Intersecting Pathways: Socio-Economic Status and Parental Aspirations in Tribal Girls' Education at the Secondary Level

Asim Mahata[1] & Dr. Chaman Singh[2]

1. Research Scholar, Department of Education, YBN University, Ranchi, Email: aaniconic@gmail.com

2. Dean, Department of Education, YBN University, Ranchi

Abstract:

This study examines the interplay between socio-economic status (SES) and parental aspirations in influencing the academic achievement of tribal girls at the secondary level. Tribal communities in India face multiple barriers to education, including poverty, cultural marginalization, gender norms, and limited access to quality schooling. Parental aspirations, often shaped by these socio-economic realities, play a pivotal role in determining girls' enrollment, attendance, and academic performance. Through a conceptual exploration supported by existing literature, this research highlights the importance of integrating economic support with initiatives to raise awareness among parents and communities. The study emphasizes culturally responsive education, mentorship, and infrastructure development as crucial strategies for bridging educational disparities. By understanding these intersecting factors, policymakers, educators, and community leaders can create inclusive learning environments that foster equity and empowerment for tribal girls, ensuring sustainable development and social transformation in marginalized regions.

Keywords: *Socio-Economic Status, Parental Aspirations, Tribal Girls, Secondary Education, Educational Equity.*

Introduction:

The education of tribal communities in India represents one of the most pressing challenges in the pursuit of equity and inclusion within the nation's education system. Despite significant progress in literacy rates and school enrollment over the past decades, tribal populations—especially tribal girls—continue to face structural barriers to quality education. Secondary education marks a crucial stage in a child's life, offering not only academic learning but also opportunities for social and economic mobility. However, tribal girls at this stage often experience multiple layers of marginalization, including poverty, cultural alienation, geographical isolation, and gendered expectations.

This research article seeks to conceptually explore the intersecting pathways of socio-economic status (SES) and parental aspirations in shaping the academic achievement of tribal girls at the secondary level. By analyzing these factors in tandem, it contributes to an understanding of how systemic inequities and family dynamics influence educational outcomes. It also interrogates the ways in which parental aspirations—despite economic hardship—can emerge as a powerful motivator for girls' education.

Significance of the Study:

This study holds significance as it explores the intersection of socio-economic status (SES) and parental aspirations in shaping the educational experiences of tribal girls at the secondary level. By highlighting how poverty, cultural factors, and limited access to resources influence both parental expectations and girls' learning opportunities, the research provides insights into persistent educational disparities in marginalized communities. The findings will contribute to policy formulation, guide targeted interventions for tribal education, and promote gender equity by emphasizing culturally responsive strategies that empower families and enhance girls' educational outcomes.

Objectives:

This research article seeks to conceptually explore the intersecting pathways of socio-economic status (SES) and parental aspirations in shaping the academic achievement of tribal girls at the secondary level.

Socio-Economic Status: A Determinant of Educational Opportunity

Socio-economic status is widely recognized as a strong predictor of educational attainment across different social groups (Sirin, 2005). For tribal communities, SES is typically defined by low income levels, subsistence agriculture, lack of access to health and educational resources, and limited exposure to urban opportunities (Nambissan, 2010). The intersection of poverty and education becomes particularly stark for tribal girls, whose schooling often competes with household labor, sibling care, and early marriage pressures (Govinda & Bandyopadhyay, 2011).

Research consistently shows that students from higher SES backgrounds have access to better schools, books, tutoring, and extracurricular opportunities (Reardon, 2011). For tribal girls, the absence of these resources often results in lower attendance rates, poor performance in standardized assessments, and higher dropout rates (Tilak, 2014). According to Census and National Sample Survey data, tribal families in rural India often face infrastructural challenges such as inadequate school facilities, transportation barriers, and digital divides, further widening the educational gap (Government of India, 2019).

Yet, SES should not be viewed only as an economic variable; it also encompasses social and cultural capital. Theories by Bourdieu (1986) and Coleman (1988) emphasize that SES reflects not just wealth but also networks, attitudes, and forms of knowledge that influence children's learning environments. Tribal communities, while resource-constrained, maintain unique forms of cultural capital that may not align with mainstream schooling but still play a critical role in children's identity and resilience (Das & Halder, 2018). This disconnect between school culture and tribal life often creates feelings of alienation, affecting academic performance.

Parental Aspirations: A Motivational Force Amid Constraints

Parental aspirations—the expectations and hopes parents hold for their children's education—are a powerful yet underexplored factor in shaping students' academic achievement (Eccles & Wigfield, 2002). Aspirations serve as a motivational resource, influencing both children's academic self-concept and their perseverance in education. For tribal girls, parental aspirations can either reinforce traditional gender roles or challenge them, opening pathways for upward mobility (Khanna, 2020).

In many tribal communities, education is increasingly perceived as a means of breaking cycles of poverty (Mehta, 2011). Parents, even with limited formal education themselves, often hold high aspirations for their children, recognizing the transformative potential of schooling. Studies in rural India have documented cases

where families make significant sacrifices to ensure that their daughters attend school, reflecting a shift in traditional attitudes toward female education (Jha & Jhingran, 2005).

However, aspirations alone cannot overcome systemic barriers. The concept of "aspirational capability" (Appadurai, 2004) highlights that marginalized communities often face structural limitations that restrict their ability to translate aspirations into achievements. Factors such as financial instability, lack of role models, and limited access to higher education institutions may discourage families from sustaining their aspirations over time (Jeffery et al., 2017).

Intersecting Pathways: SES and Parental Aspirations in Context

The relationship between SES and parental aspirations is complex and bidirectional. On one hand, higher SES enables parents to set and support ambitious educational goals for their children by providing financial resources, private tutoring, and a stimulating home environment (Desforges & Abouchaar, 2003). On the other hand, low SES does not necessarily equate to low aspirations; many studies have shown that disadvantaged parents often place a high value on education precisely because they view it as a pathway out of poverty (Mistry et al., 2010).

For tribal girls, this intersection is particularly significant. Families with higher SES within tribal communities are more likely to invest in girls' education, while lower-income households often face the dual burden of poverty and gender discrimination (Nambissan, 2010). In some cases, parents may prioritize sons' education over daughters' due to cultural norms, thereby limiting opportunities for girls (Jha & Jhingran, 2005).

However, there is also evidence of resilience among tribal families, where parental aspirations for daughters' education challenge patriarchal expectations (Khanna, 2020). Education becomes a tool of empowerment, allowing tribal girls to navigate systemic inequities and participate in decision-making processes within their families and communities. This interplay between SES and aspirations suggests that interventions aimed at improving tribal girls' education must consider both structural and cultural dynamics (Govinda & Bandyopadhyay, 2011).

The Role of Gender and Culture

The education of tribal girls cannot be understood without a careful examination of gender norms and cultural values, both of which deeply shape their educational experiences (Stromquist, 2015). In many tribal societies, girls' contributions to household labor, caregiving responsibilities, and agricultural work are essential to family survival. This economic dependence on girls' labor often results in irregular school attendance, limited time for studies, and, in many cases, early school dropout (Nambissan, 2010). Furthermore, deeply ingrained gendered expectations frequently emphasize marriage, domestic responsibilities, and adherence to traditional roles over formal education, creating additional barriers to accessing higher learning opportunities (Jeffery et al., 2017).

However, it is important to note that tribal cultures are not homogenous or uniformly patriarchal. Several tribal communities across India are known to practice relatively egalitarian gender relations, where women historically have enjoyed greater freedom and participation in community decision-making compared to mainstream patriarchal societies (Xaxa, 2008). In such contexts, girls' education may be more readily accepted, though systemic poverty and lack of infrastructure still pose significant challenges (Tilak, 2014).

This cultural diversity offers both opportunities and complexities for educational reform. Efforts to improve the academic achievement of tribal girls must be context-sensitive, recognizing that cultural practices can

either hinder or support learning (Das & Halder, 2018). Designing interventions that respect tribal identity—through the inclusion of indigenous knowledge, local languages, and community traditions in curricula—can help reduce feelings of alienation and promote trust in formal education systems. At the same time, such strategies should actively challenge harmful gender norms that restrict girls' opportunities, creating pathways for equity while honoring cultural heritage (Stromquist, 2015).

Policy Implications: Efforts to improve the education of tribal girls must address not only structural barriers but also the aspirational dimension of learning. Socio-economic status (SES) and parental aspirations are deeply interconnected; policies must therefore adopt a multi-layered approach that combines financial support, cultural sensitivity, community engagement, and long-term capacity building (Tilak, 2015; Govinda & Bandyopadhyay, 2019). Interventions should not only provide resources but also create an enabling environment where families and communities feel invested in girls' education (UNESCO, 2020).

1. **Scholarship and Financial Assistance Programs:** Financial hardship remains one of the primary reasons for school dropout among tribal girls (Jha & Shah, 2016). Targeted scholarship schemes, provision of free textbooks and uniforms, and direct benefit transfers can help families meet basic educational expenses (Choudhury, 2019). Additionally, conditional cash transfer programs, where families receive financial incentives for regular school attendance, have proven effective in many contexts (World Bank, 2018). These measures reduce the economic burden on parents, making it easier for them to prioritize education over child labor or early marriage (Government of India, 2021).

2. **Parental Engagement Initiatives:** Parents play a crucial role in shaping a child's educational trajectory (Desforges & Abouchaar, 2003). However, many tribal parents, particularly those with limited schooling, may lack awareness of the long-term benefits of formal education (PROBE, 2014). Organizing community workshops, school-parent interaction sessions, and counseling programs can bridge this knowledge gap (Chaudhary, 2020). Engaging parents as stakeholders in their children's education empowers them to support their daughters' aspirations, encourages regular school attendance, and fosters a positive home learning environment (NCERT, 2022).

3. **Culturally Responsive Pedagogy:** Tribal students often experience alienation in classrooms that fail to reflect their cultural realities (Kumar & Saxena, 2021). Incorporating indigenous knowledge systems, mother tongues, folklore, and traditional practices into the curriculum helps validate tribal identities and creates a more inclusive educational experience (UNICEF, 2019). Teacher training programs should emphasize cultural sensitivity, equipping educators with the tools to connect classroom content with students' lived experiences (Ladson-Billings, 1995). This approach not only enhances learning outcomes but also strengthens community trust in formal education systems (Mishra, 2020).

4. **Mentorship and Role Models:** Representation is a powerful motivator (Bandura, 1997). Introducing tribal students to successful role models—particularly women professionals from tribal communities—can inspire confidence and determination (Choudhury, 2019). Schools can organize mentorship programs, career guidance sessions, and exposure visits to institutions of higher learning (Kumar, 2020). Seeing individuals from similar socio-economic and cultural backgrounds succeed in education can transform perceptions, both within students and their parents, about what is possible for tribal girls (Nanda, 2021).

5. **Infrastructure Development:** Even when families value education, poor infrastructure often undermines access and retention (ASER, 2021). Building safe school environments, improving

transportation facilities, ensuring access to clean sanitation (particularly separate toilets for girls), and expanding digital learning opportunities are critical steps (UNESCO, 2019). Government and community partnerships should prioritize establishing residential schools, hostels, and community learning centers in remote tribal areas to ensure continuity of education (Planning Commission, 2014).

6. **Holistic Community Involvement:** Beyond family-level interventions, engaging community elders, local leaders, and tribal councils in the promotion of education can create a supportive environment for girls' learning (Choudhury, 2019). When education becomes a collective goal rather than an individual struggle, communities are more likely to invest in their children's futures (Govinda & Bandyopadhyay, 2019).

7. **Long-Term Capacity Building:** Sustainable progress requires more than short-term financial aid (Tilak, 2015). Policies must focus on building local teacher capacity, creating opportunities for tribal youth to join the teaching profession, and integrating vocational education into school curricula (NCERT, 2022). This not only makes education more relevant but also fosters a cycle of empowerment within tribal communities (UNICEF, 2020).

Conclusion:

The education of tribal girls at the secondary level is shaped by a complex interplay of socio-economic realities and parental aspirations. While poverty and systemic inequities often limit access to quality education, parental aspirations emerge as a powerful force capable of challenging traditional norms and inspiring resilience. This article underscores the importance of adopting a holistic approach to tribal education that considers both economic and cultural factors, viewing tribal families not merely as passive recipients of educational policy but as active agents with aspirations for upward mobility.

Future research should employ intersectional frameworks to examine how gender, caste, ethnicity, and geography interact with SES and aspirations. By doing so, policymakers, educators, and community leaders can design targeted interventions that not only improve academic outcomes for tribal girls but also contribute to broader goals of social justice and inclusive development.

Reference:

- Appadurai, A. (2004). *The capacity to aspire: Culture and the terms of recognition*. In V. Rao & M. Walton (Eds.), *Culture and public action* (pp. 59–84). Stanford University Press.

- ASER. (2021). *Annual Status of Education Report (Rural) 2021*. ASER Centre.

- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.

- Bourdieu, P. (1986). *The forms of capital*. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241–258). Greenwood Press.

- Chaudhary, A. (2020). *Parental engagement in education: Challenges and opportunities in rural India*. Journal of Education and Practice, 11(4), 45–54.

- Choudhury, A. (2019). *Educational development of scheduled tribes in India: Issues and challenges*. Indian Journal of Social Development, 19(1), 45–58.

- Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology, 94*(Suppl), S95–S120.

- Das, M., & Halder, S. (2018). *Tribal education in India: Issues and perspectives*. Social Change, 48(2), 261–276.

- Desforges, C., & Abouchaar, A. (2003). *The impact of parental involvement, parental support and family education on pupil achievement and adjustment: A literature review*. Department for Education and Skills.

- Eccles, J. S., & Wigfield, A. (2002). Motivational beliefs, values, and goals. *Annual Review of Psychology, 53*, 109–132.

- Government of India. (2019). *Census of India 2011 and National Sample Survey Office reports*. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation.

- Government of India. (2021). *Economic survey of India 2021–22*. Ministry of Finance.

- Govinda, R., & Bandyopadhyay, M. (2011). *Access to elementary education in India: Country analytical review*. NUEPA.

- Govinda, R., & Bandyopadhyay, M. (2019). *Education in India: Dynamics of development*. Oxford University Press.

- Jeffery, R., Jeffery, P., & Jeffery, C. (2017). *Aspirations in rural North India: Gender, education and the reproduction of disadvantage*. Contemporary South Asia, 25(2), 157–172.

- Jha, J., & Jhingran, D. (2005). *Elementary education for the poorest and other deprived groups: The real challenge of universalization*. Manohar.

- Jha, J., & Shah, P. (2016). *Gender and education for all: The leap to equality*. UNESCO.

- Khanna, R. (2020). *Aspirations and realities: Education and gender among tribal communities in India*. Economic and Political Weekly, 55(15), 45–53.

- Kumar, A. (2020). *Mentoring for inclusion: Role models and education of tribal girls in India*. Indian Journal of Gender Studies, 27(3), 312–330.

- Kumar, K., & Saxena, S. (2021). *Culturally responsive pedagogy in India: Challenges and innovations*. Journal of Education Research, 14(1), 67–79.

- Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American Educational Research Journal, 32*(3), 465–491.

- Mehta, A. C. (2011). *Elementary education in India: Progress towards UEE*. National University of Educational Planning and Administration.

- Mishra, R. (2020). *Teacher training for inclusion: A policy perspective*. International Journal of Education Development, 73, 102-113.

- Mistry, R. S., White, E. S., Benner, A. D., & Huynh, V. W. (2010). A longitudinal study of the role of parental involvement and parental support in the academic success of low-income immigrant youth. *Child Development, 81*(5), 1495–1513.

- Nanda, A. (2021). *Tribal education and gender empowerment in India*. Social Action, 71(2), 155–170.

- Nambissan, G. B. (2010). *Educational deprivation and exclusion of disadvantaged groups in India*. Indian Journal of Human Development, 4(1), 43–61.

- National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT). (2022). *State of school education in India*. NCERT.

- Planning Commission. (2014). *Twelfth Five Year Plan (2012–2017): Social sectors*. Government of India.

- PROBE Team. (2014). *Public report on basic education in India*. Oxford University Press.

- Reardon, S. F. (2011). The widening academic achievement gap between the rich and the poor. *Community Investments, 23*(2), 19–39.

- Sirin, S. R. (2005). Socioeconomic status and academic achievement: A meta-analytic review of research. *Review of Educational Research, 75*(3), 417–453.

- Stromquist, N. P. (2015). Women's empowerment and education: Linking knowledge to transformative action. *European Journal of Education, 50*(3), 307–324.

- Tilak, J. B. G. (2014). Education and development in India: Critical issues in public policy and development. *Social Change, 44*(4), 505–527.

- Tilak, J. B. G. (2015). *Education in India: In retrospect and prospect*. Palgrave Macmillan.

- UNESCO. (2019). *Global education monitoring report 2019: Gender report*. UNESCO.

- UNESCO. (2020). *Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education*. UNESCO.

- UNICEF. (2019). *State of the world's children 2019: Children, food and nutrition*. UNICEF.

- UNICEF. (2020). *Reimagining education for marginalized children*. UNICEF.

- World Bank. (2018). *Learning to realize education's promise*. World Bank.

- Xaxa, V. (2008). *State, society, and tribes: Issues in post-colonial India*. Pearson Longman.