



## Holistic Education in the Light of Mahayana Buddhism

Chandrajit Roy

Research Scholar, Department of Indo-Tibetan Studies, Bhasha Bhavana, Visva-Bharati

E-mail: [chandrajitroy256@gmail.com](mailto:chandrajitroy256@gmail.com)

### Abstract:

*Holistic education aims to cultivate learners' intellectual, emotional, ethical, and spiritual dimensions, moving beyond rote learning to foster a more integrated and compassionate individual. This paper explores the foundations of holistic education through the lens of Mahayana Buddhism, one of the major traditions of Buddhist philosophy that emphasises compassion, interdependence, and the Bodhisattva ideal.*

*The Bodhisattva path, marked by selflessness, altruism, and the pursuit of enlightenment for the sake of others, offers a profound ethical and pedagogical framework that aligns closely with the values of holistic learning. Central Mahayana concepts such as śūnyatā (emptiness), karuṇā (compassion), and prajñā (wisdom) are examined for their relevance in shaping educational environments that are inclusive, mindful, and transformative.*

*By engaging with Mahayana thought, the article argues for an education system that nurtures intellectual competence, moral sensitivity, social responsibility, and inner awareness. In light of contemporary educational reforms like India's National Education Policy (NEP, 2020) and global movements for value-based learning, Mahayana Buddhist principles emerge as timeless resources for cultivating holistic learners and compassionate citizens.*

*This study thus bridges Eastern philosophical traditions and modern educational theory, aiming to re-imagine education as a path toward personal and collective liberation.*

**Keywords:** Mahayana Buddhism, Holistic Education, Bodhisattva Ideal, Compassion in Education, Value-based Learning, Education as Liberation, Indian Knowledge System (IKS), Spiritual Education, Mindfulness in Learning, Ethics and Education.

### Introduction:

In an age of rapid technological advancement and increasing social fragmentation, education faces the crucial challenge of nurturing intellect and character. The need for an education that is not merely informative but transformative has led scholars and educators to explore alternative, value-driven models (Miller, 1990; Palmer, 1998). One such model is holistic education, which emphasises the integrated

development of the body, mind, spirit, and emotions, fostering a balanced individual who can meaningfully contribute to society (Noddings, 2003; Krishnamurti, 1953).

At the same time, spiritual traditions such as Mahayana Buddhism have long offered sophisticated frameworks for inner growth, ethical conduct, and social compassion (Hanh, 1991; Batchelor, 1997). Mahayana, one of the two main branches of Buddhism, promotes the Bodhisattva ideal, the aspiration to attain enlightenment for oneself and the liberation of all sentient beings (Dalai Lama XIV, 1999). This deeply altruistic vision aligns with holistic education's goals: to develop wise, empathetic, and socially responsible individuals.

This article explores the intersection between Mahayana Buddhist philosophy and holistic education. It investigates how central Mahayana concepts like *śūnyatā* (emptiness), *karuṇā* (compassion), *upāya* (skillful means), and *prajñā* (wisdom) can enrich educational practices that aim to shape both the inner and outer dimensions of human potential (Varela, Thompson, & Rosch, 1991; Trungpa, 2005). Rather than treating religion and education as separate domains, this study argues that the ethical and philosophical richness of Mahayana Buddhism offers vital resources for rethinking the purpose, content, and methods of education in the 21st century (Loy, 2008).

Furthermore, the article situates this inquiry within contemporary educational frameworks like India's National Education Policy 2020 and UNESCO's vision of education for sustainable development and global citizenship, both of which advocate for ethical, inclusive, and value-based learning (UNESCO, 2015). In this context, Mahayana Buddhism provides more than spiritual guidance; it offers a philosophical foundation for educational transformation.

The purpose of this paper is thus twofold: to examine the philosophical underpinnings of Mahayana Buddhism from an educational perspective, and to propose a holistic education model that draws from its principles. Through this cross-disciplinary engagement, the paper hopes to contribute to a more compassionate, mindful, and just vision of education in today's world.

**Objective:** To find out about holistic education in Mahayana Buddhism.

**Methodology:** In this research article, the historical methodology was used.

### **Discussion & Analysis:**

Holistic education is a learner-centred philosophy that emphasises the development of all aspects of a human being, intellectual, emotional, spiritual, physical, and social. It does not treat learners as mere recipients of information, but as complex, evolving individuals whose full potential can be realised only through integrated and meaningful learning experiences. According to Ron Miller (1990), one of the leading voices in this field, "Holistic education aims to call forth from people an intrinsic reverence for life and a passionate love of learning." This educational philosophy sees learning not as a product to be measured, but as a process of becoming.

In contrast to conventional models of education that prioritise standardised outcomes, competition, and cognitive achievement, holistic education values relationships, context, and inner growth (Forbes, 2003; Noddings, 2003). It draws from a wide range of traditions, including indigenous wisdom, Eastern philosophies like Buddhism and Vedanta, and Western progressive education thinkers such as Maria Montessori, John Dewey, and Jiddu Krishnamurti (Miller, 2000; Nakagawa, 2000). These perspectives agree that proper education must be rooted in compassion, ethical awareness, personal experience, and self-inquiry.

A core tenet of holistic education is the interconnectedness of all life. Learning is not confined to the classroom or textbooks; it involves connecting with nature, community, and inner life. The learner is encouraged to ask essential questions: Who am I? What is my relationship with the world? How can I live meaningfully and ethically? Such questions invite moral and existential reflection, which traditional educational systems often overlook (Palmer,1998).

In contemporary times, holistic education has gained renewed importance due to growing concerns about mental health, environmental crisis, social inequality, and the failure of exam-centric education to build character or empathy (UNESCO,2015). Global educational bodies such as UNESCO have recognised the need for value-based, inclusive, and transformative learning models. In this context, holistic education offers a hopeful and necessary alternative that aligns with humanity's spiritual and ecological needs.

Emphasising self-awareness, emotional literacy, and ethical responsibility makes holistic education deeply relevant in today's fragmented world. It seeks not only to educate the mind but also to awaken the heart. As Krishnamurti (1953) states, "The function of education is to create human beings who are integrated and therefore intelligent." This integration between knowledge and wisdom, individuality and community, freedom and responsibility forms the heart of holistic education. It lays the ground for deeper dialogue with spiritual traditions such as Mahayana Buddhism.

### **Philosophical Foundations of Mahayana Buddhism:**

Mahayana Buddhism, meaning "The Great Vehicle," emerged around the first century CE as an expansion of early Buddhist teachings, emphasising the universal potential for enlightenment and the importance of compassion (Williams,2009). At its core lies the Bodhisattva ideal, the aspiration not only to attain enlightenment for oneself but to help all sentient beings reach liberation from suffering. This vision radically redefines the spiritual path as inherently relational and socially engaged, laying a profound philosophical foundation for human development, ethics, and education (Gyatso,2003).

The Bodhisattva path is built on the cultivation of two fundamental qualities: *karuṇā* (compassion) and *prajñā* (wisdom). Compassion here is not just an emotion but an ethical commitment to alleviate the suffering of others through thought, action, and presence (Dalai Lama,2010; Garfield,1995). On the other hand, wisdom is not reduced to intellectual knowledge but is understood as a profound realisation of the nature of reality, particularly the insight into *śūnyatā*, or emptiness. This principle of *śūnyatā* signifies the absence of intrinsic, independent existence in all phenomena. Everything is interdependent, contingent, and co-arising. This relational worldview dissolves rigid ego boundaries and encourages humility, empathy, and openness, essential for spiritual growth and holistic education (Loy,2003).

The Mahayana doctrine of *upāya*, or skilful means, further reinforces the educational value of this tradition. A Bodhisattva is expected to teach others based on their level of understanding, emotional readiness, and context. This dynamic and adaptive approach to instruction resembles modern pedagogical principles that value learner-centred methods, differentiated instruction, and empathy in the teacher-student relationship (Makransky,2007).

Another key contribution of Mahayana thought is its affirmation of Buddha-nature, the inherent potential for enlightenment present in all beings. This view rejects any notion of fixed intellectual or moral capacity and encourages a boundless faith in the transformative possibilities within every individual (King,1991). In an educational context, this resonates with the belief that all students possess latent potential and deserve nurturing, respect, and space to grow at their own pace (Thurman,2005)

Philosophically, Mahayana Buddhism presents a radical non-duality between the self and the world, between subject and object. This worldview discourages separation, domination, and hierarchy and promotes cooperation, inter-being, and compassionate action (Nagatomo,2000). The famous Mahayana text *The Vimalakīrti Nirdeśa Sūtra* teaches that “the true path is not apart from the ordinary (Watson,1997, p. 78).” This principle can transform how education is perceived, not as a means to escape life, but as a way to engage deeply and mindfully with it.

Thus, the foundational concepts of Mahayana Buddhism, compassion, emptiness, skilful means, and universal potential are not merely theological constructs. They offer a nuanced philosophical ground upon which a transformative, value-based, and holistic education model can be envisioned. These concepts bridge ancient wisdom and contemporary educational theory, allowing for reimagining learning as a personal and collective path to liberation.

### **Intersections between Mahayana Thought and Holistic Education:**

When we place the principles of Mahayana Buddhism beside the ideals of holistic education, we notice a quiet harmony between the two. At first glance, one may seem like a spiritual path, and the other may seem like an educational philosophy. However, both are rooted in a standard search for meaning, compassion, and wholeness. Holistic education asks us to view the learner as a complete human being, with inner needs and outer challenges (Miller,2007). Through its Bodhisattva ideal, Mahayana Buddhism asks us to serve others intellectually and with deep compassion and presence (Dalai Lama,2001). The values align more than we might expect.

The idea of interconnectedness, central to Mahayana Buddhism, mirrors holistic education’s refusal to separate mind from body, or individual from society. When the Buddha taught that all things arise in dependence, he was not offering a mere metaphysical theory. He was pointing toward a lived experience of interbeing, that my wellbeing is tied to yours, that our actions ripple outward, and that growth is not a solitary event. Similarly, holistic education recognises that learning cannot happen in isolation. A child’s emotional safety, a community’s values, and the natural world around us shape learning just as much as textbooks do (Forbes,2003).

There is also a deep resonance in how both traditions treat wisdom and compassion not as separate virtues but as two wings of the same bird. Mahayana teaches that true wisdom is incomplete without compassion, and genuine compassion requires clarity of vision (Garfield,1995). Similarly, in holistic learning, knowledge is never enough; it must be held in ethical awareness, used to serve something larger than the self (Noddings, 2005). A classroom shaped by this view does not merely transmit information; it becomes a place where character, empathy, and awareness are nurtured.

One of the most compelling intersections lies in the idea of potential. Mahayana Buddhism believes in the Buddha-nature within all beings, a seed of awakening that can flower properly. Holistic educators, too, hold a quiet conviction: that every learner carries an inner light, no matter how hidden. Instead of labelling students as weak or incapable, they ask: What needs to unfold here? What conditions of love, challenge, and attention will allow this person to grow? (Miller, 2010)

Even the teaching method, in both traditions, shares a flexible spirit. The Bodhisattva uses upāya, or skilful means, adapting the teaching to the student’s needs (Makransky,2007). This is not far from what a sensitive teacher does daily: listening, observing, adjusting. There is humility here and care. We do not teach to show how much we know; we teach to meet the other person where they are. In a world that often reduces education to numbers and outcomes, Mahayana and holistic education gently resist. They ask slower

questions. They invite us to see the learner not as a future worker, but as a whole human being. They remind us that wisdom without love is empty and that the journey of becoming cannot be rushed (Orr, 2004).

Perhaps, at its deepest, both Mahayana Buddhism and holistic education are about liberation from ignorance or academic failure, narrowness, disconnection, and fear. They imagine a world where education is not a burden, but a path of awakening for the child, the teacher, and society (Thurman, 2005).

### **Finding and Result:**

In today's rapidly changing world, education often feels like a race towards grades, jobs, and measurable success. In this rush, what frequently gets lost is the inner development of the learner, their emotional wellbeing, ethical grounding, sense of belonging, and purpose. Here, the Mahayana vision of human growth becomes relevant and necessary (Miller,1990; Noddings, 2005; Raina,2010). Mahayana Buddhism does not teach in fragments. It teaches wholeness. Moreover, holistic education, in its way, is a call to return to that wholeness. As children and youth struggle with anxiety, isolation, and identity confusion, education must evolve into something more than syllabus completion. It must become a space of healing. The Mahayana emphasis on compassion, *karuṇā*, can guide educators to create classrooms rooted in kindness, acceptance, and attentive care. When a teacher truly sees a student with understanding, something shifts. That is compassion in action (Dalai Lama, 1999; Hanh, 1998).

Today's learners face personal challenges and larger crises, such as climate change, inequality, violence, and disconnection from nature. Mahayana thought reminds us that these are not separate problems. They arise from a shared root: ignorance of our interconnectedness. If students can be helped to see that their choices matter not just for exams but for the planet, society, and one another, education becomes a tool for collective transformation. This is where the Buddhist principle of *pratītyasamutpāda* or dependent origination becomes a powerful educational metaphor: everything is linked. My well-being depends on yours. My learning touches the world (Loy,2008; Thakar,2020; Gyatso,2001).

Moreover, Mahayana's idea of skilful means (*upāya*) gives teachers a flexible model of pedagogy. Rigid methods fail in a classroom of diverse learners with different backgrounds, abilities, and emotions. However, a compassionate teacher adapts. She speaks not just to the mind, but to the heart. She does not impose, she invites. This idea, rooted in Buddhist tradition, aligns beautifully with modern approaches to differentiated and inclusive education (Hooker,2013; Karbat-Zinn,1994). In an age of data-driven systems, Mahayana offers something soft, slow, but deeply revolutionary: faith in the inner potential of every being. The belief in Buddha-nature means no student is ever a failure, only someone in progress, someone unfolding. Such a view can radically change how we approach so-called underperforming learners. Instead of labelling them, we ask what conditions they need to awaken? (Murti,1995; Miller,2000; Hanh,1998)

### **Future Recommendations for Further Research:**

While the present paper offers a conceptual framework linking Mahayana Buddhist values with holistic educational principles, further interdisciplinary studies are needed to ground these ideas in practical, real-world settings. One area for future exploration is the incorporation of Mahayana contemplative practices such as mindfulness (*smṛti*) and loving-kindness meditation (*maitrī bhāvanā*) into mainstream classrooms, and studying their impact on students' emotional and ethical development.

Comparative studies can also be conducted between Mahayana-inspired schools or monastic education systems in countries like Bhutan, Nepal, or Japan, and progressive holistic schools in the West, to explore cultural translations and mutual learning.



Further research could explore how the Bodhisattva ideal might inspire new models of leadership and mentorship in teacher education programs, particularly in training teachers to serve not just as instructors but as compassionate guides. Another important direction would be to examine how Śūnyatā (emptiness) and non-duality can inform curriculum design that transcends binary thinking, especially in conflict resolution, identity education, and inclusive pedagogy.

Lastly, integrating insights from Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) and indigenous educational models alongside Mahayana thought can open up a powerful space for decolonising education and reviving rooted yet dynamic learning modes.

In essence, this paper is only a beginning. There is much more to study, practice, and co-create at the intersection of ancient wisdom and modern pedagogy. If we continue with sincerity, humility, and scholarly curiosity, education may become a path of awakening for individuals and society.

Finally, Mahayana Buddhism encourages presence not just physical, but mindful, aware, and non-judgmental. If our schools can cultivate this presence in teachers and students alike, education can once again become sacred, not in a religious sense, but in the sense of being truly human (Karbat-Zinn, 1994; Hanh, 1991). Thus, in the noise of modernity, the voice of Mahayana-based holistic education speaks softly but clearly. It tells us that education is not merely preparation for life but life itself. Moreover, if lived with awareness and compassion, life can be the most significant learning (Miller, 1990; Krishnamurti, 1953).

### **Conclusion:**

In tracing the gentle threads between Mahayana Buddhism and holistic education, one begins to see not two separate systems, but a shared worldview, one that respects the dignity, depth, and potential of every human being. Mahayana offers more than spiritual wisdom; it offers a vision of life where compassion is central, awareness is cultivated, and growth is never isolated from the collective.

Holistic education, too, asks us to look beyond marksheets and measurable outcomes, toward the fuller development of the learner as a thinker, a feeler, a social being, and a spiritual being. When these two perspectives come together, they enrich each other. Mahayana brings to education a depth of inner discipline and ethical clarity. Holistic education gives Buddhism a contemporary application, turning its insights into living pedagogies.

In an age of fragmentation, speed, and competition, such an integrated vision is not just idealistic but necessary. The true purpose of education is not simply to prepare children for a career, but to prepare them for life. Moreover, a life touched by wisdom, compassion, and interdependence is worth living. If education can be reimagined through the lens of Mahayana thought, we may produce more intelligent individuals and kinder, wiser, freer human beings.

### **References:**

- Bai, H., Scott, C., & Donald, B. (2009). *Contemplative education and the cultivation of ethical awareness: Ten years of mindful teaching*. Teachers College Record, 111(9), pp. 1907–1936.
- Batchelor, S. (1997). *Buddhism without beliefs: A contemporary guide to awakening*. Riverhead Books.
- Bodhi, B. (Trans.). (2000). *The connected discourses of the Buddha: A new translation of the*

*Samyutta Nikāya*. Wisdom Publications.

- Conze, E. (Trans.). (2003). *The Large Sutra on Perfect Wisdom: With the Divisions of the Abhisamayālaṅkāra*. University of California Press, pp. 697.
- Dalai Lama XIV. (1999). *Ethics for the new millennium*. Riverhead Books, pp. 237–256.
- Forbes, S. H. (2003). *Holistic education: An analysis of its ideas and nature*. Foundation for Educational Renewal, pp. 386–408.
- Garfield, J. (1995). *The Fundamental Wisdom of the Middle Way: Nagarjuna's Mulamadhyamakakarika*. Oxford University Press.
- Gyatso, T. (2003). *The Bodhisattva way: Living altruism*. Wisdom Publications.
- Hanh, T. N. (1991). *Peace is every step: The path of mindfulness in everyday life*. Bantam Books, pp. 134.
- King, S. B. (1991). *Buddha nature*. State University of New York Press.
- Komito, D. (1998). *Meditation and the classroom: Contemplative pedagogy for religious studies*. SUNY Press.
- Komito, D. (1998). *Meditation and the growth of the self: Integration of Tibetan Buddhism and Western psychotherapy*. Snow Lion Publications.
- Krishnamurti, J. (1953). *Education and the significance of life*. Harper & Brothers.
- Loy, D. R. (2003). *A Buddhist history of the West: Studies in lack*. State University of New York Press.
- Loy, D. R. (2008). *Money, sex, war, karma: Notes for a Buddhist revolution*. Wisdom Publications.
- Makiguchi, T. (2002). *Education for creative living: Ideas and proposals of Tsunesaburo Makiguchi (D. Bethel, Ed. & Trans.)*. University of Iowa Press.
- Makransky, J. (2007). *Awakening through love: Unveiling your deepest goodness*. Wisdom Publications.
- Miller, R. (1990). *What are schools for? Holistic education in American culture*. Holistic Education Press.
- Miller, R. (2000). *Caring for new life: Essays on holistic education*. Brandon, VT: Foundation for Educational Renewal.
- Miller, R. (2007). *What Are Schools For? Holistic Education in American Culture (3rd ed.)*. Holistic Education Press.

- Miller, R. (2010). *The holistic curriculum*. University of Toronto Press.
- Montessori, M. (1967). *The discovery of the child* (M. J. Costelloe, Trans.). Ballantine Books. (Original work published 1948)
- Nagatomo, S. (2000). *Buddhist and Western philosophy: A cross-cultural inquiry*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Nakagawa, Y. (2000). Education for awakening: An eastern approach to holistic education. Foundation for Educational Renewal.
- Ñāṇamoli, B., & Bodhi, B. (Trans.). (1995). *The middle length discourses of the Buddha: A new translation of the Majjhima Nikāya*. Wisdom Publications.
- Noddings, N. (2003). *Caring: A feminine approach to ethics and moral education* (2nd ed.). University of California Press.
- Noddings, N. (2003). *Happiness and education*. Cambridge University Press.
- Noddings, N. (2005). *The Challenge to Care in Schools: An Alternative Approach to Education*. Teachers College Press.
- Nussbaum, M. C. (2010). Not for profit: Why democracy needs the humanities. Princeton University Press.
- Orr, D. W. (2004). *Earth in Mind: On Education, Environment, and the Human Prospect*. Island Press.
- Palmer, P. J. (1998). *The courage to teach: Exploring the inner landscape of a teacher's life*. Jossey-Bass.
- Tennant, M. (2006). *Psychology and adult learning* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Thurman, R. A. F. (2005). *The jewel tree of Tibet: The enlightenment engine of Tibetan Buddhism*. Free Press.
- Thurman, R. A. F. (Trans.). (1976). *The Holy Teaching of Vimalakīrti: A Mahāyāna scripture*. Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Tobin, J. J., Hsueh, Y., & Karasawa, M. (2009). *Preschool in three cultures revisited: China, Japan, and the United States—University of Chicago Press*.
- Trungpa, C. (2005). *Cutting through spiritual materialism*. Shambhala Publications.
- Trungpa, C. (2005). *The sanity we are born with: A Buddhist approach to psychology*. Shambhala Publications.
- UNESCO. (2015). *Rethinking education: Towards a global common good?* UNESCO Publishing. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000232555>



- Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. (1991). *The embodied mind: Cognitive science and human experience*. MIT Press.
- Walsh, R., & Vaughan, F. (Eds.). (1993). *Paths beyond ego: The transpersonal vision*. Tarcher/Putnam.
- Walshe, M. (Trans.). (1995). *The long discourses of the Buddha: A translation of the Dīgha Nikāya*. Wisdom Publications.
- Watson, B. (Trans.). (1997). *The Vimalakīrti sūtra*. Columbia University Press.
- Williams, P. (2009). *Mahayana Buddhism: The doctrinal foundations (2nd ed.)*. Routledge.

**Citation:** Roy. C., (2025) “Holistic Education in the Light of Mahayana Buddhism”, *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-3, Issue-03, March-2025.