



The Light of Reason: Exploring Rational Foundations for Theistic Belief

Shiuli Mishra Dikshit

Asst. Professor, Netaji Subhas P.T.T.I, Email-mishrashiuli056@gmail.com

Abstract:

This article examines the philosophical and epistemological arguments that support belief in the existence of God. In a cultural context increasingly influenced by secularism, scientific materialism, and skepticism, theistic belief is often portrayed as irrational or outdated. This study challenges that perception by exploring key rational arguments—including the cosmological, teleological, moral, and ontological arguments—as defended by classical and contemporary thinkers like Aquinas, Plantinga, Craig, and Collins. It also considers epistemological approaches such as Alvin Plantinga's Reformed Epistemology, which asserts that belief in God can be "properly basic" and justified without empirical proof. Furthermore, the study addresses major objections, including the problem of evil and the critique from scientific naturalism, to evaluate the coherence of theistic belief within rational discourse. Ultimately, this research aims to demonstrate that faith and reason are not mutually exclusive but can mutually reinforce a comprehensive and intellectually viable worldview. By bridging the gap between logic and belief, the study affirms the continued relevance and rational defensibility of theism in contemporary thought.

Keywords: Theism, Rational Belief, Cosmological Argument, Faith and Reason, Reformed Epistemology.

Introduction:

The relationship between faith and reason has been a central concern in philosophy and theology for centuries. While some assert that faith is inherently irrational or opposed to reason (Dawkins, 2006), others contend that rational inquiry can support and even lead to theistic belief (Plantinga, 2000). Theistic belief—particularly belief in a personal, omnipotent, and morally perfect God—has historically been defended through various rational arguments. This paper seeks to explore these arguments, evaluate their philosophical strength, and demonstrate that reason remains a powerful ally to theistic belief.

Theistic belief—the conviction in the existence of a supreme, personal, and intelligent God—has often been portrayed as contrary to reason. Critics of theism, especially within the tradition of Enlightenment skepticism and modern scientific materialism, argue that belief in God is based on blind faith, lacking empirical or logical support. However, this view overlooks the rich philosophical tradition that supports the rationality of theistic belief. Far from being a product of irrationality, belief in God can be grounded in reason through several well-established arguments. These include the cosmological, teleological, moral, and ontological arguments, as well as epistemological frameworks that account for belief without empirical proof.

Statement of the Problem:

In contemporary discourse, the tension between faith and reason has been a subject of intense philosophical scrutiny. The prevailing narrative in many academic and secular circles suggests that theistic belief—faith in the existence of a supreme, personal deity—is fundamentally irrational or unsupported by reason and evidence. This assumption has been popularized by prominent atheists and naturalists such as Richard Dawkins (2006), who argues that religious belief is a “delusion” sustained by emotion, tradition, or ignorance rather than critical thinking or empirical justification. As a result, faith is often perceived as incompatible with rational inquiry and philosophical rigor.

However, this dichotomy between reason and theism is not universally accepted and has been challenged by numerous philosophers and theologians who argue that belief in God can be rationally justified. Alvin Plantinga (2000) has asserted that theistic belief can be a “properly basic belief,” grounded in foundational cognitive faculties and not necessarily dependent on inferential argument. Likewise, classical arguments such as the cosmological argument (Craig & Sinclair, 2009), the teleological argument (Collins, 2009), and the moral argument (Craig, 2008) continue to offer philosophically rigorous support for the existence of God. These arguments appeal to logic, empirical data, and ethical intuitions to establish a rational foundation for theism.

Despite this rich intellectual tradition, the question remains: *Can theistic belief be considered rational within the framework of contemporary philosophy and epistemology?* This issue is further complicated by critiques rooted in scientific naturalism, which claims that all phenomena—including consciousness, morality, and the universe itself—can be fully explained without reference to the divine (Dennett, 2006). Additionally, the problem of evil continues to pose a serious challenge to the rational coherence of belief in an all-good, all-powerful God (Mackie, 1955).

Therefore, the central problem this study seeks to explore is whether belief in God can be credibly grounded in reason, and if so, how philosophical arguments and epistemological frameworks justify theistic belief in a rational manner.

Significance of the Study:

This study is significant for its attempt to reconcile faith and reason by critically examining the rational foundations of theistic belief. In an age dominated by scientific progress and skepticism, belief in God is often dismissed as irrational. The research challenges this notion by analyzing classical and contemporary philosophical arguments—such as the cosmological, teleological, moral, and ontological—that support the reasonableness of theism. Drawing on thinkers like Aquinas, Plantinga, Craig, and Collins, the study emphasizes that belief in God can be both intellectually defensible and epistemologically sound. It also highlights the importance of reformed epistemology, especially Plantinga’s concept of “properly basic” belief, to show that religious belief can be rational without empirical proof. Additionally, the study encourages inclusive dialogue between religious and secular perspectives, promoting mutual respect in pluralistic societies. Ultimately, it underscores the relevance of reason in exploring spiritual meaning, purpose, and morality, asserting that theistic belief remains a credible and thoughtful worldview.

Objectives:

This article explores the rational foundations for theistic belief, drawing from classical and contemporary arguments such as the cosmological, teleological, moral, and ontological arguments. It also considers counterarguments and the reconciliation of faith and reason.

The Cosmological Argument: The Necessity of a First Cause

The cosmological argument, in its classical form, traces back to Plato and Aristotle and was fully developed by Thomas Aquinas in the 13th century. Aquinas's *Five Ways* argue that there must be a necessary being—unmoved, uncaused, and independent—to account for the existence of contingent beings (Aquinas, *Summa Theologica*, I, q.2, a.3). In a modern formulation, the Kalam Cosmological Argument, as defended by William Lane Craig, is framed as follows:

1. Whatever begins to exist has a cause.
2. The universe began to exist.
3. Therefore, the universe has a cause (Craig & Sinclair, 2009).

Scientific findings, such as the Big Bang theory, support the premise that the universe had a temporal beginning. The conclusion logically points to a transcendent cause—one not bound by time, space, or matter—aligning with the attributes of a theistic God.

The Teleological Argument: Design and Fine-Tuning

The teleological argument, or the argument from design, infers a designer from the observable order, complexity, and purpose in the universe. William Paley's 19th-century analogy of a watchmaker illustrated that just as a watch implies a watchmaker, the complexity of the universe implies an intelligent designer (Paley, 1802).

Modern versions of the argument focus on the fine-tuning of the physical constants of the universe. According to Robin Collins (2009), the probability of the constants falling within life-permitting ranges by chance is astronomically low. For instance, the cosmological constant (Λ) must be fine-tuned to one part in 10^{120} for life to exist. This apparent precision strongly suggests intentional calibration, which many argue points toward a divine intelligence.

The Moral Argument: Objective Morality and God

Another line of rational defense for theism is the moral argument, which posits that the existence of objective moral values and duties implies a moral lawgiver. C.S. Lewis (1952) argues that humans universally perceive certain moral laws, such as justice, compassion, and honesty, which cannot be explained solely by biological evolution or social conventions.

Alvin Plantinga (1983) and William Lane Craig (2008) emphasize that moral values are not mere human inventions but are prescriptive and binding. A naturalistic worldview struggles to account for the ontological grounding of such norms. If objective morality exists, then a transcendent moral source—namely, God—is a compelling explanation.

The Ontological Argument: God as a Necessary Being

The ontological argument, initially proposed by Anselm of Canterbury in the 11th century, suggests that the very concept of God implies His existence. Anselm defined God as “that than which nothing greater can be conceived” and argued that existing in reality is greater than existing merely in the mind. Thus, if God exists in the mind, He must also exist in reality (Anselm, *Proslogion*).

Though criticized by Kant and others for relying on a definition to prove existence, modern philosophers such as Alvin Plantinga have reformulated the argument using modal logic. Plantinga's version posits that if

it is possible that a maximally great being exists, then such a being exists in some possible world and therefore in every possible world, including ours (Plantinga, 1974).

Contemporary Epistemological Approaches to Theism

- **Plantinga's Properly Basic Belief:** In *Warranted Christian Belief* (2000), Alvin Plantinga introduces the concept of properly basic beliefs—those which are foundational and do not require inferential evidence to be rational. Just as our belief in the external world or other minds is rational without empirical proof, belief in God can be warranted if it arises from properly functioning cognitive faculties. Plantinga's epistemology shifts the burden of proof by suggesting that theism is not necessarily dependent on arguments but can be a natural and rational starting point for human knowledge.
- **Reformed Epistemology and Religious Experience:** Religious experiences, such as a sense of divine presence or moral conviction, are often dismissed by skeptics as subjective. However, thinkers like William Alston (1991) argue that religious experiences can serve as a legitimate source of knowledge, similar to sense perception. If individuals consistently report divine encounters under reliable conditions, these experiences contribute to the rationality of belief in God.

Responding to Objections: Rational Critiques and Counter-Critiques

- **The Problem of Evil:** One of the most powerful objections to theistic belief is the existence of evil. If God is omnipotent and wholly good, why does evil exist? Philosophers such as J.L. Mackie (1955) claim that the presence of evil renders theism irrational. However, the Free Will Defense, developed by Alvin Plantinga, argues that moral evil is a consequence of human freedom—a necessary condition for genuine love and moral responsibility (Plantinga, 1974). Moreover, soul-making theodicies suggest that suffering can play a role in spiritual growth and moral development (Hick, 1966).
- **Scientific Naturalism and Occam's Razor:** Scientific naturalists argue that we should not multiply entities beyond necessity (Occam's Razor) and that natural explanations suffice without invoking God. However, theists contend that God is not a competing hypothesis within science but rather the metaphysical foundation for why the universe exists and is intelligible at all (Swinburne, 2004).

Faith and Reason: Complementary Epistemologies

Contrary to the modern myth that faith and reason are oppositional, many philosophers argue for their integration. Aquinas asserted that faith builds upon reason and that both originate from God, who is the source of truth (Aquinas, *Summa Contra Gentiles*, I, 7). John Paul II's *Fides et Ratio* (1998) also affirms that faith without reason leads to superstition, while reason without faith leads to nihilism.

Reason helps clarify and justify faith, while faith deepens the purpose and direction of reason. This symbiosis allows for a fuller understanding of human existence, morality, and ultimate reality.

Conclusion:

The exploration of theistic belief through the lens of reason reveals a robust intellectual tradition. While not all arguments may individually compel belief, together they form a cumulative case that makes theism a rational worldview. The light of reason, far from extinguishing the flame of faith, nourishes it—leading humanity toward deeper questions of origin, purpose, and destiny. In a world increasingly marked by materialism and skepticism, reclaiming the rational foundations of theism is not only philosophically

important but culturally necessary. Theism, when approached with intellectual rigor, remains a viable and intellectually satisfying answer to the fundamental questions of existence.

References:

- Alston, W. P. (1991). *Perceiving God: The epistemology of religious experience*. Cornell University Press.
- Anselm. (1077). *Proslogion*.
- Aquinas, T. (1259). *Summa contra gentiles*.
- Aquinas, T. (1274). *Summa theologiae*.
- Bal, M., & Maity, A. (2019). Impact of economy & sociability on educational development of tribal women. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 6(2).
- Barsha Bhattacharyya & Maity, A. (2025). Employee performance in relation to motivation and job satisfaction: A survey on MSME. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development*, 9(1), 987–992.
- Biswas, S., Banerjee, R. (2024). Attitude towards integrating ICT in the teaching learning in the higher secondary level: A survey. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews (IJRPR)*, 5(6), 1–4.
- Biswas, S., & Biswas, S. (2024). Empowering Indian women: Sister Nivedita's enduring legacy in education and social reform. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews (IJRPR)*, 5(6), 1230–1235.
- Biswas, S., & Chatterjee, P. (2024). Students' attitudes towards e-learning from a socio-economic perspective. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (Bijmrd)*, 2(11), 1–12.
- Biswas, S., & Kumari, M. (2024). Integrating indigenous wisdom: Transforming higher education with Bhartiya knowledge systems. *American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research*, 5(2), 132–142.
- Biswas, S., & Kumari, M. (2024). The burden of care: A systematic review of parental stress in families of children with intellectual disabilities. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD)*, 8(4), 842–849.
- Collins, R. (2009). The teleological argument. In W. L. Craig & J. P. Moreland (Eds.), *The Blackwell companion to natural theology*.
- Craig, W. L. (2008). *Reasonable faith: Christian truth and apologetics*. Crossway.
- Craig, W. L., & Sinclair, J. D. (2009). The Kalam cosmological argument. In *The Blackwell companion to natural theology*.
- Dandapat, A. K., & Maity, A. (2022). Relationship between socio-economic status and academic performance of the B.Ed. students in Paschim Medinipur. 2 Day International Seminar On Swami Vivekananda College of Education.

- Daripa, S., Khawas, K., Das, S., Dey, R. K., & Kuila, B. K. (2019). Aligned proton conducting graphene sheets via block copolymer supramolecular assembly and their application for highly transparent moisture sensing conductive coating. *Chemistry Select, C, 4*, 7523–7531.
- Dawkins, R. (2006). *The God delusion*. Bantam Press.
- Dennett, D. (2006). *Breaking the spell: Religion as a natural phenomenon*. Viking.
- Hick, J. (1966). *Evil and the God of love*. Harper & Row.
- John Paul II. (1998). *Fides et ratio (Faith and reason)*. Vatican.
- Khawas, K., & Mishra, P. K. (2023). Advantages and challenges of biodiesel producing microalgae. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, 2(8), 160–163.
- Khawas, K., Daripa, S., Kumari, P., Bera, M. K., Malik, S., & Kuila, B. K. (2019). Simple synthesis of end functionalized regioregular poly(3-hexyl thiophene) by catalytic-initiated Kumada catalyst transfer polymerization. *Journal of Polymer Science, Part A: Polymer Chemistry*, 57, 945–951.
- Lewis, C. S. (1952). *Mere Christianity*. HarperCollins.
- Mackie, J. L. (1955). Evil and omnipotence. *Mind*, 64(254), 200–212.
- Maity, A. (2020). Collaborative active learning: An effective study at training colleges. In *Transition from traditional teaching methodology to online teaching* (ISBN: 978-81-946375-3-0). Redshine Publication.
- Maity, A. (2020). Investigating the benefits of project-based learning in science education. In *New trends of teaching, learning and technology* (Vol. 1). Redshine Publication.
- Maity, A. (2023). National curriculum framework for teacher education: A new horizon in teacher education as envisioned in NEP 2020. *Journal of Education, Ethics and Value*, 2(9), 45–50. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738450>
- Maity, A. (2025). Teacher effectiveness in relation to ICT acquaintance among secondary teachers of Medinipur District of West Bengal: A study on demographic variables. *American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research*, 6(5), 1108–1118. <https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajshr/article/view/3641>
- Maity, A., & Maity, N. (2025). Disparity in political participation in the local bodies: A case study of Ranchi. *Intersections of Faith and Culture: American Journal of Religious and Cultural Studies*, 3(6). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738399>
- Maity, A., & Sanuar, S. (2020). Women's access to higher education in West Bengal in open distance learning system. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, 7(3).
- Maity, A., Sanuar, S., & Ghosh, D. (2024). An assessment of the socio-economic status of the minority girls students at secondary level in Paschim Medinipur district of West Bengal. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 9123–9127. <https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.4522>
- Maity, A., et al. (2023). Correlation between study habit, test anxiety and academic achievement of the male and female B.Ed. college students. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*, 6(9s), 1872–1880. <https://doi.org/10.53555/jrtdd.v6i9s.2660>

- Maity, A., et al. (2023). Job satisfaction among secondary school teachers in Paschim Medinipur district in the present context. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results*, 14(3).
- Mishra, P. R., & Khawas, K. (2024). Advantages and challenges of biodiesel producing microalgae. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, 2, 160–163.
- Pal, D., & Khawas, K. (2024). Potential sources and uses of chitin and its polymers: A review. *Journal of Discoveries in Applied and Natural Science*, 2, 1–12.
- Paley, W. (1802). *Natural theology*.
- Plantinga, A. (1974). *God, freedom, and evil*. Eerdmans.
- Plantinga, A. (1983). Reason and belief in God. In *Faith and rationality*.
- Plantinga, A. (2000). *Warranted Christian belief*. Oxford University Press.
- Sinha, A., Kumari, N., & Khawas, K. (2024). Role of nuclear chemistry in environmental applications. *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, 2, 61–70.
- Swinburne, R. (2004). *The existence of God* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Citation: Mishra Dikshit. S., (2025) “The Light of Reason: Exploring Rational Foundations for Theistic Belief”, *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-3, Issue-06, June-2025.