



## Effectiveness of Career Readiness Interventions: Evidence from Secondary Education Systems

Nalini Singh

Research Scholar, Department of Education, RKDF University, Ranchi  
Email: [nalnee0402@gmail.com](mailto:nalnee0402@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** *Career readiness interventions have emerged as a crucial component of secondary education systems worldwide, aiming to bridge the gap between academic learning and workforce demands. This paper examines the effectiveness of such interventions in preparing students for postsecondary education and employment. Drawing on empirical studies and policy frameworks, the study explores how career readiness programs enhance academic achievement, employability skills, and career awareness. The findings reveal that while many interventions produce positive outcomes—particularly in skill development and student engagement—their effectiveness varies depending on implementation quality, teacher preparedness, and integration with curriculum. The paper concludes with recommendations for improving program design and ensuring equitable access to career readiness opportunities.*

**Keywords:** *Career Readiness, Secondary Education, Employability Skills, College Readiness, Vocational Education, Student Preparedness, Educational Interventions.*

**Introduction:** The increasing complexity of the global workforce has intensified the need for education systems to prepare students beyond academic achievement. Career readiness interventions aim to equip students with both academic and non-academic skills necessary for successful transitions into higher education and employment. These interventions include career counseling, vocational training, work-based learning, and skill development programs.

Research indicates that readiness is not limited to academic proficiency but includes cognitive strategies, social skills, and career awareness. Thus, evaluating the effectiveness of such interventions is essential for improving student outcomes in secondary education.

**Background of the Study:** In the 21st-century knowledge economy, the role of secondary education has expanded beyond academic instruction to include preparation for higher education and the workforce (Carnevale et al., 2013; National Research Council, 2012). Rapid technological advancement, globalization, and changing labor market demands have created a need for students to acquire not only subject knowledge but also transferable skills such as critical thinking, communication, and adaptability (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2017). In response, education systems worldwide have introduced career readiness interventions, including career counseling, vocational education, skill development programs, and work-based learning opportunities (Symonds et al., 2011; Conley, 2010).

These interventions aim to bridge the gap between classroom learning and real-world expectations, ensuring that students are better prepared for postsecondary pathways (Kemple & Willner, 2008). In the Indian context, initiatives under the National Education Policy 2020 emphasize holistic education, multidisciplinary learning, and skill-based training to enhance employability and lifelong learning (Ministry of Education, Government of India, 2020). However, despite policy support, challenges remain in effectively integrating career readiness programs within secondary education (Darling-Hammond et al., 2014).

Variations in infrastructure, teacher preparedness, and access to resources influence the implementation and outcomes of such interventions (Harvey et al., 2019). Therefore, understanding the effectiveness of career readiness initiatives is essential to improving student preparedness and aligning education with evolving socio-economic needs.

**Significance of the Study:** This study is significant as it contributes to a deeper understanding of how career readiness interventions shape students' preparedness for higher education and the workforce. In an era marked by rapid socio-economic and technological changes, secondary education must move beyond traditional academic goals to include the development of employability and life skills. By evaluating the effectiveness of these interventions, the study provides valuable insights into how schools can better equip students with the competencies required for future success.

The findings of this study are particularly relevant for policymakers, educators, and curriculum designers. In the Indian context, where reforms such as the National Education Policy 2020 emphasize skill-based and holistic education, this research helps assess whether such policy initiatives are achieving their intended outcomes. It also highlights gaps in implementation, thereby guiding improvements in program design and delivery.

Furthermore, the study underscores the importance of equitable access to career readiness programs, ensuring that students from diverse socio-economic backgrounds benefit equally. By identifying effective practices and challenges, the research supports the development of more inclusive and sustainable education systems. Ultimately, this study contributes to aligning secondary education with labor market demands and enhancing students' long-term academic and career success.

### **Objectives of the Study**

- **To examine the effectiveness of career readiness interventions** in enhancing students' academic performance, employability skills, and career awareness at the secondary education level.
- **To analyze the role of program components** (such as career counseling, vocational training, and work-based learning) in preparing students for higher education and the workforce.
- **To identify challenges and gaps in implementation**, particularly in relation to equity, teacher preparedness, and alignment with policy frameworks like the National Education Policy 2020.

**Review of Literature:** Existing literature highlights the multidimensional nature of career readiness. According to Conley's framework, readiness involves content knowledge, learning strategies, and transition skills, emphasizing both academic and behavioral competencies .

A study by Harvey, Timmerman, and Vazquez (2019) found that educators generally acknowledge the importance of career readiness programs, but differences exist in their understanding and implementation, affecting program effectiveness . Similarly, Castellano et al. (2017) demonstrated that participation in structured programs of study positively influences students' academic and career outcomes.

Systematic reviews also indicate mixed results. For instance, a review of career readiness interventions for students with emotional and behavioral disorders found that although many programs show favorable

outcomes, the results are not consistent across contexts . This suggests variability in program quality and implementation.

Additionally, studies emphasize the importance of non-academic skills such as critical thinking, communication, and time management in achieving career readiness . These competencies are increasingly valued in both higher education and the labor market.

**Research Gap:** Despite growing emphasis on career readiness interventions in secondary education, several significant gaps persist in the existing body of research. Much of the available literature is concentrated in Western contexts, with limited empirical studies examining effectiveness within developing countries such as India, particularly under policy frameworks like the National Education Policy 2020. Furthermore, most studies focus on short-term outcomes—such as skill acquisition and student engagement—while lacking longitudinal evidence on long-term impacts, including higher education attainment, employability, and career progression.

Another key gap lies in the insufficient integration and assessment of non-academic skills, such as socio-emotional competencies, adaptability, and resilience, which are increasingly recognized as essential for career success. Additionally, disparities in access to career readiness programs across socio-economic, geographic, and institutional contexts remain underexplored, raising concerns about equity and inclusivity.

There is also limited research on teacher preparedness and institutional capacity to effectively implement such programs. Moreover, inconsistencies in program design and absence of standardized assessment tools hinder comparative analysis. Addressing these gaps is crucial for developing more effective, equitable, and contextually relevant career readiness intern Methodology (Conceptual): This paper adopts a qualitative review-based approach, synthesizing findings from empirical studies, policy reports, and systematic reviews on career readiness interventions in secondary education. The analysis focuses on identifying common outcomes, strengths, and limitations of such programs.

**Discussion and Interpretation:** The findings of this study indicate that career readiness interventions play a significant role in enhancing students' preparedness for both higher education and the workforce. The positive impact observed in students' employability skills, career awareness, and academic engagement supports the argument that education systems must move beyond traditional content delivery to include applied and skill-based learning. These results align with existing frameworks, such as those proposed by Conley (2010), which emphasize the integration of cognitive, behavioral, and contextual competencies in defining readiness.

A key interpretation of the findings is that experiential learning components, including internships, project-based learning, and vocational exposure, are among the most effective elements of career readiness programs. Students who participated in such activities demonstrated higher motivation and a clearer understanding of career pathways. This suggests that linking classroom knowledge with real-world application enhances both relevance and retention of learning.

However, the study also reveals variability in effectiveness, largely influenced by implementation factors. Schools with better infrastructure, trained educators, and stronger institutional support reported more positive outcomes. In contrast, institutions with limited resources struggled to deliver consistent program quality. This disparity highlights the importance of systemic support and capacity building for educators.

Another important interpretation is the growing significance of non-academic skills, such as communication, adaptability, and problem-solving. While academic knowledge remains essential, the findings suggest that these transferable skills are equally critical in determining students' success in postsecondary contexts. Programs that effectively integrated socio-emotional learning components showed more comprehensive outcomes.

In the Indian context, initiatives under the National Education Policy 2020 provide a strong policy foundation for career readiness. However, the study indicates a gap between policy intent and classroom practice. Challenges such as inadequate teacher training, limited industry collaboration, and uneven access to resources hinder effective implementation.

Furthermore, issues of equity and access emerged as critical concerns. Students from rural and socio-economically disadvantaged backgrounds often had fewer opportunities to participate in high-quality career readiness programs. This suggests that without targeted interventions, such programs may inadvertently widen existing educational inequalities.

Overall, the interpretation of the findings underscores that while career readiness interventions are effective, their success depends on holistic integration, quality implementation, and equitable access. Strengthening these areas is essential to maximize the potential of such programs in preparing students for future academic and career success.

## Findings

- **Positive Impact on Skill Development:** Career readiness programs significantly enhance students' employability skills, including communication, problem-solving, and teamwork. Exposure to real-world scenarios and career exploration activities strengthens students' practical understanding of workplace expectations .
- **Improved Academic and Career Outcomes:** Students enrolled in structured career pathways often demonstrate better academic performance and clearer career goals. These programs help align education with labor market needs, improving long-term outcomes .
- **Increased Student Engagement:** Interventions that integrate experiential learning, such as internships and apprenticeships, increase student motivation and engagement. Students perceive learning as more relevant when linked to real-life applications.
- **Variability in Effectiveness:** Despite positive outcomes, effectiveness varies widely. Factors such as teacher training, resource availability, and program design influence results. Inconsistent understanding among educators also affects implementation quality .
- **Importance of Non-Academic Skills:** Research confirms that non-academic factors—such as mindset, self-efficacy, and social skills—play a critical role in student success, often complementing academic achievement .

## Suggestions

- **Strengthen Teacher Training:** Educators should receive specialized training to effectively deliver career readiness programs.
- **Integrate Curriculum and Career Skills:** Career readiness should be embedded within academic subjects rather than treated as an add-on.
- **Promote Work-Based Learning:** Schools should collaborate with industries to provide internships, apprenticeships, and mentorship opportunities.
- **Focus on Non-Academic Skills:** Programs should emphasize soft skills such as communication, adaptability, and critical thinking.
- **Ensure Equity and Access:** Policymakers must ensure that all students, regardless of background, have access to quality career readiness programs.

- **Enhance Program Evaluation:** Continuous assessment and evidence-based improvements are necessary for program effectiveness.

**Conclusion:** Career readiness interventions play a vital role in preparing students for the demands of higher education and the workforce. While evidence suggests that these programs positively impact skill development, academic achievement, and career awareness, their effectiveness depends on proper implementation and integration within the education system. A comprehensive approach that includes academic, technical, and socio-emotional learning is essential for maximizing outcomes. Future research should focus on identifying best practices and developing standardized frameworks to enhance the effectiveness of career readiness interventions globally.

## References

- ACT. (2015). *The condition of college and career readiness 2015*. ACT, Inc.
- Advance CTE. (2018). *Career readiness in the United States: Definitions and frameworks*. Advance CTE.
- Carnevale, A. P., Smith, N., & Strohl, J. (2013). *Recovery: Job growth and education requirements through 2020*. Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce.
- Castellano, M., Sundell, K. E., & Richardson, G. B. (2017). Achievement outcomes among high school graduates in college and career readiness programs. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 92(2), 254–271. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0161956X.2017.1302220>
- Conley, D. T. (2010). *College and career ready: Helping all students succeed beyond high school*. Jossey-Bass.
- Conley, D. T. (2014). *Getting ready for college, careers, and the common core*. Jossey-Bass.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Wilhoit, G., & Pittenger, L. (2014). Accountability for college and career readiness: Developing a new paradigm. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 22(86), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.v22n86.2014>
- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., & Pachan, M. (2010). A meta-analysis of after-school programs that seek to promote personal and social skills. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 45(3–4), 294–309. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-010-9300-6>
- Farrington, C. A., Roderick, M., Allensworth, E., Nagaoka, J., Johnson, D. W., Keyes, T. S., & Beechum, N. O. (2012). *Teaching adolescents to become learners: The role of noncognitive factors in shaping school performance*. University of Chicago Consortium.
- Harvey, M. W., Timmerman, L. C., & Vazquez, O. G. (2019). College and career readiness knowledge and its impact on program effectiveness. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation*, 29(2), 123–145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10474412.2018.1522260>
- Holzer, H. J. (2015). *Job market polarization and U.S. worker skills: A tale of two middles*. Brookings Institution.
- Kemple, J. J., & Willner, C. J. (2008). *Career academies: Long-term impacts on labor market outcomes, educational attainment, and transitions to adulthood*. MDRC.

- Kern, L., et al. (2022). A systematic review of college and career readiness interventions for secondary students. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 45(4), 221–234. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21651434221136132>
- Lapan, R. T., Gysbers, N. C., & Sun, Y. (1997). The impact of more fully implemented guidance programs on student outcomes. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 75(4), 292–302.
- National Research Council. (2012). *Education for life and work: Developing transferable knowledge and skills in the 21st century*. National Academies Press.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2017). *Getting skills right: Good practice in adapting to changing skill needs*. OECD Publishing.
- Savitz-Romer, M., & Bouffard, S. M. (2012). *Ready, willing, and able: A developmental approach to college access and success*. Harvard Education Press.
- Symonds, W. C., Schwartz, R. B., & Ferguson, R. (2011). *Pathways to prosperity: Meeting the challenge of preparing young Americans for the 21st century*. Harvard Graduate School of Education.
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2016). *Strategy for technical and vocational education and training (TVET) 2016–2021*. UNESCO.
- U.S. Department of Education. (2018). *Promoting college and career readiness in secondary education*. Office of Career, Technical, and Adult Education.

**Citation:** Singh. N., (2026) “Effectiveness of Career Readiness Interventions: Evidence from Secondary Education Systems”, *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-4, Issue-01, January-2026.