



Indian Philosophical Systems (Darshana): A Critical and Contemporary Analysis

Dr. Pankaj Kumar Paul

Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Gourav Guin Memorial College, Chandrakona Road, Paschim Medinipur, West Bengal, India

Abstract:

Indian philosophical systems, collectively known as Darshana, represent a rich and systematic tradition of inquiry into reality, knowledge, ethics and liberation. Rooted in both orthodox and heterodox schools, these systems offer diverse yet interconnected perspectives on human existence and ultimate truth. This article critically examines the foundational concepts, epistemological and metaphysical positions, and soteriological aims of major Indian philosophical systems. Using qualitative textual analysis and comparative interpretation, the study highlights their interdisciplinary relevance and contemporary significance. The findings underscore that Indian Darshanas remain intellectually vibrant traditions capable of contributing meaningfully to global philosophical discourse and modern academic inquiry (Radhakrishnan, 1951; Sharma, 1960).

Keywords: Indian Philosophy, Darshana, Epistemology, Metaphysics, Liberation.

Introduction:

Indian philosophical systems, commonly referred to as Darshanas, constitute one of the oldest continuous traditions of philosophical reflection in world history. The term Darshana literally means “seeing” or “vision,” signifying a systematic way of perceiving and interpreting reality through disciplined inquiry and lived experience (Hiriyanna, 1932). Unlike philosophical traditions that emphasize speculation alone, Indian philosophy integrates rational analysis, ethical discipline, and experiential realization (Radhakrishnan, 1951). Traditionally, Indian philosophy is classified into six orthodox (Astika) systems—Nyaya, Vaisheshika, Samkhya, Yoga, Mimamsa, and Vedanta—and heterodox (Nastika) systems such as Buddhism, Jainism, and Carvaka (Sharma, 1960). Each system presents a coherent worldview while engaging critically with others through debate and dialogue (Chatterjee & Datta, 1968). This pluralistic yet systematic orientation establishes Indian philosophy as an intellectually rigorous and dynamic tradition. The present article aims to critically analyze Indian Darshanas with reference to their conceptual foundations, comparative dimensions, interdisciplinary relevance, and contemporary global significance.

Review of Literature

The academic study of Indian philosophy has progressed through historical documentation, conceptual analysis, and comparative inquiry. Early systematic expositions by Radhakrishnan (1951) emphasized the spiritual unity underlying diverse philosophical schools. Dasgupta’s monumental History of Indian

Philosophy provided a detailed textual and chronological reconstruction of Indian thought (Dasgupta, 1922–1955). Hiriyanna (1932) and Sharma (1960) countered the misconception that Indian philosophy lacked logical rigor by highlighting its systematic reasoning and epistemological depth. Chatterjee and Datta (1968) offered lucid explanations suitable for academic instruction, while Matilal (1986) and Mohanty (2000) demonstrated the sophistication of Indian logic, language, and epistemology. Potter's Encyclopedia of Indian Philosophies significantly expanded global academic engagement (Potter, 1977–2009). Comparative and cross-cultural studies by King (1999), Ganeri (2017), and Bilimoria (2008) positioned Indian philosophy within global discourse. Contemporary scholarship increasingly explores interdisciplinary relevance, particularly in psychology, ethics, and cognitive science (Feuerstein, 1989; Rao, 2002). Despite this extensive literature, integrative analyses connecting classical philosophy with contemporary relevance remain limited, justifying the present study.

Rationale of the Study

Although Indian philosophical systems have been widely studied, they are often approached as historical or religious traditions rather than as living philosophical frameworks (Mohanty, 2000). In higher education, Darshanas are frequently fragmented across disciplines, limiting their contemporary applicability (Gupta, 2012). The rationale of this study lies in presenting Indian philosophy as a systematic, rational, and experiential tradition capable of addressing modern intellectual and ethical challenges. By synthesizing classical concepts with contemporary interpretation, the study aims to reaffirm the relevance of Darshana in global philosophical inquiry (Ram-Prasad, 2013).

Research Questions

- What foundational philosophical concerns are common across Indian Darshanas?
- How do different systems conceptualize knowledge, reality, and liberation?
- In what ways do Indian philosophical systems engage in mutual critique and dialogue?
- What is the relevance of Darshana in contemporary global philosophy?

Specific Objectives

1. To analyze the foundational concepts of major Indian philosophical systems.
2. To compare similarities and differences among Darshanas in epistemology, metaphysics, and soteriology.
3. To interpret Indian philosophical systems in relation to modern academic disciplines.
4. To assess the contemporary relevance of Darshana in global philosophical discourse.

Materials and Methods

The study employs a qualitative and analytical research design based on textual interpretation and comparative philosophical analysis. Primary sources include classical texts such as the Upaniṣads, Brahma Sūtra, Nyāya Sūtra, Yoga Sūtra, and Buddhist and Jain canonical literature, examined through authoritative translations (Radhakrishnan & Moore, 1957). Secondary sources consist of scholarly monographs, peer-reviewed journal articles, and reference works. The method involves thematic analysis, cross-system comparison, and critical synthesis to derive conceptual clarity and contemporary relevance (Matilal, 1986; Ganeri, 2001).

Discussion and Analysis

Objective 1: Foundational Concepts of Indian Philosophical Systems

Indian Darshanās are unified by their concern with understanding reality, knowledge, and liberation, though they differ in their conceptual frameworks. Core ideas such as karma, dharma, saṁsāra, mokṣa, ātman, and pramāṇa constitute a shared philosophical vocabulary (Sharma, 1960). Nyāya emphasizes epistemic validity and logical reasoning through its theory of pramāṇas (Chakrabarti, 1999), while Vaiśeṣika develops an ontological framework explaining material diversity through categories of existence (Potter, 1998). Sāṅkhya introduces metaphysical dualism between puruṣa and prakṛti (Larson, 1969), and Yoga translates this metaphysics into disciplined practice aimed at mental liberation (Feuerstein, 1989). Mīmāṃsā focuses on ritual action and linguistic analysis, whereas Vedānta explores ultimate reality, particularly through non-dualism in Advaita Vedānta (Deutsch, 1988). Heterodox systems such as Buddhism and Jainism further expand these foundations through doctrines of impermanence, non-self, and epistemological pluralism (Murti, 1955; Jaini, 1979).

Objective 2: Comparative Epistemology, Metaphysics, and Soteriology

Despite shared goals, Indian philosophical systems diverge significantly in epistemology, metaphysics, and soteriology. Nyāya's realist epistemology contrasts with Vedānta's metaphysical non-dualism and Buddhism's process-oriented ontology (Matilal, 1986; Kalupahana, 1992). Metaphysically, Indian philosophy ranges from pluralistic realism to absolute non-dualism (Frauwallner, 1973). Soteriologically, liberation is universally valued but pursued through knowledge, ethical discipline, meditative practice, or insight into impermanence (Sharma, 1960). These differences fostered sustained philosophical debate, contributing to intellectual refinement rather than fragmentation (Bilimoria, 2008).

Objective 3: Relevance to Modern Academic Disciplines

Indian Darshanās exhibit strong interdisciplinary relevance. In education, Vedāntic self-knowledge supports holistic learning (Gupta, 2012), while Nyāya logic enhances critical thinking (Ganeri, 2001). Psychologically, Yoga and Buddhism offer sophisticated analyses of mind and behavior, influencing modern therapeutic practices (Feuerstein, 1989; Rao, 2002). Ethical principles from Jainism and Buddhism address contemporary issues of violence, sustainability, and social harmony (Jaini, 1979; Sen, 2005). In philosophy of mind, Indian debates on consciousness provide non-reductionist alternatives to materialist models (Gupta, 2015).

Objective 4: Contemporary Global Significance

In an increasingly pluralistic world, Indian Darshanās provide models of philosophical tolerance, ethical responsibility, and experiential wisdom (King, 1999). Their integration of theory and practice addresses existential and ethical challenges beyond cultural boundaries (Ram-Prasad, 2013). As global philosophy moves toward intercultural and applied approaches, Indian philosophical systems remain vital contributors to discussions on meaning, consciousness, and human flourishing (Ganeri, 2017).

Limitations & Scope for Future Research

The study is limited by its selective focus on major systems, reliance on translated texts, emphasis on classical interpretations, and selective comparison with Western philosophy (Mohanty, 2000).

Recommendations for Future Research

Future research may explore applied Darshana in education and psychology, conduct deeper cross-cultural comparisons, examine regional sub-schools, and analyze modern reinterpretations responding to global ethical challenges (Bilimoria, 2008).

Concluding Remarks

Indian philosophical systems represent a pluralistic, rational, and experiential tradition addressing fundamental human concerns. Their enduring relevance lies in integrating knowledge, ethics, and practice. Renewed engagement with Darshanās can enrich global philosophy, interdisciplinary research, and holistic education (Radhakrishnan, 1951; Sharma, 1960).

References

- Bhattacharya, H. (1956). *Studies in philosophy*. Progressive Publishers.
- Bhattacharya, R. (2011). *Studies on the Carvaka/Lokayata*. Anthem Press.
- Bhushan, N., & Garfield, J. L. (2011). *Indian philosophy in English: From renaissance to independence*. Oxford University Press.
- Bilimoria, P. (2008). *Indian philosophy through conflict and dialogue*. Routledge.
- Chakrabarti, A. (1999). *Classical Indian philosophy of mind: The Nyaya dualist tradition*. State University of New York Press.
- Chatterjee, S., & Datta, D. (1968). *An introduction to Indian philosophy*. University of Calcutta.
- Dasgupta, S. (1922–1955). *A history of Indian philosophy* (Vols. 1–5). Cambridge University Press.
- Deutsch, E. (1988). *Advaita Vedānta: A philosophical reconstruction*. University of Hawaii Press.
- Feuerstein, G. (1989). *Yoga: The technology of ecstasy*. Tarcher.
- Frauwallner, E. (1973). *History of Indian philosophy*. Motilal Banarsidass.
- Ganeri, J. (2001). *Indian logic: A reader*. Curzon.
- Ganeri, J. (2017). *The self: Naturalism, consciousness, and the first-person stance*. Oxford University Press.
- Gupta, A. (2015). *Consciousness in Indian philosophy: The Advaita doctrine of “awareness only”*. Routledge.
- Gupta, B. (2012). *An introduction to Indian philosophy: Perspectives on reality, knowledge, and freedom*. Routledge.
- Hamilton, S. (2000). *Indian philosophy: A very short introduction*. Oxford University Press.
- Hiriyanna, M. (1932). *Outlines of Indian philosophy*. Allen & Unwin.
- Jaini, P. S. (1979). *The Jaina path of purification*. University of California Press.
- Kalupahana, D. J. (1992). *A history of Buddhist philosophy: Continuities and discontinuities*. University of Hawaii Press.
- King, R. (1999). *Indian philosophy: An introduction to Hindu and Buddhist thought*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Larson, G. J. (1969). *Classical Sāṃkhya: An interpretation of its history and meaning*. Motilal Banarsidass.

- Matilal, B. K. (1986). Perception: An essay on classical Indian theories of knowledge. Oxford University Press.
- Mohanty, J. N. (2000). Classical Indian philosophy. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Murti, T. R. V. (1955). The central philosophy of Buddhism. George Allen & Unwin.
- Perrett, R. W. (2001). Indian philosophy of religion. Springer.
- Potter, K. H. (Ed.). (1977–2009). Encyclopedia of Indian philosophies. Motilal Banarsidass.
- Potter, K. H. (1998). The Nyaya–Vaiśeṣika systems. Motilal Banarsidass.
- Puligandla, R. (1975). Fundamentals of Indian philosophy. Abingdon Press.
- Radhakrishnan, S. (1951). Indian philosophy (Vols. 1–2). George Allen & Unwin.
- Radhakrishnan, S., & Moore, C. A. (1957). A sourcebook in Indian philosophy. Princeton University Press.
- Ram-Prasad, C. (2013). Indian philosophy and the consequences of knowledge. Ashgate.
- Rao, K. R. (2002). Consciousness studies: Cross-cultural perspectives. McFarland.
- Raju, P. T. (1985). Structural depth of Indian thought. State University of New York Press.
- Scharfstein, B. (1998). A comparative history of world philosophy. State University of New York Press.
- Sen, A. (2005). The argumentative Indian. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Sharma, C. D. (1960). A critical survey of Indian philosophy. Motilal Banarsidass.
- Sinha, J. (1958). Indian psychology. Motilal Banarsidass.
- Stcherbatsky, T. (1962). Buddhist logic (Vols. 1–2). Dover.
- Tola, F., & Dragonetti, C. (1995). On Voidness: A study on Buddhist nihilism. Motilal Banarsidass.
- Warder, A. K. (2000). Indian Buddhism. Motilal Banarsidass.
- Zimmer, H. (1951). Philosophies of India. Princeton University Press.

Citation: Paul. Dr. P. K., (2024) “Indian Philosophical Systems (Darshana): A Critical and Contemporary Analysis”, *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-2, Issue-9, October-2024.