



From Playground to Adulthood: Understanding the Development of Social Competence in Children

Santanu Panda

Research Scholar, Department of Education, RKDF University, Ranchi

Abstract:

Social competence represents the capacity to interact effectively with others, encompassing skills such as communication, empathy, cooperation, conflict resolution, and adaptability. Its development is not confined to a specific age but unfolds dynamically from early childhood into adulthood, shaped by family, peers, education, culture, and broader socio-economic factors. This paper explores the trajectory of social competence, starting from the formative playground years where foundational interpersonal skills are learned, to adolescence where peer influence and identity formation become critical, and into adulthood where these skills underpin professional success, intimate relationships, and civic engagement. Drawing upon theories of child development, including Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, Erikson's psychosocial stages, and Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory, the study highlights the interplay between individual dispositions and environmental contexts. The article also examines the role of schools, extracurricular activities, and digital interactions in fostering or impeding social competence. Understanding this developmental continuum is essential for designing interventions that promote healthy social functioning, prevent maladjustment, and equip individuals to navigate the complexities of modern society.

Keywords: Social Competence, Child Development, Peer Interaction, Family Climate, Adolescence.

Introduction:

Human beings are inherently social creatures whose capacity to interact effectively with others is a core determinant of personal well-being and societal cohesion. The construct of social competence refers to an individual's ability to achieve personal and social goals in interactions while maintaining positive relationships (Rose-Krasnor, 1997). While often discussed in the context of childhood, social competence is not static; it evolves continuously from early play interactions in childhood to complex adult social negotiations. The development of these skills is particularly salient in childhood, a period during which foundational interaction patterns are established. As noted by Rubin, Bukowski, and Parker (2006), children's early social experiences influence not only immediate peer acceptance but also long-term psychological adjustment, academic achievement, and mental health. This paper explores the developmental journey of social competence from early childhood to adulthood, identifying key influences, challenges, and opportunities at each stage.

Social competence is a multidimensional construct that integrates social skills, emotional regulation, empathy, and adaptive functioning within various social contexts (Cavell, 1990). Denham et al. (2003)

propose that it comprises both performance components (observable behaviors like sharing, cooperating, or problem-solving) and underlying processes (such as perspective-taking and emotional understanding). The relevance of social competence extends beyond immediate interpersonal satisfaction; it predicts academic outcomes, workplace adaptability, and even physical health (Eisenberg, Fabes, & Spinrad, 2006). Therefore, understanding its developmental trajectory is not only a psychological inquiry but also a socio-educational priority.

Significance of the Study: The study explores the lifelong trajectory of social competence, emphasizing skills like empathy, cooperation, conflict resolution, and communication as vital for positive relationships, academic success, and adult social adaptability. It examines how these abilities develop through both structured environments (classrooms, sports) and unstructured settings (free play, peer groups), while recognizing the influence of cultural context on social development. The findings offer practical insights for educators, parents, and policymakers to design early interventions that promote prosocial behaviors, reduce aggression, and build resilience. By identifying early strategies for fostering these skills, the research supports long-term societal well-being and the cultivation of socially competent individuals.

Objectives: This paper explores the trajectory of social competence, starting from the formative playground years where foundational interpersonal skills are learned, to adolescence where peer influence and identity formation become critical, and into adulthood where these skills underpin professional success, intimate relationships, and civic engagement.

The Playground Years: Early Childhood Foundations

The playground—both literal and metaphorical—is the crucible where social competence begins to take shape. In early childhood, interactions during free play serve as a natural laboratory for practicing communication, sharing, turn-taking, and conflict resolution. Piaget (1932) emphasized the role of peer interaction in moral development, noting that children learn fairness and reciprocity through play. During this stage, family climate plays a pivotal role. Warm, supportive parenting fosters secure attachment, which, in turn, promotes social exploration (Cassidy & Shaver, 2016). Children raised in emotionally responsive environments demonstrate higher levels of empathy and cooperation with peers (Hartup, 1992). Conversely, authoritarian or neglectful parenting can hinder social skills, leading to peer rejection or social withdrawal.

Middle Childhood: Expanding Social Horizons

By middle childhood (ages 6–12), peer groups become increasingly influential. Children develop more nuanced understandings of friendship, loyalty, and group norms. According to Erikson's psychosocial theory, this stage corresponds to the *Industry vs. Inferiority* crisis, where successful navigation fosters a sense of competence, including in social domains (Erikson, 1963).

Teachers and schools act as key socializing agents during this phase. Structured group activities, collaborative learning, and sports teams provide opportunities to refine cooperative skills and leadership abilities. However, peer rejection or bullying during this stage can have long-lasting effects on self-esteem and social competence (Buhs & Ladd, 2001).

Adolescence: Identity, Intimacy, and Peer Influence

Adolescence is marked by the *Identity vs. Role Confusion* stage (Erikson, 1968), where the individual seeks to establish a coherent sense of self, often influenced by peer groups. Social competence at this stage involves navigating complex peer dynamics, romantic relationships, and the increasing demands of autonomy. Empathy, perspective-taking, and conflict resolution become more sophisticated, aided by cognitive advances in abstract reasoning (Steinberg & Morris, 2001). However, susceptibility to peer pressure, particularly in risky behaviors, can challenge social development. Supportive family

communication and mentoring relationships act as protective factors, enhancing resilience and positive peer engagement.

Young Adulthood: Professional and Relational Competence

In early adulthood, social competence is critical for career success and the formation of intimate partnerships. The *Intimacy vs. Isolation* stage (Erikson, 1968) emphasizes the importance of establishing close, trusting relationships. Skills acquired during childhood and adolescence—such as collaboration, negotiation, and emotional regulation—become essential in workplace teamwork and romantic commitments. Moreover, the rise of digital communication has redefined the modes and contexts of social interaction. While online platforms can broaden social networks, they also present challenges, including misinterpretation of social cues and reduced face-to-face engagement (Subrahmanyam & Smahel, 2011).

Adulthood and Lifelong Social Competence

Social competence continues to evolve throughout adulthood. Professional roles, parenting, community involvement, and civic engagement require the ongoing adaptation of interpersonal skills. Those with strong early foundations tend to exhibit greater adaptability in changing life contexts (Waters & Sroufe, 1983). However, life stressors, health issues, and social isolation can erode social functioning, making lifelong learning and self-awareness important for sustaining competence.

Factors Influencing Social Competence

Family Climate: The family serves as the first and most enduring environment in which social competence is nurtured. Emotional warmth from parents or guardians fosters a sense of security and belonging, allowing children to explore and practice social interactions without fear of rejection (Grusec & Davidov, 2010). Clear communication—marked by active listening, open dialogue, and constructive feedback—helps children learn how to express themselves appropriately and understand others' perspectives (Kuczynski & Parkin, 2007). Supportive parenting styles, particularly authoritative approaches that balance high expectations with emotional responsiveness, have been found to promote self-regulation, empathy, and conflict resolution skills that persist into adulthood (Baumrind, 1991; Maccoby & Martin, 1983). Conversely, inconsistent, neglectful, or overly authoritarian environments can limit the development of social confidence and adaptability (Pinquart, 2017).

Peer Relationships: As children grow, peers become a central influence in shaping social competence. Positive peer interactions, such as cooperative play, teamwork, and mutual support, reinforce prosocial behaviors like sharing, empathy, and fairness (Wentzel & Caldwell, 1997). Friendships provide a safe space for practicing negotiation, compromise, and emotional expression (Rubin, Bukowski, & Parker, 2006). However, negative peer experiences—such as bullying, exclusion, or peer pressure—can undermine self-esteem, foster social withdrawal, or encourage antisocial tendencies (Hawker & Boulton, 2000). The ability to navigate both supportive and challenging peer interactions is crucial for long-term social resilience (Bukowski, Laursen, & Rubin, 2018).

School Environment: Schools provide structured social settings where children encounter diverse individuals and situations that challenge and refine their social skills. Cooperative learning activities encourage teamwork, problem-solving, and respect for different viewpoints (Johnson & Johnson, 2009). Inclusive classrooms promote tolerance and reduce social barriers, especially for children from marginalized or differently-abled backgrounds (Booth & Ainscow, 2011). Extracurricular activities, such as sports teams, drama clubs, and student councils, offer additional opportunities to develop leadership, communication, and group cohesion (Mahoney, Larson, & Eccles, 2005). Teachers play a pivotal role by modeling positive interactions, setting clear behavioral expectations, and providing timely support when conflicts arise (Hamre & Pianta, 2006).

Cultural Context: Culture shapes both the form and function of social competence by setting the norms, values, and expectations that guide interpersonal behavior (Trommsdorff & Cole, 2011). In collectivist cultures, for example, cooperation, harmony, and respect for elders may be prioritized, while in more individualistic cultures, assertiveness, independence, and self-promotion might be valued (Triandis, 1995). These cultural frameworks influence not only how children learn to interact but also how they are evaluated by their peers and adults (Chen, French, & Schneider, 2006). Exposure to multiple cultural perspectives, whether through migration, education, or media, can expand an individual's social adaptability and empathy across diverse contexts (Spitzberg & Changnon, 2009).

Digital Media: In the modern era, digital platforms—from social media to online gaming—play a significant role in shaping social competence. When used mindfully, digital media can enhance connection, provide access to diverse perspectives, and help maintain relationships across geographical distances (Subrahmanyam & Šmahel, 2011). It can also offer shy or socially anxious individuals a low-pressure environment for initiating interactions (Valkenburg & Peter, 2009). However, excessive or unbalanced use may reduce opportunities for face-to-face communication, weaken nonverbal communication skills, and expose users to risks such as cyberbullying or unrealistic social comparisons (Twenge, 2017). Balancing online engagement with in-person interactions is essential for holistic social skill development (Uhls et al., 2014).

Implications for Practice and Policy

The promotion of social competence across the lifespan requires a multi-tiered approach that engages families, schools, communities, and policymakers in a coordinated effort. Early intervention is particularly critical, as research consistently demonstrates that foundational social skills developed during early childhood set the stage for later interpersonal success and psychological well-being (Denham et al., 2012).

- **Early Family-Based Interventions:** Parents and caregivers serve as the first and most influential socializing agents in a child's life (Grusec & Davidov, 2010). Parent training programs that emphasize supportive communication, emotional coaching, and consistent positive discipline can help establish a nurturing home climate conducive to social growth (Kuczynski & Parkin, 2007). Such programs should also address the importance of modeling empathy, active listening, and respectful conflict resolution (Baumrind, 1991). When families practice these behaviors consistently, children are more likely to internalize them, leading to long-term benefits in their ability to form and maintain healthy relationships (Maccoby & Martin, 1983; Pinquart, 2017).
- **School-Based Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) Integration:** Schools represent a structured setting in which children interact with peers from diverse backgrounds, making them an ideal arena for deliberate social skills training (Johnson & Johnson, 2009). Integrating SEL into the curriculum ensures that children have regular, guided opportunities to learn and practice skills such as cooperation, perspective-taking, and emotional regulation. Research indicates that SEL programs not only improve interpersonal competencies but also boost academic performance and reduce behavioral problems (Durlak et al., 2011). Additionally, extracurricular activities—such as sports, drama, debate, and student clubs—should be encouraged, as they provide rich contexts for collaboration, leadership, and negotiation (Mahoney, Larson, & Eccles, 2005).
- **Community Engagement and Structured Peer Opportunities:** Beyond the school environment, community organizations can play a vital role in supporting social competence (Eccles & Gootman, 2002). After-school programs, youth clubs, mentorship initiatives, and recreational centers offer safe and inclusive spaces where children and adolescents can interact constructively (Bohnert, Fredricks, & Randall, 2010). These programs should be intentionally designed to promote prosocial values, inclusivity, and cross-age mentorship (Larson, 2000). By engaging with diverse peer groups and adult

role models, young people can broaden their understanding of social norms and develop adaptive communication skills (Fredricks & Eccles, 2006).

- **Policy-Level Support for Family and Youth Development:** Policymakers have a crucial role in creating conditions that facilitate positive social development (Shonkoff & Phillips, 2000). This includes enacting policies that promote family stability—such as parental leave, affordable childcare, and work-life balance measures (Waldfogel, 2006)—inclusive education (Booth & Ainscow, 2011), and youth engagement (Eccles & Gootman, 2002). Policies that address child poverty, reduce exposure to violence, and expand access to mental health services can also have a profound impact on social development outcomes (Yoshikawa, Aber, & Beardslee, 2012).
- **Digital Media Literacy and Balanced Use:** As digital technology becomes increasingly central to children's lives, there is a need for targeted interventions that promote healthy online interactions while preventing overreliance on virtual communication at the expense of face-to-face skills (Subrahmanyam & Šmahel, 2011). Schools and parents should collaborate on digital literacy education, helping children understand online etiquette, empathy in digital communication, and the importance of balancing screen time with in-person social engagement (Valkenburg & Peter, 2009; Uhls et al., 2014).

In essence, fostering social competence is not the responsibility of a single sector—it requires an integrated, sustained approach involving families, educators, community leaders, and policymakers. By embedding social development principles into family practices, educational frameworks, community activities, and legislative agendas, societies can nurture generations of individuals equipped to navigate interpersonal, professional, and civic life with confidence, empathy, and adaptability.

Conclusion:

The journey of social competence from playground to adulthood reflects a complex interplay of biological maturation, environmental influences, and personal agency. Early interventions, sustained support, and adaptive learning environments are essential for nurturing these skills, ensuring individuals are equipped not only for personal success but for constructive participation in society.

References:

- Baumrind, D. (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 11(1), 56–95. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431691111004>
- Biswas Santanu; & Biswas Sarmistha;: “Empowering Indian Women: Sister Nivedita's enduring legacy in education and social reform” “International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews (IJRPR).” 5(6), 2024, Page: 1230 – 1235.
- Biswas Santanu; & Kumari Madhu;: “Integrating indigenous wisdom: transforming higher education with Bhartiya knowledge systems.” “American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research.” 5(2), 2024, Page: 132-142.
- Biswas Santanu; & Kumari Madhu;: “The Burden of care: A systematic review of parental stress in families of children with intellectual disabilities.” “International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD)” 8(4), 2024, Page: 842-849.
- Biswas Santanu; Banerjee Rabin; “Attitude towards integrating ICT in the teaching learning in the higher secondary level: A survey,” “International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews (IJRPR)”, 5(6), 2024, Page: 1-4.

- Biswas, Santanu; &Chatterjee, Pankaj;: “Students’ Attitudes towards E-Learning from a Socio-Economic Perspectives.” “Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (Bijmrd)”. 2(11), 2024, Page: 1-12.
- Bohnert, A. M., Fredricks, J. A., & Randall, E. (2010). Capturing unique dimensions of youth organized activity involvement: Theoretical and methodological considerations. *Review of Educational Research*, 80(4), 576–610. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654310364533>
- Booth, T., &Ainscow, M. (2011). *Index for inclusion: Developing learning and participation in schools* (3rd ed.). Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education.
- Buhs, E. S., & Ladd, G. W. (2001). Peer rejection as an antecedent of young children's school adjustment: An examination of mediating processes. *Developmental Psychology*, 37(4), 550–560.
- Bukowski, W. M., Laursen, B., & Rubin, K. H. (2018). *Handbook of peer interactions, relationships, and groups* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Cassidy, J., & Shaver, P. R. (2016). *Handbook of attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications*. Guilford Press.
- Cavell, T. A. (1990). Social adjustment, social performance, and social skills: A tri-component model of social competence. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 19(2), 111–122.
- Chen, X., French, D. C., & Schneider, B. H. (2006). Culture and peer relationships. In X. Chen, D. C. French, & B. H. Schneider (Eds.), *Peer relationships in cultural context* (pp. 3–20). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511499730.002>
- Daripa, Soumili; Khawas, Koomkoom; Das, Sanatanu., Dey; Ratan. Kumar; &Kuila, Biplab Kumar; “Aligned Proton Conducting Graphene Sheets via Block Copolymer Supramolecular Assembly and Their Application for Highly Transparent Moisture Sensing Conductive Coating.” “CHEMISTRY SELECT, C” 4, 2019, Page: 7523 -7531.
- Denham, S. A., et al. (2003). Social–emotional learning in early childhood: What we know and where to go from here. *Child Development*, 74(1), 37–53.
- Denham, S. A., Wyatt, T. M., Bassett, H. H., Echeverria, D., & Knox, S. S. (2012). Assessing social–emotional development in children from a longitudinal perspective. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 66(Suppl 1), i38–i48. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2010.109108>
- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., &Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students’ social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01564.x>
- Eccles, J., &Gootman, J. A. (Eds.). (2002). *Community programs to promote youth development*. National Academy Press.
- Eisenberg, N., Fabes, R. A., &Spinrad, T. L. (2006). Prosocial development. In *Handbook of child psychology* (6th ed.). Wiley.
- Erikson, E. H. (1963). *Childhood and society*. Norton.
- Fredricks, J. A., & Eccles, J. S. (2006). Is extracurricular participation associated with beneficial outcomes? Concurrent and longitudinal relations. *Developmental Psychology*, 42(4), 698–713. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.42.4.698>

- Grusec, J. E., &Davidov, M. (2010). Integrating different perspectives on socialization theory and research: A domain-specific approach. *Child Development*, 81(3), 687–709. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01426.x>
- Hamre, B. K., &Pianta, R. C. (2006). Student–teacher relationships. In G. G. Bear & K. M. Minke (Eds.), *Children’s needs III: Development, prevention, and intervention* (pp. 59–71). National Association of School Psychologists.
- Hartup, W. W. (1992). Peer relations in early and middle childhood. *Developmental Psychology*, 28(1), 1–14.
- Hawker, D. S. J., &Boulton, M. J. (2000). Twenty years’ research on peer victimization and psychosocial maladjustment: A meta-analytic review of cross-sectional studies. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 41(4), 441–455. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-7610.00629>
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher*, 38(5), 365–379. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X09339057>
- Khan Ebne, Saud; & Biswas Santanu; “ WOMEN EMPOWERMENT AND THE IMPACT OF EDUCATION IN THE DISTRICT OF BIRBHUM IN WEST BENGAL IN INDIA.” “International Journal of Humanities, Engineering, Science and Management.” 3(2), 2022, Page 10 – 15.
- Khawas, Koomkoom.; Daripa, Soumili.; Kumari, Pallavi.; Bera, Manas Kumar; Malik, Sudip; &Kuila, Biplab Kumar; : “Simple Synthesis of End Functionalized Regioregular Poly(3-Hexyl thiophene) by Catalytic-Initiated Kumada Catalyst Transfer Polymerization.” JOURNAL OF POLYMER SCIENCE, PART A: POLYMER CHEMISTRY” 57, 2019, Page: 945- 951.
- KoomkoomKhawas: “The Evolution of Green Chemistry: A Historical Perspective” “BHARATI INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT (BIJMRD)” 8(2), 2024, Page: 155 – 159.
- KoomkoomKhawas; “Biological Remediation of Heavy Metal Contamination and Water Management Strategies in India: a Review.” “Spanish Journal of Innovation and Integrity” 36, 2024, Page: 26 – 31.
- Kuczynski, L., &Parkin, C. M. (2007). Agency and bidirectionality in socialization: Interactions, transactions, and relational dialectics. In J. E. Grusec& P. D. Hastings (Eds.), *Handbook of socialization: Theory and research* (pp. 259–283). Guilford Press.
- Larson, R. W. (2000). Toward a psychology of positive youth development. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 170–183. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.170>
- Maccoby, E. E., & Martin, J. A. (1983). Socialization in the context of the family: Parent–child interaction. In E. M. Hetherington (Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology: Vol. 4. Socialization, personality, and social development* (pp. 1–101). Wiley.
- Mahoney, J. L., Larson, R. W., & Eccles, J. S. (2005). *Organized activities as contexts of development: Extracurricular activities, after-school and community programs*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Pal, Dibyarupa; &Khawas, Koomkoom; : “Potential Sources and Uses of Chitin and its Polymers: a Review.” “JOURNAL OF DISCOVERIES IN APPLIED AND NATURAL SCIENCE” 2, 2024, Page: 1-12.

- Piaget, J. (1932). *The moral judgment of the child*. Free Press.
- Pinquart, M. (2017). Associations of parenting dimensions and styles with externalizing problems of children and adolescents: An updated meta-analysis. *Developmental Psychology*, 53(5), 873–932. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000295>
- Pinquart, M. (2017). Associations of parenting styles and dimensions with academic achievement in children and adolescents: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 28(3), 475–493. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-015-9338-y>
- Rose-Krasnor, L. (1997). The nature of social competence: A theoretical review. *Social Development*, 6(1), 111–135.
- Rubin, K. H., Bukowski, W., & Parker, J. G. (2006). Peer interactions, relationships, and groups. In *Handbook of child psychology*. Wiley.
- Samir Chattopadhyay; &Santanu Biswas; “Pedagogical and Structural Changes in Schools in Light of NEP 2020.” “Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (Bijmrd)” 2(11), 2024, Page: 13-26.
- Shonkoff, J. P., & Phillips, D. A. (Eds.). (2000). *From neurons to neighborhoods: The science of early childhood development*. National Academy Press.
- Sinha, Amardeep; Kumari, Nilu; &Khawas, Koomkoom; : “Role of Nuclear Chemistry in Environmental Applications.” “BHARATI INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT (BIJMRD)” 2, 2024, Page: 61-70.
- Spitzberg, B. H., &Changnon, G. (2009). Conceptualizing intercultural competence. In D. K. Deardorff (Ed.), *The SAGE handbook of intercultural competence* (pp. 2–52). SAGE.
- Steinberg, L., & Morris, A. S. (2001). Adolescent development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 83–110.
- Subrahmanyam, K., &Šmahel, D. (2011). *Digital youth: The role of media in development*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-6278-2>
- Triandis, H. C. (1995). *Individualism and collectivism*. Westview Press.
- Trommsdorff, G., & Cole, P. M. (2011). Emotion, self-regulation, and social behavior in cultural contexts. In X. Chen & K. H. Rubin (Eds.), *Socioemotional development in cultural context* (pp. 131–163). Guilford Press.
- Twenge, J. M. (2017). *iGen: Why today’s super-connected kids are growing up less rebellious, more tolerant, less happy—and completely unprepared for adulthood*. Atria Books.
- Uhls, Y. T., Ellison, N. B., &Subrahmanyam, K. (2014). Benefits and costs of social media in adolescence. *Pediatrics*, 140(Suppl 2), S67–S70. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-1758E>
- Uhls, Y. T., Michikyan, M., Morris, J., Garcia, D., Small, G. W., Zgourou, E., & Greenfield, P. M. (2014). Five days at outdoor education camp without screens improves preteen skills with nonverbal emotion cues. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 39, 387–392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.05.036>

- Valkenburg, P. M., & Peter, J. (2009). Social consequences of the internet for adolescents: A decade of research. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 18(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01595.x>
- Waldfogel, J. (2006). *What children need*. Harvard University Press.
- Waters, E., & Sroufe, L. A. (1983). Social competence as a developmental construct. *Developmental Review*, 3(1), 79–97.
- Wentzel, K. R., & Caldwell, K. A. (1997). Friendships, peer acceptance, and group membership: Relations to academic achievement in middle school. *Child Development*, 68(6), 1198–1209. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1132301>
- Yoshikawa, H., Aber, J. L., & Beardslee, W. R. (2012). The effects of poverty on the mental, emotional, and behavioral health of children and youth: Implications for prevention. *American Psychologist*, 67(4), 272–284. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028015>

Citation: Panda. S., (2025) “From Playground to Adulthood: Understanding the Development of Social Competence in Children”, *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-3, Issue-10, October-2025.