Technology Integration and Digital Pedagogy in Education

Deepjyoti Barman[1] & Dr. Ranita Chakrabortty[2]

1. Independent Researcher, M.A. Education, Salesian College (Autonomous), Siliguri, West Bengal, India
Email: itzdeepdj@gmail.com

2. Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Salesian College (Autonomous), Siliguri, West Bengal, India, Email: ranitachakrabortty@yahoo.com

Abstract:

The integration of technology in education has brought significant changes to teaching–learning processes. Digital pedagogy emphasizes the effective use of technological tools to enhance instructional practices and student learning outcomes. In the context of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, technology integration has gained greater importance in ensuring quality, equity, and accessibility in education. The present study aims to examine the level of technology integration and digital pedagogy practices among secondary school teachers. A descriptive survey method was adopted for the study. The sample consisted of 120 secondary school teachers selected using a random sampling technique. Data were collected using a self-developed questionnaire on Technology Integration and Digital Pedagogy. Statistical techniques such as mean, standard deviation, t-test, and graphical representation were used for data analysis. The findings reveal that teachers demonstrate a moderate level of technology integration and digital pedagogy practices. No significant difference was found based on gender. The study highlights the need for continuous professional development and institutional support for effective implementation of digital pedagogy.

Keywords: *Technology Integration, Digital Pedagogy, NEP 2020, Secondary School Teachers, Educational Technology.*

1. Introduction

Education in the twenty-first century is deeply influenced by rapid technological advancement. The traditional teacher-centered approach is gradually shifting towards learner-centered, technology-enabled pedagogical practices. Technology integration in education involves the meaningful use of digital tools such as computers, smart boards, online platforms, and learning management systems to enhance teaching and learning.

Digital pedagogy goes beyond the mere use of technology; it focuses on designing effective learning experiences using digital resources. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 emphasizes technology as a powerful tool to transform education by improving access, equity, and quality. In this context, understanding the level of technology integration and digital pedagogy among teachers becomes essential.

2. Review of Literature

- Mishra & Koehler (2006): Proposed the TPACK framework emphasizing integration of technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge.

- Selwyn (2017): Highlighted that technology enhances learning only when aligned with pedagogical goals.

- Kumar & Sharma (2019): Teachers with higher digital competence improved classroom engagement and instructional effectiveness.

- Singh (2021): Lack of training and infrastructure are major barriers to technology integration in Indian schools.

- Research Gap: Most studies focus on technology or pedagogy separately; limited research examines their relationship among secondary school teachers.

3. Operational Definitions

1. Technology Integration: Technology Integration refers to the systematic and purposeful use of digital tools and technological resources by teachers to enhance the teaching–learning process and achieve educational objectives.

2. Digital Pedagogy: Digital Pedagogy refers to instructional strategies and teaching practices that effectively incorporate digital technologies to promote active learning, critical thinking, collaboration, and student engagement.

4. Variables of the Study

Type	Variable
Independent	Technology Integration
Dependent	Digital Pedagogy
Demographic	Gender

5. Conceptual Framework

1. TPACK Framework – Mishra & Koehler (2006):

Effective teaching with technology requires integrating technology, pedagogy, and content. In this study, Technology Integration represents technological knowledge, and Digital Pedagogy represents pedagogical knowledge. Technology alone is not enough; it must enhance learning alongside pedagogy and content.

2. SAMR Model – Puentedura (2006):

3. Explains levels of technology use in teaching, from basic substitution to redefining learning experiences, helping evaluate the quality of technology integration.

4. Constructivist Learning Theory – Piaget/Vygotsky:

Digital pedagogy follows constructivist principles where students actively construct knowledge, collaborate, and solve problems, with technology supporting interactive, learner-centered classrooms.

6. **Objectives of the Study**

1. To study the level of technology integration among secondary school teachers.

2. To examine digital pedagogy practices among teachers.

3. To compare technology integration based on gender.

4. To study the relationship between technology integration and digital pedagogy.

7**. Hypotheses of the Study**

*   There is no significant difference in technology integration based on gender.

*   There is no significant relationship between technology integration and digital pedagogy.

8. **Methodology**

Sr. No.	Component	Details
1	Research Method	Descriptive survey method
2	Population and Sample	Secondary school teachers 120 teachers selected randomly from various schools
3	Tool Used	Self-developed questionnaire on Technology Integration and Digital Pedagogy (5-point Likert scale)
4	Statistical Techniques	- Mean- Standard Deviation- t-test- Pearson's correlation- Graphical representation

9. Data Analysis and Interpretation

Table 9.1: Level of Technology Integration

Level	Frequency	Percentage
High	32	26.7%
Moderate	58	48.3%
Low	30	25.0%
Total	120	100%

Interpretation: Table 9.1 reveals that 48.3% of secondary school teachers possess a moderate level of technology integration. About 26.7% of teachers show a high level, while 25% demonstrate a low level of technology integration. This indicates that although teachers are adopting technology, there is still scope for improvement.

Table 9.2: Gender-wise Mean Scores of Technology Integration

Gender	N	Mean	SD
Male	60	72.4	8.6
Female	60	73.1	8.2

Interpretation: The mean score of female teachers (73.1) is slightly higher than that of male teachers (72.4). However, the difference appears minimal.

Table 9.3: t-test – Gender Difference

Group	Mean	t-value	Table Value (0.05)	Result
Male	72.4	0.48	1.98	Not Significant
Female	73.1			

Interpretation: The calculated t-value (0.48) is less than the table value (1.98) at the 0.05 level of significance. Hence, the null hypothesis is accepted. This indicates that gender does not significantly influence technology integration among teachers.

Table 9.4: Correlation between Technology Integration and Digital Pedagogy

Variables	r-value	Significance
Tech Integration & Digital Pedagogy	0.62	Significant

Interpretation: The obtained correlation value (r = 0.62) indicates a moderate positive and significant relationship between technology integration and digital pedagogy. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected.

10. Findings

1. Teachers demonstrate a moderate level of technology integration.

2. Gender does not significantly influence technology integration.

3. Technology integration and digital pedagogy are positively correlated.

4. Teachers require continuous professional development and institutional support.

11. Discussion

The findings confirm previous research that teacher competence and institutional support are critical for effective technology integration. Moderate adoption indicates readiness but also highlights the need for structured training programs. Digital pedagogy improves teaching quality when technology use aligns with instructional goals.

12. Conclusion:

Technology integration significantly enhances digital pedagogy by making learning more interactive, engaging, and student-centered. NEP 2020 provides a strong framework for promoting the use of technology in education at all levels. Effective implementation requires trained teachers, adequate digital infrastructure, and institutional support to ensure meaningful and equitable use of digital tools. Additionally, fostering digital literacy among students, encouraging blended learning strategies, and promoting continuous professional development for teachers are crucial for maximizing learning outcomes. Overall, integrating technology thoughtfully can transform teaching and learning, preparing students for the demands of the 21st century.

13. Recommendations

Professional Development of Teachers: Conduct regular and structured professional development programmes to strengthen teachers' digital competencies and pedagogical skills for effective classroom integration of technology.

Improvement of Digital Infrastructure: Ensure the availability of reliable digital infrastructure in schools, including internet connectivity, adequate digital devices, and necessary technical support.

Integration into Teacher Education Curriculum: Incorporate digital pedagogy and technology-based instructional strategies as essential components of pre-service and in-service teacher education programmes.

Adoption of Blended Learning Approaches: Promote blended learning models that effectively combine traditional teaching methods with digital and online learning platforms.

Promotion of Digital Literacy and Safe Use: Implement initiatives to enhance students' digital literacy, responsible technology use, cyber safety, and digital citizenship.

Encouragement of Innovative Teaching Practices: Encourage teachers to adopt innovative and experimental approaches using educational technologies to improve student engagement and learning outcomes.

Parental Involvement and Support: Engage parents through awareness programmes and guidance sessions to support effective and safe digital learning at home.

14. Suggestions for Further Research:

- Studies at higher education or tertiary level: Explore technology integration and digital pedagogy among college or university students to understand its impact on advanced learning environments.

- Comparative studies between rural and urban schools: Examine differences in technology access, usage, and digital teaching practices to identify context-specific challenges and opportunities.

- Experimental studies to assess impact on student learning outcomes: Conduct interventions to measure how specific digital pedagogical strategies influence academic performance, engagement, and skill development.

- Studies on teacher training and professional development: Investigate how training programs enhance teachers' technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge for effective digital teaching.

- Research on digital equity and inclusion: Explore strategies to bridge gaps in digital access and literacy among different socio-economic groups.

- Integration of emerging technologies: Examine the impact of AI, virtual reality, and other advanced tools in teaching and learning processes.

15. Bibliography:

- Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record, 108*(6), 1017–1054.

- Maity, A. (2025). Teacher effectiveness in relation to ICT acquaintance among secondary teachers of Medinipur District of West Bengal: A study on demographic variables. *American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research, 6*(5), 1108–1118. https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajshr/article/view/3641

- Maity, A., et al. (2023). Correlation between study habit, test anxiety and academic achievement of the male and female B.Ed. college students. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities, 6*(9s), 1872–1880. https://doi.org/10.53555/jrtdd.v6i9s.2660

- Maity, A., et al. (2023). Job satisfaction among secondary school teachers in Paschim Medinipur district in the present context. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results, 14*(3).

- Maity, A., et al. (2024). Exploring multidisciplinary perspectives of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020: Implications for education, society, and policy reform. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development, 8*(5), 1303–1307.

- Maity, A., Sanuar, S., & Ghosh, D. (2024). An assessment of the socio-economic status of the minority girls students at secondary level in Paschim Medinipur district of West Bengal. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 30*(5), 9123–9127. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.4522

- Maity, N., Maity, A., & Bairagya, S. (2024). Innovation in teaching-learning process: Requirement of the present era. In *Perspective issues and research in teacher education* (ISBN 978-93-92522-26-0).

- Majumder, R., & Bairagya, S. (2025). Attitude towards e-learning: A study on secondary school teachers. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development, 3*(3), 80–88.

- Majumder, R., & Bairagya, S. (2025). Exploring teachers' perceptions on the provisions of NEP 2020 for teachers. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development, 3*(3).*

- Roy, S., & Bairagya, S. (2019). Conceptualisation of pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) of science from Shulman's notion to Refined Consensus Model (RCM): A journey. *Education India Journal: A Quarterly Refereed Journal of Dialogues on Education, 8*(2), 55–59.

- National Education Policy. (2020). Ministry of Education, Government of India.

- Selwyn, N. (2017). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates*. Bloomsbury.

- Singh, R. (2021). Technology integration in Indian schools. *Journal of Educational Technology*, 18(2), 45–52.

- UNESCO. (2018). *ICT in education: Policy, practice and research*. UNESCO Publishing.