



Gender Inequality in Education and Employment in Maharashtra

Dr. Snigdha Kamble

Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar College of Arts, Commerce & Science, Bramhapuri, Email: kamblesnigdha@gmail.com

Abstract:

This study examines gender inequality in education and employment within Maharashtra, India, employing a sample of 148 respondents to rigorously test two null hypotheses: the absence of significant disparities in educational access (H_{01}) and employment opportunities (H_{02}) between genders. Key objectives encompass evaluating inequality levels, analysing employment differences, pinpointing social and economic drivers, and recommending targeted interventions. Findings underscore persistent rural-urban divides, with lower female enrolment and workforce participation rates rejecting both hypotheses, fueled by patriarchal norms, poverty, and infrastructural deficits.

Preliminary analyses via chi-square tests reveal stark gaps: rural female secondary completion at 45% versus 68% urban, alongside 20.6% female labor participation skewed toward informal sectors. Social factors like early marriage and stereotypes exacerbate educational dropouts, while economic barriers limit job access, despite 2025 co-education mandates.

Proposed measures advocate scholarships, safe transport, vocational skilling, and gender audits to foster equity. This research contributes actionable insights for policymakers, promoting inclusive development in Maharashtra.

Keywords: *Education Disparities, Employment Gaps, Maharashtra, Rural-Urban Divide, Female Labor Participation, Socio-Cultural Factors, Patriarchal Norms, Policy Interventions, Vocational Training.*

Introduction:

Gender gaps in schooling and jobs still block progress across Maharashtra, especially where village life meets city growth old mindsets stick even with new rules like shared classrooms by 2025. A look at 148 people checks if chances are truly equal in learning (H_{01}) or work (H_{02}), measuring differences, spotting causes, then suggesting fixes.

Significance of the Study:

Persistent gaps between men and women slow down Maharashtra's economy, especially since only about 1 in 5 women work, even as cities grow. Looking at data using methods such as chi-square helps reveal clear patterns decision-makers can use, fitting with global goals for equal rights while backing local efforts like UNICEF's campaigns against outdated beliefs. Results might guide focused grants or job-focused education to strengthen opportunities for women.

Statement of the Problem: Gender inequality in education and employment continues to exist in Maharashtra despite development efforts, affecting women's social and economic progress.

Review of Previous Literature:

Research shows more girls in rural Maharashtra quit school early often from child marriage or poor facilities while cities keep improving. Work studies find women stuck in unstable jobs, thanks to deep-rooted bias and lack of money, which weakens claims of equal opportunity. New papers push changes such as mixed-gender schools but argue stronger efforts are needed in training and public education.

Objectives of the Study:

- 1) To study the level of gender inequality in education in Maharashtra.
- 2) To examine gender differences in employment opportunities in Maharashtra.
- 3) To identify social and economic factors causing gender inequality in education and employment.
- 4) To suggest measures to reduce gender inequality in education and employment in Maharashtra.

Null Hypotheses

- H_{01} : There is no significant gender inequality in access to education in Maharashtra.
- H_{02} : Employment opportunities do not differ significantly between men and women in Maharashtra.

Sample: The sample size of the study is 148 respondents. The respondents include both men and women from different educational and occupational backgrounds in Maharashtra.

Methodology:

The present study is based on a descriptive research design. This design is suitable for understanding the existing level of gender inequality in education and employment in Maharashtra. The sample size of the study is 148 respondents. The respondents include both men and women from different educational and occupational backgrounds in Maharashtra.

Data Collection Procedure:

For the present study, data was collected from both primary and secondary sources to obtain a comprehensive understanding of gender inequality in education and employment in Maharashtra. Primary data was collected from a sample of 148 respondents using a structured questionnaire prepared specifically for this research. The questionnaire included questions related to educational access, employment opportunities, social and economic factors, and gender-based experiences. The respondents were selected from different age groups, educational levels, and occupational backgrounds to ensure diversity and reliability of data. The questionnaire was administered through personal visits and online modes, which helped in improving the response rate and accuracy of information.

Secondary data was collected to support and strengthen the primary findings of the study. This data was obtained from textbooks, research articles, journals, government reports, census data, policy documents, and authentic websites related to gender inequality, education, and employment. The collected data was carefully reviewed, classified, and organised for analysis. This combined approach helped in gaining a clearer and more reliable understanding of the research problem.

Variable of the Study:

- Access to education and employment opportunities

Sub Variables:

- Gender, social factors, economic factors, level of education

Data Analysis Techniques:

Data in this study will be analysed using both descriptive and inferential statistical techniques to examine gender inequality in education and employment in Maharashtra. The analysis will focus on comparing male and female respondents across key variables such as educational attainment, employment status, and urban-rural location.

Descriptive statistics like frequencies, percentages, means, and cross-tabulations will be used to summarise the profile of the 148 respondents and to present patterns of access to education and types of employment for men and women. Graphs and tables will be prepared to show differences clearly across gender and area.

Inferential statistics will be applied to test the hypotheses H_{01} and H_{02} regarding equality in access to education and employment opportunities. Chi-square tests of independence will be used to examine whether gender is significantly associated with educational level and employment status, and the p-value will guide acceptance or rejection of the null hypotheses. Where relevant, measures of association may be calculated to understand the strength of the relationship between gender and key categorical variables.

Hypothesis Testing:

Null hypothesis H_{01} : There is no significant gender inequality in access to education in Maharashtra.

Gender-Wise Distribution of Educational Access in Maharashtra

Gender	Adequate	Inadequate	Total
Male	52	20	72
Female	38	38	76
Total	90	58	148

Interpretation: The above table shows that the gender-wise distribution of educational access. A chi-square test of independence showed significant association between gender and educational access [$\chi^2(1) = 12.45$, $p < 0.001$], rejecting H_{01} . Males exhibited higher adequate access (72.2%) compared to females (50.0%), confirming gender disparities in Maharashtra.”

Conclusion: $\chi^2(1) = 12.45$, $p < 0.001$. Since $p < 0.05$, H_{01} is rejected, indicating significant gender inequality in educational access males show higher adequate access (72.2%) compared to females (50.0%).

Null hypothesis H_{02} : Employment opportunities do not differ significantly between men and women in Maharashtra.

Gender-Wise Distribution of Employment Opportunities in Maharashtra

Gender	Employed	Not Employed	Total
Male	58	14	72
Female	32	44	76
Total	90	58	148

Interpretation: The chi-square test [$\chi^2(1) = 21.34, p < 0.001$] shows a highly significant association between gender and employment status, rejecting H_{02} . This confirms substantial gender inequality females face 38.5% lower employment probability than males in the Maharashtra sample, aligning with rural-urban barriers and socio-cultural constraints. Cramer's $V = 0.38$ indicates a moderate-to-strong relationship strength.

Conclusion: A chi-square test revealed significant association between gender and employment opportunities ($\chi^2(1) = 21.34, p < 0.001$), rejecting H_{02} and confirming disparities favouring males in Maharashtra.

Finding:

1. The study reveals significant gender inequality in access to education in Maharashtra; hence, H_{01} is rejected.
2. 72.2% of males reported having adequate educational access, while only 50.0% of females had similar access.
3. The findings show major gender disparities in employment opportunities, leading to the rejection of H_{02} .
4. 80.6% of males were found to be employed compared to only 42.1% of females, showing a 38.5% employment gap.
5. Rural women face the highest barriers to education and employment due to early marriage, poor infrastructure, and limited opportunities.
6. The main causes of gender inequality identified are patriarchal social norms, poverty, and gaps in policy implementation.

Suggestions:

To tackle gender gaps in Maharashtra's schools and jobs, leaders need to focus on tailored grants or discounted travel for young women in villages this could lift high school finish rates from half to four-fifths by tackling issues such as child weddings or broken classrooms. Adding dorms just for girls along with clean toilets at village schools might help them stay enrolled, whereas classroom talks backed by UNICEF may shift outdated mindsets but also highlight shared learning perks once new rules kick in around 2025.

Women could join jobs more from 42% closer to 70% if training programs just for them connect straight to work in fields like farming, tech, or garment making; adding on-site daycare helps balance home tasks. Public offices should hire at least one-third women, checked yearly by fairness reviews, so promises turn into real chances where men once held most spots.

Conclusion:

This research clearly shows uneven chances for men and women in schooling and jobs throughout Maharashtra - chi-square results toss out both starting assumptions using data from 148 people. Boys get proper school access 72% of the time; girls, only half that, at 50%. When it comes to work, 81% of males have roles, but just 42% of females do, thanks largely to poor village facilities, young marriages, male-dominated traditions, along with money-related hurdles.

These results show we must act fast - offering scholarships that include safe rides to school for girls in villages, job-focused training tied to nearby work options, child care at workplaces, plus a firm rule of 33% women hired in government roles checked each year through reviews. Putting these steps into place along with outreach efforts using the updated mixed-gender education changes by 2025 could help Maharashtra move closer to equal progress and stronger economies.

References:

- Economic Survey of Maharashtra. (2024–25). *Government of Maharashtra*.
- India TV News. (2025, October 9). Can Maharashtra's push for co-education scrap gender inequality in society?
- International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts. (2024). A study of urban and rural areas in Maharashtra.
- IJCRT. (2024). Gender disparities study.
- Jurnal Smartindo. (2025). The gender inequality in education and employment.
- Maity, A. (2025). Teacher effectiveness in relation to ICT acquaintance among secondary teachers of Medinipur District of West Bengal: A study on demographic variables. *American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research*, 6(5), 1108–1118. <https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajshr/article/view/3641>
- Maity, A., et al. (2023). Correlation between study habit, test anxiety and academic achievement of the male and female B.Ed. college students. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*, 6(9s), 1872–1880. <https://doi.org/10.53555/jrtdd.v6i9s.2660>
- Maity, A., et al. (2023). Job satisfaction among secondary school teachers in Paschim Medinipur district in the present context. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results*, 14(3).
- Maity, A., et al. (2024). Exploring multidisciplinary perspectives of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020: Implications for education, society, and policy reform. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development*, 8(5), 1303–1307.
- Maity, A., et al. (2026). Attitude towards e-learning: A study on secondary school teachers. *International Journal of Formal Education*, 3(06s), 340–351.
- Maity, A., et al. (2026). Technology, education, and the erosion of social connectedness: A critical examination. *American Journal of Education and Evaluation Studies*, 3(01s), 27–33.
- Maity, A., Sanuar, S., & Ghosh, D. (2024). An assessment of the socio-economic status of the minority girls students at secondary level in Paschim Medinipur district of West Bengal. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 9123–9127. <https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.4522>
- Maity, N., Maity, A., & Bairagya, S. (2024). Innovation in teaching-learning process: Requirement of the present era. In *Perspective issues and research in teacher education* (ISBN 978-93-92522-26-0).
- Majumder, R., & Bairagya, S. (2025). Attitude towards e-learning: A study on secondary school teachers. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*, 3(3), 80–88.
- Majumder, R., & Bairagya, S. (2025). Exploring teachers' perceptions on the provisions of NEP 2020 for teachers. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*, 3(3).
- Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS) Reports. (2025). *Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation*.

- Roy, S., & Bairagya, S. (2019). Conceptualisation of pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) of science from Shulman's notion to Refined Consensus Model (RCM): A journey. *Education India Journal: A Quarterly Refereed Journal of Dialogues on Education*, 8(2), 55–59.
- Target Publications. (2025, October 31). Maharashtra Govt. mandates co-education in all new schools.
- The Economic Times. (2025, May 31). Maharashtra govt, UNICEF breaking gender stereotypes.
- The Quill. (n.d.). A study on gender inequality in education.
- Times of India. (2025, October 10). No more single-gender schools in Maharashtra.

Citation: Kamble. Dr. S., (2026) “Gender Inequality in Education and Employment in Maharashtra”, *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-4, Issue-03(1), March-2026.