



## Negotiating Patriarchy: The Dual Role of Women Educators as Teachers and Community Leaders

Dr. Moumita Mitra

Assistant professor, Department of B.Ed., Purba Medinipur B.Ed College  
Email: [moumitamitra747@gmail.com](mailto:moumitamitra747@gmail.com)

### Abstract:

*The study explores how women educators simultaneously navigate and challenge patriarchal structures within educational institutions and their broader social contexts. Positioned at the intersection of pedagogy and community engagement, these women are not only imparting knowledge but also advocating for gender justice, social awareness, and community development. Despite their transformative potential, they often confront systemic barriers including institutionalized patriarchy, gender stereotypes, work-family conflicts, and resistance to change. This research adopts an interdisciplinary lens—drawing from feminist theory, sociology of education, and development studies—to highlight the complexities and contradictions faced by women educators in both rural and urban India. Through a review of literature, policy analysis, and anecdotal insights, the study emphasizes the need for gender-sensitive educational reforms and supportive leadership frameworks. It reinforces the critical role these educators play in achieving national goals like the NEP 2020 and global commitments such as SDG 4 and SDG 5. Ultimately, the paper calls for structural change to amplify the voices and agency of women educators as pivotal change agents in society.*

**Keywords:** Women Educators, Patriarchy, Educational Leadership, Gender Equity, Community Development.

### Introduction:

Patriarchy, deeply entrenched in most societies across the world, often limits the professional and personal agency of women. Despite the challenges posed by patriarchal structures, many women educators have not only thrived in their teaching roles but have emerged as significant community leaders (Subrahmanian, 2005). Their roles often go beyond classroom instruction, encompassing advocacy for girls' education, health, social justice, and even local governance. This dual role, however, is not without tension—it demands continuous negotiation of societal expectations, institutional norms, and personal convictions.

The dual role of women educators as both teachers and community leaders is a testament to their resilience, agency, and vision. While patriarchal systems continue to pose challenges, women educators have carved out influential spaces for themselves—transforming not only educational institutions but entire communities. Their leadership is quiet yet revolutionary, grounded in empathy, lived experience, and a commitment to justice. Recognizing and supporting this dual role is not just an educational imperative—it is a societal one.

Empowering women educators is essential for achieving broader goals of gender equality, educational equity, and social transformation. As teachers and community leaders, they are not just negotiating patriarchy—they are rewriting its script.

### **Significance of the Study:**

This study is significant for its in-depth exploration of the complex dual role that women educators play—as classroom teachers and as informal leaders within their communities. It highlights how these women operate at the intersection of institutional education and grassroots activism, often navigating and resisting patriarchal structures without formal support or recognition.

It fills a key research gap by focusing on the underexplored area of how women educators function both as insiders within the educational system and as agents of social change beyond it. The study is aligned with global priorities like SDG 4 (Quality Education) and SDG 5 (Gender Equality), and national frameworks like India's NEP 2020, reinforcing its policy relevance.

The research draws on critical pedagogy and feminist theory to examine how power, gender, and knowledge intersect in women's lived experiences. It offers important policy insights—such as the need for gender-sensitive leadership frameworks, recognition of informal educational work, and better structural support for women teachers.

Additionally, the study enhances women's visibility in leadership discourses and promotes an intersectional approach by accounting for caste, class, religion, and geography. Ultimately, the research contributes to building a more inclusive, equitable, and democratic educational landscape.

### **Objectives of the Study:**

This article critically explores how women teachers in patriarchal societies navigate their dual roles—as educators in formal institutions and as informal leaders within their communities.

### **Research Questions:**

The present article seeks to explore how women educators navigate this duality, asking: How do women educators assert leadership within patriarchal structures? What strategies do they adopt to balance societal expectations with professional goals? How does their leadership impact communities and gender relations?

### **Theoretical Framework: Feminist and Intersectional Lenses**

- **Feminist Pedagogy and the Role of Women in Education:** Feminist pedagogy challenges traditional modes of education by emphasizing the learner's voice, lived experience, and critical reflection (hooks, 1994). Women educators practicing this pedagogy often bring transformative insights into the classroom and community. They not only challenge gender roles through content delivery but model alternate possibilities through their professional roles.
- **Intersectionality and Layered Identities:** Coined by Kimberlé Crenshaw (1991), intersectionality is key to understanding how various forms of oppression (gender, caste, class, religion, etc.) compound to influence women educators' experiences. A Dalit woman teacher in India, for instance, may simultaneously confront casteism and sexism in ways distinct from an upper-caste counterpart (Guru, 2009). Understanding these intersections is crucial for mapping the power and vulnerability women educators negotiate.

## Women as Teachers: Barriers and Empowerment

- **Entry into the Profession:** In many parts of the world, especially in rural South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa, teaching is considered one of the few respectable professions for women. While this opens the door to employment, it often comes with low pay, poor infrastructure, and limited autonomy (UNESCO, 2022). Still, for many women, becoming a teacher is a critical step toward self-empowerment and social visibility.
- **Gender Bias in Teacher Training and Curricula:** Teacher training programs often lack critical gender perspectives. Women are frequently trained to maintain discipline, follow textbooks, and conform to state-mandated syllabi—many of which reinforce gender stereotypes (Ahmed & Singh, 2023). Critical pedagogy, when introduced, enables women teachers to reflect on their experiences and challenge these narratives.

## Women as Community Leaders: Informal Power in Formal Roles

- **Beyond the Classroom: Community Advocacy:** Many women educators become informal leaders in their communities, promoting literacy, advocating for girls' schooling, and resisting child marriage. In West Bengal, for example, female teachers have been at the forefront of campaigns encouraging girls to stay in school, directly confronting social customs that prioritize early marriage (Ramachandran & Naik, 2023).
- **Negotiating Religious and Cultural Patriarchy:** In conservative contexts, women educators often walk a tightrope—asserting authority in a setting where male dominance is the norm. They may use culturally acceptable narratives (e.g., motherly concern) to assert leadership while subtly subverting patriarchal norms. This strategy, termed “strategic essentialism” by Spivak (1988), allows women to advance progressive goals without provoking outright resistance.

## India: Gender Labs and Embodied Pedagogy

Recent studies like Narayan, Ghosh, & Nair (2023) have documented the emergence of "Gender Labs" in teacher training colleges in India. These labs create safe spaces where women teachers explore issues like menstruation, domestic violence, and body shaming. Through embodied pedagogy—integrating personal narratives and performance—these educators redefine both teaching and leadership.

**Palestine: Translating Gender Pedagogy into Practice:** Ameen & Mansour (2024) highlight how Palestinian women educators, under occupation and in highly patriarchal settings, use teaching as a political act. They encourage civic engagement and gender consciousness in their students, illustrating how education becomes a form of resistance and nation-building.

**South Africa: Teachers as Transformative Leaders:** Dlamini et al. (2024) document how early childhood educators in rural South Africa become role models by integrating gender-sensitive practices into everyday classroom life. Their leadership, though informal, influences community norms, parental attitudes, and even local policy.

## Strategies for Negotiation and Change:

**Resistance Through Curriculum and Practice:** Women educators often modify prescribed curricula to introduce gender-equitable content. Some use storytelling, drama, or debates to raise awareness on issues like gender-based violence, reproductive rights, and consent—without explicitly violating state guidelines.

**Building Networks and Alliances:** Support networks—whether formal unions or informal collectives—play a vital role in sustaining women educators’ leadership. These alliances provide emotional support, share strategies, and act as pressure groups for institutional change (Weber & Mitchell, 2023).

**Emotional Labor and Self-Care:** The emotional toll of negotiating multiple roles—teacher, leader, mother, daughter-in-law—is immense. Recognizing emotional labor as part of women’s educational leadership is vital. Some institutions now provide mental health support and gender sensitization as part of professional development (Çelik & Thomas, 2023).

**Challenges Ahead:** Despite their influence, women educators continue to face systemic hurdles:

**Institutional Patriarchy:** One of the most persistent barriers faced by women educators is the **male-dominated nature of educational leadership structures**. While women often make up a significant portion of the teaching workforce, especially at the primary and secondary levels, leadership positions such as head teachers, principals, or administrators remain predominantly held by men (Subrahmanian, 2005). This imbalance reinforces an institutional patriarchy that limits women’s participation in decision-making processes.

Women are often excluded from critical conversations that shape curriculum, school policies, and community engagement strategies. Even when they do hold leadership roles, their authority is frequently undermined by a prevailing belief that men are more capable or natural leaders. These institutional biases marginalize women’s contributions and often silence their perspectives in shaping educational reform and local development efforts (Mishra, 2017).

**Gender Stereotypes and Societal Expectations:** Women educators are regularly subjected to **restrictive gender norms** that define how they are expected to behave. Stereotypes portray women as inherently nurturing, empathetic, and supportive—qualities that align well with the role of a classroom teacher but not necessarily with that of a strong, assertive leader. This dichotomy creates a **double bind**: women who exhibit traditionally "feminine" traits may be perceived as too soft for leadership, while those who assert authority may be labeled as aggressive or unfeminine (Eagly & Karau, 2002).

Such stereotypes not only discourage women from aspiring to leadership positions but also affect how they are perceived and treated by colleagues, students, and community members. These biases often go unchallenged within the school culture, thereby reinforcing structural barriers to women’s upward mobility in educational hierarchies (UNESCO, 2023).

**Work-Family Conflict and Time Poverty:** The **burden of unpaid domestic labor** continues to fall disproportionately on women, creating significant barriers to professional advancement. Women educators, particularly in patriarchal and rural settings, are expected to balance full-time teaching roles with caregiving responsibilities at home. This **“double burden”** results in what is known as **time poverty**—a lack of sufficient time to invest in personal growth, higher education, leadership training, or community activism (Desai & Thakkar, 2014).

For many, the constant negotiation between professional duties and family obligations means they are less likely to pursue leadership positions, attend capacity-building workshops, or engage in decision-making forums. In cases where women do assume additional roles in their communities—as leaders of self-help groups, campaigners for girls' education, or health ambassadors—they often do so at a personal cost, sacrificing rest, recreation, or time with family.

**Lack of Mentorship and Support Networks:** Another critical challenge is the **absence of supportive institutional mechanisms**, such as mentorship programs, gender-sensitive leadership development, or safe spaces for women educators to share their experiences. Unlike their male counterparts, women often lack access to informal networks that facilitate promotions or policy influence (Bandyopadhyay & Subrahmanian, 2008). This isolation limits their ability to navigate bureaucratic systems or advocate effectively for institutional change.

Moreover, there is often a shortage of visible female role models in top educational leadership positions. The lack of representation can hinder the aspirations of younger women educators and reinforce the notion that leadership is an inherently male domain.

**Resistance to Change in Communities:** While many women educators act as catalysts for change at the community level—promoting girls' education, advocating for health awareness, or challenging child marriage—they frequently encounter **resistance from conservative social groups**. In rural or socio-economically disadvantaged areas, traditional power structures may oppose the empowerment of women, viewing such activism as a threat to cultural norms or male authority (Narayan, 2019).

This resistance may manifest in subtle forms—such as social ostracism—or more overtly through verbal threats, restrictions on mobility, or institutional reprimands. As a result, many women educators are forced to negotiate a delicate balance between activism and conformity, choosing which battles to fight in order to maintain community acceptance.

## **Conclusion:**

Women educators, situated at the intersection of patriarchy and pedagogy, are key actors in the struggle for gender justice. Their dual roles—formal and informal, public and private—offer unique insights into how change occurs in deeply patriarchal settings. Supporting them requires more than token representation; it demands structural transformation, gender-aware policy, and a pedagogy that affirms their voices, experiences, and leadership.

As this article illustrates, the journey of women educators is both inspiring and instructive. They do not merely survive within patriarchal systems—they negotiate, resist, and reimagine them, becoming powerful agents of social change.

## **References:**

- Ahmed, F., & Singh, M. (2023). Silenced voices: Critical pedagogy and gender in North Indian colleges. *Gender & Education*, 35(2), 189–204.
- Ameen, R., & Mansour, H. (2024). Translating gender pedagogy in teacher education: Lessons from Palestine. *British Journal of Educational Studies*.
- Bagchi, M., & Bairagya, S. (2021). Concept and context of teacher education. In *Teacher education: Contemporary issues, practices & prospects* (Vol. 1)
- Bairagya, S., Mete, J., & Ghosh, S. K. (n.d.). A study on the relative effectiveness between concept attainment model and traditional method of teaching in economics. *Journal of Education, R.B.U.*, 9(1), 109–114.
- Bal, M., & Maity, A. (2019). Impact of economy & sociability on educational development of tribal women. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 6(2).

- Barsha, B., & Maity, A. (2025). Employee performance in relation to motivation and job satisfaction: A survey on MSME. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development*, 9(1), 987–992.
- Biswas, S., & Banerjee, R. (2024). Attitude towards integrating ICT in the teaching learning in the higher secondary level: A survey. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 5(6), 1–4.
- Biswas, S., & Biswas, S. (2024). Empowering Indian women: Sister Nivedita's enduring legacy in education and social reform. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 5(6), 1230–1235.
- Biswas, S., & Chatterjee, P. (2024). Students' attitudes towards e-learning from a socio-economic perspective. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development*, 2(11), 1–12.
- Biswas, S., & Kumari, M. (2024a). Integrating indigenous wisdom: Transforming higher education with Bhartiya knowledge systems. *American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research*, 5(2), 132–142.
- Biswas, S., & Kumari, M. (2024b). The burden of care: A systematic review of parental stress in families of children with intellectual disabilities. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development*, 8(4), 842–849.
- Çelik, R., & Thomas, M. (2023). Comparative gender equity in teacher education: Turkey and the U.S. *Comparative Education Review*, 67(1), 45–62.
- Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241–1299.
- Dandapat, A. K., & Maity, A. (2022). Relationship between socio-economic status and academic performance of the B.Ed. students in Paschim Medinipur. In *Proceedings of the 2 Day International Seminar on Swami Vivekananda College of Education*.
- Daripa, S., Khawas, K., Das, S., Dey, R. K., & Kuila, B. K. (2019). Aligned proton conducting graphene sheets via block copolymer supramolecular assembly and their application for highly transparent moisture sensing conductive coating. *ChemistrySelect*, 4, 7523–7531.
- Dasgupta, A., & Bairagya, S. (n.d.). Social values among school children through the teaching of value-based contents in Bengali. *Journal of Education and Development*, 7(14).
- Dlamini, P., et al. (2024). Rewriting gender discourses in early childhood education. *International Journal of Early Years Education*.
- Ghosh, S., & Bairagya, S. (2010). Attitude of secondary school teachers towards teaching profession in relation to some demographic variables. *Edusearch: Journal of Educational Research*, 1(1), 55–59.
- Hooks, B. (1994). *Teaching to transgress: Education as the practice of freedom*. Routledge.
- Khawas, K., & Mishra, P. K. (2023). Advantages and challenges of biodiesel producing microalgae. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development*, 2(8), 160–163.



- Khawas, K., Daripa, S., Kumari, P., Bera, M. K., Malik, S., & Kuila, B. K. (2019). Simple synthesis of end functionalized regioregular poly(3-hexylthiophene) by catalytic-initiated Kumada catalyst transfer polymerization. *Journal of Polymer Science, Part A: Polymer Chemistry*, 57, 945–951.
- Maity, A. (2020a). Collaborative active learning: An effective study at training colleges. In \*Transition from traditional teaching methodology to online teaching (ISBN: 978-81-946375-3-0). *Redshine Publication*.
- Maity, A. (2020b). Investigating the benefits of project-based learning in science education. In \*New trends of teaching, learning and technology (Vol. 1). *Redshine Publication*.
- Maity, A. (2020c). Women's access to higher education in West Bengal in open distance learning system. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, 7(3).
- Maity, A. (2023). National curriculum framework for teacher education: A new horizon in teacher education as envisioned in NEP 2020. *Journal of Education, Ethics and Value*, 2(9), 45–50. [<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738450>](<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738450>)
- Maity, A. (2025). Teacher effectiveness in relation to ICT acquaintance among secondary teachers of Medinipur district of West Bengal: A study on demographic variables. *American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research*, 6(5), 1108–1118. [<https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajshr/article/view/3641>](<https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajshr/article/view/3641>)
- Maity, A., & Maity, N. (2025). Disparity in political participation in the local bodies: A case study of Ranchi. *American Journal of Religious and Cultural Studies*, 3(6). [<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738399>](<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738399>)
- Maity, A., & Sanuar, S. (2020). Women's access to higher education in West Bengal in open distance learning system. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, 7(3).
- Maity, A., & Sanuar, S., & Ghosh, D. (2024). An assessment of the socio-economic status of the minority girls students at secondary level in Paschim Medinipur district of West Bengal. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 9123–9127. [<https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.4522>](<https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.4522>)
- Maity, A., et al. (2023a). Correlation between study habit, test anxiety and academic achievement of the male and female B.Ed. college students. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*, 6(9s), 1872–1880. [<https://doi.org/10.53555/jrtdd.v6i9s.2660>](<https://doi.org/10.53555/jrtdd.v6i9s.2660>)
- Maity, A., et al. (2023b). Job satisfaction among secondary school teachers in Paschim Medinipur district in the present context. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results*, 14(3).
- Mishra, P. R., & Khawas, K. (2024). Advantages and challenges of biodiesel producing microalgae. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development*, 2, 160–163.
- Narayan, R., Ghosh, A., & Nair, S. (2023). Gender labs and embodied pedagogy in Indian teacher training. *Contemporary Education Dialogue*, 20(1), 1–21.
- Pal, D., & Khawas, K. (2024). Potential sources and uses of chitin and its polymers: A review. *Journal of Discoveries in Applied and Natural Science*, 2, 1–12.

- Ramachandran, V., & Naik, J. (2023). Gender equity in Indian teacher education: A policy audit. *National Council for Teacher Education*.
- Roy, S., & Bairagya, S. (2019). Conceptualisation of pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) of science from Shulman's notion to refined consensus model (RCM): A journey. *Education India Journal*, 8(2), 55.
- Sinha, A., Kumari, N., & Khawas, K. (2024). Role of nuclear chemistry in environmental applications. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development*, 2, 61–70.
- Spivak, G. C. (1988). Can the subaltern speak? In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), *Marxism and the interpretation of culture*. Macmillan.
- Subrahmanian, R. (2005). Gender equality in education: Definitions and measurements. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 25(4), 395–407.
- Tata Institute of Social Sciences. (2021). Course handbook: Gender and education.
- UNESCO. (2022). Global education monitoring report: Gender edition. Paris.
- Weber, L., & Mitchell, C. (2023). Critical emotional pedagogy in teacher preparation. *Teaching Education*.

**Citation:** Mitra. Dr. M., (2025) "Negotiating Patriarchy: The Dual Role of Women Educators as Teachers and Community Leaders", *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-3, Issue-07, July-2025.