6(4), 90-94.
- Poske, C. (2024). Digital repatriation of cultural heritage. In The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Cultural Heritage and Conflict (pp. 1-5). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Rauf, A., & Mehmood, H. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Digital Heritage Preservation. Social Trends and Policy Review, 3(1), 146-174.
- Tsatsanashvili, A. (2024). Artificial intelligence in the protection of intangible cultural heritage. European Journal of Transformation Studies, 12(1), 163-178.
- Wu, M., Dai, J., & Wei, P. (2025). Digital Strategies for Cultural Repatriation: Insights and Challenges from Source Nations. The Journal of Arts Management, Law, and Society, 1-15.

Citation: **Das. Dr. D.,** (2026) "Digital Repatriation of Cultural Heritage Through AI Technologies: A Study", *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-4, Issue-05(1), May-2026.