



Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose and the Idea of Nationalism in Colonial India

Dr. Shyamal Das

Assistant Professor, KNM, Sonamura, Tripura

Abstract:

Nationalism in colonial India emerged under conditions of political subjugation, economic extraction, and cultural domination imposed by British imperial rule. Within this contested landscape, Subhas Chandra Bose articulated a distinctive nationalist vision that departed from constitutional gradualism and moral persuasion, emphasising instead revolutionary action, mass mobilisation, and institutional preparedness. Shaped by Bengal's radical political culture and the global crisis of empire during the inter-war period and the Second World War, Bose conceived nationalism as a project of national regeneration rooted in historical memory, psychological awakening, discipline, and sacrifice.

Subhas Chandra Bose was not a systematic political philosopher; his ideas evolved through intense political engagement and organisational struggle. His writings and speeches reveal an "all-absorbing nationalism" in which freedom functioned as the precondition for cultural dignity, political sovereignty, and social transformation. The Indian National Army and the Provisional Government of Azad Hind represented the most developed expression of this vision, translating nationalist ideology into concrete forms of statehood, military authority, and international diplomacy. Emphasis on Swadeshi, secular unity, and labour mobilisation further distinguished Bose's approach from both colonial and communal frameworks. Subhas Chandra Bose's nationalism thus combined ideological imagination with institutional practice, seeking not merely the end of empire but the reconstruction of national self-confidence and sovereign political power.

Keywords: Subhas Chandra Bose; Indian Nationalism; Indian National Army; Azad Hind Government; Swadeshi; Anti-Imperialism; Mass Mobilisation; Political Psychology.

Introduction:

Nationalism in colonial India developed under the heavy pressures of British imperial domination—political subordination, economic extraction, and cultural hegemony. Colonial governance did not merely rule India; it reordered institutions, reshaped social hierarchies, and disseminated narratives of civilisational inferiority that attempted to normalise empire. Consequently, Indian nationalism emerged in multiple strands: early moderate constitutionalism, Gandhian mass politics grounded in non-violent civil disobedience, and revolutionary currents seeking liberation through militant confrontation. Within this landscape Bengal acquired a special salience. The province's revolutionary tradition—linked to Swadeshi networks, youth militancy, and underground activism—interacted with mass movements and labour mobilisation. Subhas Chandra Bose was shaped by this tradition, yet he did not remain confined to regional politics; his nationalism was pan-Indian, secular in orientation, and increasingly international in strategy.

The global context further sharpened Subhas Chandra Bose's political imagination. The inter-war crisis of liberal empires, the rise of authoritarian regimes, and World War II collectively destabilised European imperial authority, creating an opening for colonial insurgencies to seek external alliances and ideological resources. Subhas Chandra Bose's approach was profoundly conditioned by this historical conjuncture: he viewed international conflict not as an external event but as a strategic opportunity for Indian liberation.

Subhas Chandra Bose was not a systematic political philosopher in the traditional sense. His ideas are inseparable from the intensity of his political life, shaped by urgency, improvisation, and organisational struggle. As scholarship notes, his writings repeatedly emphasised an "all-absorbing nationalism," where patriotism became the supreme organising principle of political thought. National freedom, for Bose, was not one goal among many; it was the precondition for national regeneration and the foundation of a future Indian state.

Research Statement:

This paper argues that Subhas Chandra Bose articulated a distinctive form of Indian nationalism—revolutionary, secular, mass-oriented, and internationalist—which sought to regenerate national energy, dismantle British imperial rule, and establish an independent Indian state through psychological awakening, disciplined organisation, and armed struggle.

Research Problem:

Mainstream nationalist historiography has frequently:

1. Celebrated Subhas Chandra Bose primarily as a heroic figure rather than engaging him as an ideological thinker;
2. Treated the INA largely as a military episode rather than a nationalist institution and political project; and
3. Underestimated Subhas Chandra Bose's interpretation of history, culture, and mass psychology as resources of anti-colonial mobilisation.

The research problem addressed here is the insufficient conceptual engagement with Subhas Chandra Bose's nationalism as an integrated ideological and political framework.

Literature Review:

The scholarship on Subhas Chandra Bose spans political thought, nationalist historiography, and wartime studies:

- **V. P. Varma** frames Subhas Chandra Bose's nationalism as secular and totalising, locating patriotism as the essence of Bose's personality and political writings.
- **Bipan Chandra** and the tradition of mass nationalist historiography have foregrounded Congress-led mobilisation, often allocating comparatively limited conceptual space to revolutionary-militarised nationalism.
- **Sumit Sarkar** places revolutionary nationalism in Bengal within broader social processes, highlighting the complex interface between elite politics and militant networks.
- **Sugata Bose** advances an ideational and international reading, situating Bose within transnational anti-imperial currents and Asian geopolitics.

- **Leonard Gordon** provides a detailed political biography and carefully maps Subhas Chandra Bose's conflicts within the Congress and against Gandhian organisational dominance.
- **Rudrangshu Mukherjee** illuminates the INA trials and post-war nationalist memory, arguing that the INA episode reshaped public sentiment and late-colonial legitimacy.
- **Amiya Kumar Bagchi** emphasises political economy and anti-imperialism, reading nationalism as inseparable from structural critique of colonial exploitation.

Taken together, these works offer rich empirical and interpretive insights, but they still leave scope for a more integrated conceptual reconstruction of Subhas Chandra Bose's nationalism as historical imagination, psychological programme, and institutional project.

Research Gap:

Despite extensive biographical and narrative scholarship, major gaps remain:

- Limited systematic analysis of Subhas Chandra Bose's concept of history and national regeneration;
- Insufficient study of his nationalism as psychological and cultural mobilisation;
- Weak linkage, in many accounts, between Swadeshi ethos, mass awakening, and INA ideology; and
- Under-theorisation of Subhas Chandra Bose's international anti-imperialist strategy as a coherent nationalist doctrine rather than mere wartime expediency.

Objectives of the Study:

1. To analyse Subhas Chandra Bose's conception of nationalism as expressed in his writings and political actions.
2. To examine the INA and Azad Hind Government as nationalist institutions.
3. To situate Subhas Chandra Bose's nationalism within Indian and global anti-imperialist thought.

Conceptual Framework:

This study interprets Subhas Chandra Bose through a multi-dimensional nationalism framework:

i. Types of Nationalism in Subhas Chandra Bose's Thought

- **Revolutionary Nationalism:** Liberation through decisive rupture with colonial rule.
- **Secular and Inclusive Nationalism:** Rejection of communal politics; unity across faiths and regions.
- **Cultural and Swadeshi Nationalism:** Decolonisation of mind and everyday life; indigenous ideals and methods.
- **State-Centric and Militarised Nationalism:** Sovereignty expressed through institutions—army, government, diplomacy.
- **Internationalist Anti-Imperialism:** Indian freedom as part of a global crisis of empire.

ii. Theoretical Influences

Subhas Chandra Bose's thought can be analytically linked to:

- **Swami Vivekananda:** Regeneration through sacrifice, strength, and disciplined will.
- **Benedict Anderson:** Nation as an imagined community produced through shared memory and symbols.
- **Frantz Fanon:** Liberation as a psychological rupture and revolutionary reconstruction of the colonised self.
- **Ernest Gellner:** Nationalism as the modern political principle that seeks congruence between state and culture.

These influences are used not to “attribute” ideas directly to Subhas Chandra Bose in a narrow intellectual history sense, but as interpretive lenses to clarify the structure of his nationalist programme.

Methodology:

The paper uses historical method, combining:

- Contextual and chronological analysis of Subhas Chandra Bose’s political evolution from Congress activism to transnational militarised struggle; and
- Interpretive analysis of primary sources to reconstruct key nationalist themes (history, culture, discipline, sacrifice, and sovereignty).

This approach treats texts, speeches, and institutional documents as political interventions embedded in the strategic demands of anti-imperialist struggle.

Sources:

i. Primary Sources

- Subhas Chandra Bose, *The Indian Struggle (1920–1942)*
- *Taruner Swapna* (speeches and writings)
- Azad Hind Radio broadcasts
- INA proclamations and Azad Hind Government documents
- Subhas Chandra Bose’s letters and correspondence
- Newspapers: *Forward Bloc, Amrita Bazar Patrika, Harijan*
- British intelligence reports and trial records (where available)

ii. Secondary Sources

Scholarly biographies and political histories of nationalism, imperialism, and decolonisation, including the major authors reviewed above.

Analysis and Discussion:

Subhas Chandra Bose’s Interpretation of Indian History and National Decline

Subhas Chandra Bose’s nationalism was anchored in a distinctive reading of Indian history: not as linear “progress,” but as a long civilisational narrative marked by cycles of rise, fatigue, decline, and renewal.

India's historical greatness, in this view, was not mythic nostalgia; it was a political resource. The purpose of recovering history was to recover national self-confidence. A central theme in Subhas Chandra Bose's historical interpretation is regeneration. Decline, he suggested, resulted not merely from external invasion but from "physical and intellectual fatigue," creating conditions of passivity. Renewal required new ideas, new leadership, and disciplined organisation—what might be called a theory of nationalist revitalisation. The British represented a historical "exception" because, unlike earlier foreign elements absorbed into Indian society, colonial rulers institutionalised exclusion and economic extraction, and refused cultural assimilation.

This historical philosophy served a strategic political function: it sought to defeat colonial ideology by contesting the narrative that India was incapable of self-rule. By recovering national memory, Bose hoped to restore pride and will, transforming historical consciousness into political energy.

From Civil Service to Revolutionary Politics

Subhas Chandra Bose's resignation from the Indian Civil Service in April 1921 remains a foundational symbolic act in his nationalist identity. It affirmed that liberation required renunciation of colonial prestige and personal advancement. By choosing politics over imperial bureaucracy, Bose enacted an ethic of sacrifice that would later become central to his nationalist pedagogy. Upon returning to India in 1921, Bose entered mass politics at a moment of heightened nationalist agitation. His engagement with the Congress and broader nationalist networks was marked by organisational discipline and insistence on constructive radicalism. He did not treat political participation as ritual; he sought to reshape institutions into vehicles of sovereign power. This included:

- Organising youth and students as nationalist cadres;
- Strengthening trade union and labour mobilisation as national energy; and
- Pressing Congress to act as an alternative authority rather than a petitioning body.

Subhas Chandra Bose's conflicts within Congress politics were not merely personal rivalries; they expressed competing strategies of nationalism—gradualist constitutionalism and moral persuasion versus decisive confrontation and institutional preparedness. Bose's repeated frustration with cautious leadership reveals a deeper ideological difference about the tempo and form of liberation.

Nationalism and Mass Psychological Awakening

Perhaps Subhas Chandra Bose's most innovative contribution lies in his understanding of nationalism as political psychology. For him, empire survived not only through military or administrative control but through the internalisation of inferiority. In his speeches and writings, Bose repeatedly urged Indians to overcome the belief that European nations were inherently superior. This inferiority complex, he argued, produced a willingness to accept humiliation and thereby stabilised colonial authority.

Mass awakening therefore required:

1. **Historical memory:** Remembering civilisational achievements;
2. **National pride:** Transforming memory into confidence; and
3. **Discipline and sacrifice:** Converting confidence into organised struggle.

This emphasis resonates with later anti-colonial theory (for instance Fanon's insight that liberation requires remaking the colonised self), but Subhas Chandra Bose developed it in his own practical nationalist vocabulary. Nationalism was an ethic: it demanded courage, endurance, and readiness for ultimate sacrifice.

Here Vivekananda's influence is palpable: strength, self-confidence, and spiritual discipline were reinterpreted as nationalist virtues. Bose's nationalism was thus simultaneously emotional and organisational—seeking to convert affect into institution.

Swadeshi, Culture and Anti-Colonial Resistance:

Subhas Chandra Bose's nationalism extended beyond state power to cultural emancipation. He argued that colonial domination included cultural interference aimed at weakening national identity and cohesion. Hence Swadeshi was not only an economic boycott; it was a reassertion of indigenous ideals and civilisational dignity.

Swadeshi, in Subhas Chandra Bose's framework, performed three linked tasks:

- **Economic self-reliance** against extractive colonial markets;
- **Cultural decolonisation** against Western superiority narratives; and
- **Collective mobilisation** by making everyday consumption a political act.

Subhas Chandra Bose also sought to integrate labour and nationalism. Rather than treating workers' struggles as separate from the national movement, he viewed labour's creative energy as part of national regeneration. This position broadened nationalism beyond elite leadership and connected it to industrial modernity and social transformation. Importantly, Bose's cultural nationalism was not a communal or exclusivist project. It aimed at national unity and dignity without collapsing into religious majoritarianism. Varma explicitly notes Bose's consistent opposition to communalism.

INA, Azad Hind Government and International Strategy:

The INA and the Azad Hind Government represented the most institutionalised form of Subhas Chandra Bose's nationalism. Here, nationalism became state practice: army, government, diplomacy, and propaganda were organised as instruments of sovereignty. The Azad Hind Government, proclaimed in October 1943 in Singapore, asserted symbolic independence and rejected the legitimacy of colonial rule. It also operationalised a nationalist idea: that India already possessed the right to statehood and could enact governmental authority even in exile. The INA's ideology emphasised unity across religious and regional lines, projecting armed struggle as a national rather than sectarian mission. Contemporary studies underline the INA's secular and cosmopolitan tradition within its military and civil administration.

Subhas Chandra Bose's internationalism was strategic but also ideological. He treated Indian freedom as part of a broader dismantling of imperial structures. By aligning India's cause with global anti-imperialist currents during World War II, Bose pursued a politics of external leverage—attempting to exploit the weakening of European empires. While debates remain about the costs and contradictions of seeking support from Axis powers, analytically Bose's approach reflects a consistent nationalist doctrine: colonised peoples could and should use global power shifts to accelerate liberation. The INA's later political impact—especially through the INA trials and their effect on public sentiment—demonstrates that the project operated not only militarily but psychologically, reshaping nationalist legitimacy in late colonial India.

Conclusion:

Subhas Chandra Bose should be understood as a nationalist ideologue-practitioner: a leader whose thought cannot be separated from political struggle, yet whose struggle was structured by identifiable conceptual commitments. His nationalism was revolutionary in method, secular in unity, mass-oriented in mobilisation, cultural in self-assertion, and internationalist in strategy. Crucially, Bose conceived nationalism as regeneration, not merely resistance. Freedom required remaking national psychology, restoring dignity

through historical memory, building disciplined organisations, and ultimately institutionalising sovereignty through a state-centric project. In this sense, the INA was not simply a military chapter; it was a nationalist experiment in political institution-building and symbolic independence. Its enduring impact lay in the transformation of late-colonial political imagination: the idea that Indians could constitute armed authority, governmental sovereignty, and national unity outside colonial permission.

Subhas Chandra Bose remains relevant to contemporary debates on nationalism because his framework raises enduring questions: How does a nation reconstruct dignity after domination? What is the relationship between mass psychology and political power? And how should anti-imperial movements balance ethical constraints with strategic urgency? Subhas Chandra Bose's life and ideas continue to unsettle narrow definitions of nationalism by insisting that liberation is simultaneously a moral awakening, a cultural decolonisation, and an institutional creation of statehood.

References:

- Anderson, B. (1983). *Imagined communities: Reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism*. Verso.
- Bagchi, A. K. (1992). *The political economy of underdevelopment*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bose, S. C. (1948/1997). *The Indian struggle, 1920–1942*. Oxford University Press.
- Chandra, B. (1989). *India's struggle for independence*. Penguin.
- Fanon, F. (1963). *The wretched of the earth* (C. Farrington, Trans.). Grove Press.
- Gellner, E. (1983). *Nations and nationalism*. Cornell University Press.
- Gordon, L. (1990). *Brothers against the Raj: A biography of Sarat and Subhas Chandra Bose*. Columbia University Press.
- Mukherjee, R. (2014). *Nehru and Bose: Parallel lives*. Penguin Viking.
- Sarkar, S. (1983). *Modern India, 1885–1947*. Macmillan.
- Varma, V. P. (1961/1993). *Modern Indian political thought*. Lakshmi Narain Agarwal.
- Yadav, K. (2019). The provisional government of Azad Hind: Administrative policy and programme. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention*, 8(1), 38–41.

Citation: Das. Dr. S., (2024) ‘Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose and the Idea of Nationalism in Colonial India’, *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-2, Issue-9, October-2024.