



The Realities of Stress, Resilience, and Coping Among Young Adults

Ms. Susmita Biswas

Assistant Professor, Department of Clinical Psychology, ICFAI University, Tripura.
Email: susmitabiswas@iutripura.edu.in

Abstract:

Early adulthood, typically defined as the period between ages 18 and 25, is often portrayed as a time of discovery and independence, yet it is equally characterized by mounting pressures and instability. This chapter explores how young adults negotiate a landscape marked by academic challenges, precarious employment, identity exploration, and shifting social networks. Drawing on both empirical evidence and theoretical models, we examine how stress, resilience, and coping strategies interact in this life stage. Research consistently shows that stress among young adults is rising, while many traditional support systems fail to meet their actual needs. Resilience, rather than being a matter of toughness, reflects adaptability, supportive social connections, and the ability to reframe adversity. We also consider how contextual factors such as gender, socioeconomic status, and cultural background shape stress experiences and coping outcomes. The chapter reviews interventions with empirical support, including cognitive-behavioral methods, mindfulness programs, and peer-led initiatives, and weighs the relative effectiveness of adaptive (e.g., problem-solving, social support seeking) versus maladaptive (e.g., avoidance, substance use, emotional suppression) coping styles. Finally, we call for educators, clinicians, and policymakers to reconsider current support structures and implement developmentally informed approaches that reflect the complexities of emerging adulthood.

Keywords: Stress, Resilience, Coping Mechanisms, Emerging Adulthood, Mental Health, Evidence-Based Intervention.

Introduction:

Young adulthood, often romanticized as a period of limitless opportunity and independence, is more accurately characterized as a time of profound psychological, social, and environmental transition, each carrying potential implications for mental health (Arnett, 2000). Between the ages of 18 and 25, individuals are expected to balance competing demands—academic deadlines, precarious employment, shifting relationships, and the pressure to define future goals—while simultaneously navigating identity formation and independence. This developmental stage is not merely transitional but developmentally distinct, marked by heightened vulnerability to stress-related disorders. Recent studies estimate that nearly one in three young

adults reports experiencing chronic stress, with prolonged exposure linked to increased risk for anxiety, depression, and substance use disorders (Wood et al., 2018).

Understanding how stress interacts with coping mechanisms and resilience processes is essential for designing interventions that support psychological well-being. Adaptive strategies—such as active problem-solving, cultivating supportive social networks, and cognitive reframing—can buffer stress and foster growth (Compas et al., 2017). Conversely, maladaptive habits like avoidance, emotional suppression, and substance misuse often exacerbate distress and contribute to negative developmental cascades. This chapter synthesizes current research to illuminate the complex interplay of stress, resilience, and coping in young adulthood, with a focus on evidence-based interventions and the socio-cultural factors that shape these processes.

Understanding Stress in Young Adulthood

Stress during young adulthood is rarely incidental; for many, it becomes a defining feature of the developmental stage. The transition to independence brings numerous challenges, including academic performance pressures, insecure job prospects, evolving social dynamics, and uncertainties around identity formation. National surveys repeatedly show that individuals aged 18–25 report higher stress levels than any other age group, with academic and career concerns frequently at the forefront (American Psychological Association, 2022). For example, more than 40% of college students describe stress intense enough to disrupt sleep, elevate anxiety, and reduce motivation (Saleh et al., 2017). Arnett's (2000) model of “emerging adulthood” highlights this volatility, framing the period as one of both exploration and instability. The burden is especially acute for those from marginalized backgrounds, who must contend not only with these general pressures but also with systemic discrimination and heightened family obligations (Wood et al., 2018). Without effective coping mechanisms, prolonged stress can progress to clinical disorders, reinforcing the need for timely and targeted interventions.

The Role of Resilience in Building Mental Fortitude

Resilience is best understood not as invulnerability but as the ability to adapt and recover from adversity. In young adults, resilience functions as a protective mechanism that buffers the effects of stress and creates growth opportunities. Masten (2014) describes resilience as a dynamic process shaped by personal characteristics, social networks, and environmental conditions, all of which support positive adaptation under strain. Ungar and Theron (2020) emphasize that resilience often develops through lived experiences of challenge, such as academic setbacks or relational difficulties, which foster optimism and self-efficacy. Longitudinal research by Werner and Smith (2001) further demonstrates that individuals who display resilience often benefit from mentorship, consistent support, and advanced problem-solving skills. Gender differences also emerge in coping styles: women frequently rely on emotional support systems, while men often favor independent coping strategies (Tamres et al., 2002). Importantly, resilience is cultivated rather than innate, underscoring the need for environments that foster its growth, particularly in light of disruptions such as the COVID-19 pandemic (Prime et al., 2020).

Coping Mechanisms: Adaptive vs. Maladaptive Strategies

The strategies individuals use to cope with stress directly influence mental health outcomes. Adaptive coping—such as deliberate problem-solving and seeking meaningful social support—is consistently linked with long-term psychological well-being, reduced anxiety, and personal development (Carver et al., 1989; Skinner et al., 2003). In contrast, maladaptive strategies—including avoidance, denial, or reliance on substances—are associated with elevated risks of depression and other psychological difficulties in emerging

adults (Aldao et al., 2010). Seiffge-Krenke (2013) notes that although avoidance may serve as a temporary response during transitions, shifting toward more adaptive methods facilitates stronger emotional regulation. Newer concerns, such as behavioral addictions to gaming or excessive social media use, illustrate how modern maladaptive coping can worsen feelings of isolation and disengagement (Griffiths, 2017). Coping remains highly individualized, shaped by personal temperament, life history, and contextual factors.

Factors Influencing Coping Effectiveness

The effectiveness of coping strategies depends on a web of intersecting factors. Socioeconomic status plays a significant role, as young adults from lower-income backgrounds often face heightened stress but fewer adaptive resources (Conger & Donnellan, 2007). Identity-based factors are also critical: LGBTQ+ youth frequently experience unique stressors related to discrimination, requiring tailored support strategies (Meyer, 2003). Cultural context further shapes coping patterns; collectivist cultures may emphasize family-centered strategies, while individualist cultures privilege self-reliance (Chun et al., 2006). Digital environments are increasingly influential, with social media serving both as a risk factor—through social comparison—and as a resource for community building (Vogel et al., 2014). Even within young adulthood, coping evolves: those in their early twenties tend to focus on identity exploration, while individuals in their mid-twenties often prioritize stability, influencing the strategies they adopt (Arnett, 2014). Recognizing this diversity is crucial for designing interventions that are both inclusive and effective.

Evidence-Based Interventions for Stress Management and Resilience

Interventions aimed at reducing stress and fostering resilience in young adults must account for both developmental and contextual factors. **Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT)** remains the most empirically supported approach, equipping individuals with tools to identify cognitive distortions, regulate emotions, and engage in effective problem-solving (Hofmann et al., 2012). Group-based CBT programs have been particularly effective in campus settings, normalizing stress experiences and encouraging peer-to-peer learning.

- **Mindfulness-based interventions**—popularized through the work of Kabat-Zinn (2003)—offer another evidence-backed pathway, cultivating nonjudgmental awareness and reducing physiological stress reactivity. Such programs have been shown to lower symptoms of anxiety and depression and to improve academic performance, making them a compelling option for student populations.
- Beyond traditional therapy, **digital health innovations** are reshaping stress management. Mobile apps, teletherapy platforms, and gamified interventions offer scalable, on-demand solutions that meet young adults where they are (Conley et al., 2016; Fleming et al., 2021). Importantly, research indicates that hybrid models—combining digital tools with in-person counseling—yield the strongest and most sustained outcomes.
- Institutional strategies also play a critical role. **Integrated campus-based initiatives** that combine stress reduction programs with academic advising, financial counseling, and peer mentorship show promise in addressing structural stressors that individual therapy alone cannot resolve (Regehr et al., 2013). However, barriers such as stigma, limited funding, and uneven access to care remain persistent challenges, underscoring the need for policy-level action to embed resilience-building resources within educational and workplace systems.

Conclusion:

Young adulthood represents a pivotal developmental window where stress exposure, coping strategies, and resilience processes converge to shape long-term mental health trajectories. When supported by timely, developmentally informed interventions, this period can be one of profound growth rather than chronic vulnerability (Arnett, 2000; Masten, 2014). Adaptive coping mechanisms—problem-solving, social connection, and emotional regulation—are critical not only for mitigating immediate distress but also for fostering durable psychological resources (Compas et al., 2017).

Yet, without intentional support, maladaptive strategies such as avoidance or substance use risk transforming normative stress into entrenched psychopathology. For clinicians, educators, and policymakers, the challenge lies in designing systems that are inclusive, accessible, and culturally responsive. Future directions must go beyond individual therapy to include institutional policy reform, digital innovation, and community-based peer support initiatives. Strengthening resilience at this life stage has implications that extend well into adulthood, equipping young people with the tools to thrive in an increasingly complex and uncertain world.

References:

- Aldao, A., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Schweizer, S. (2010). Emotion-regulation strategies across psychopathology: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30(2), 217–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2009.11.004>
- American Psychological Association. (2022). *Stress in America 2022: Concerned for the future, beset by inflation*. <https://www.apa.org/news/press/releases/stress/2022/concerned-future-inflation>
- Arnett, J. J. (2000). Emerging adulthood: A theory of development from the late teens through the twenties. *American Psychologist*, 55(5), 469–480. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.5.469>
- Arnett, J. J. (2014). *Emerging adulthood: The winding road from the late teens through the twenties* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: A theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56(2), 267–283. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.56.2.267>
- Chun, C. A., Moos, R. H., & Cronkite, R. C. (2006). Culture: A fundamental context for the stress and coping paradigm. In P. T. P. Wong & L. C. J. Wong (Eds.), *Handbook of multicultural perspectives on stress and coping* (pp. 29–53). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-26238-5_3
- Compas, B. E., Jaser, S. S., Bettis, A. H., Watson, K. H., Gruhn, M. A., Dunbar, J. P., Williams, E., & Thigpen, J. C. (2017). Coping, emotion regulation, and psychopathology in childhood and adolescence: A meta-analysis and narrative review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(9), 939–991. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000110>
- Conger, R. D., & Donnellan, M. B. (2007). An interactionist perspective on the socioeconomic context of human development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 58, 175–199. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085551>
- Conley, C. S., Durlak, J. A., & Kirsch, A. C. (2015). A meta-analysis of universal mental health

prevention programs for higher education students. *Prevention Science*, 16(4), 487–507. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-014-0542-1>

- Fleming, T., Dixon, R., Frampton, C., & Merry, S. (2021). A pragmatic randomized controlled trial of computerized CBT (SPARX) for symptoms of depression among adolescents excluded from mainstream education. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 49(3), 331–345. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S135246582100001X>
- Griffiths, M. D. (2017). Behavioural addictions: An issue for everybody? *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 29(3), 231–238. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JWL-07-2016-0062>
- Hofmann, S. G., Asnaani, A., Vonk, I. J. J., Sawyer, A. T., & Fang, A. (2012). The efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy: A review of meta-analyses. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 36(5), 427–440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-012-9476-1>
- Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). Mindfulness-based interventions in context: Past, present, and future. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 10(2), 144–156. <https://doi.org/10.1093/clipsy.bpg016>
- Masten, A. S. (2014). Global perspectives on resilience in children and youth. *Child Development*, 85(1), 6–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12205>
- Meyer, I. H. (2003). Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(5), 674–697. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.5.674>
- Prime, H., Wade, M., & Browne, D. T. (2020). Risk and resilience in family well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. *American Psychologist*, 75(5), 631–643. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000660>
- Regehr, C., Glancy, D., & Pitts, A. (2013). Interventions to reduce stress in university students: A systematic review. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 74(6), 491–498. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2012.11.011>
- Saleh, D., Camart, N., & Romo, L. (2017). Predictors of stress in college students. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, Article 19. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00019>
- Seiffge-Krenke, I. (2013). *Stress, coping, and relationships in adolescence*. Psychology Press. (Original work published 1995)
- Skinner, E. A., Edge, K., Altman, J., & Sherwood, H. (2003). Searching for the structure of coping: A review and critique of category systems for classifying ways of coping. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(2), 216–269. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.2.216>
- Tamres, L. K., Janicki, D., & Helgeson, V. S. (2002). Sex differences in coping behavior: A meta-analytic review and an examination of relative coping. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 6(1), 2–30. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327957PSPR0601_1
- Ungar, M., & Theron, L. (2020). Resilience and mental health: How multisystemic processes contribute to positive outcomes. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 7(5), 441–448. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(19\)30434-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30434-1)

- Vogel, E. A., Rose, J. P., Roberts, L. R., & Eckles, K. (2014). Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, 3(4), 206–222. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000047>
- Werner, E. E., & Smith, R. S. (2001). *Journeys from childhood to midlife: Risk, resilience, and recovery*. Cornell University Press.
- Wood, D., Crapnell, T., Lau, L., Bennett, A., Lotstein, D., Ferris, M., & Kuo, A. (2018). Emerging adulthood as a critical stage in the life course. In N. Halfon, C. B. Forrest, R. M. Lerner, & E. M. Faustman (Eds.), *Handbook of life course health development* (pp. 123–143). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47143-3_7

Citation: Biswas. Ms. S., (2025) “The Realities of Stress, Resilience, and Coping Among Young Adults”, *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-3, Issue-09, September-2025.