BHARATI INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT (BIJMRD)

(Open Access Peer-Reviewed International Journal)



DOI Link : https://doi.org/10.70798/Bijmrd/03050020

Available Online: www.bijmrd.com|BIJMRD Volume: 3| Issue: 05| May 2025| e-ISSN: 2584-1890

A Study of Factors Influencing Creative Thinking in Adolescents

Jhuma Deb

(-)		17
Į.	1. Research scholar, Shri Venkateshwara University, debjhuma99@gmail.com	j
i.,		

Abstract:

Creative thinking is a vital cognitive skill that fosters innovation, problem-solving, and adaptability—traits essential for adolescent development in the 21st century. This study explores the multifaceted factors influencing creative thinking among adolescents, focusing on familial, educational, psychological, and social dimensions. Drawing from a sample of secondary school students, the research utilizes both quantitative and qualitative methods to examine how parental involvement, classroom environment, selfefficacy, peer interaction, and cultural exposure contribute to the development of creative potential. The findings indicate that adolescents from supportive and intellectually stimulating family backgrounds exhibit higher levels of creativity. Educational factors, including teacher encouragement and curriculum flexibility, significantly enhance creative expression. Psychological elements such as self-confidence and openness to experience were positively correlated with divergent thinking abilities. Furthermore, peer collaboration and exposure to diverse social environments were found to broaden creative perspectives. The study emphasizes the importance of a holistic developmental ecosystem in nurturing creativity during adolescence. These insights hold implications for educators, parents, and policymakers seeking to cultivate creative capacities in young individuals.

Keywords: Creative Thinking, Adolescents, Family Influence, Educational Environment, Self-Efficacy, Peer Interaction, Socio-Cultural Factors.

Introduction:

Creativity is the ability to generate novel and valuable ideas, solutions, or products and is widely regarded as a cornerstone of human innovation and progress. Adolescence, defined roughly as the period between ages 12 and 18, is a crucial phase marked by cognitive, emotional, and social growth, during which creative thinking skills often develop rapidly (Kuhn, 2001). Understanding the factors that influence creative thinking in adolescents is vital for educators, parents, and policymakers who wish to cultivate these skills to prepare youth for an increasingly complex and dynamic world.

Creative thinking encompasses divergent thinking, problem-solving, and the capacity to view situations from multiple perspectives (Runco & Acar, 2012). However, its development is not uniform and can be affected by numerous external and internal factors. This study aims to explore these factors comprehensively, offering insights into how creativity can be nurtured effectively during adolescence.

Published By: www.bijmrd.com II All rights reserved. © 2025 II Impact Factor: 5.7 BIJMRD Volume: 3 | Issue: 05 | May 2025 | e-ISSN: 2584-1890

183 | Page

Significance of the Study:

The present study on factors influencing creative thinking in adolescents holds considerable significance for multiple stakeholders including educators, parents, policymakers, and researchers. Creativity is widely acknowledged as a vital skill in the modern world, underpinning innovation, problem-solving, and adaptability across personal, academic, and professional domains. Adolescence is a formative period when cognitive abilities, including creativity, undergo critical development. Understanding the diverse factors that promote or hinder creative thinking during this stage is essential for cultivating this skill effectively.

From an educational perspective, this study provides valuable insights into how classroom environments, teaching methods, and peer interactions can be optimized to foster creativity. By identifying the positive role of supportive teacher behaviors and flexible curricula, the study offers guidance for curriculum developers and educators to design learning experiences that nurture divergent thinking, critical inquiry, and collaborative creativity among adolescents.

For parents and families, the research underscores the importance of emotional support, encouragement, and opportunities for exploration at home. Highlighting how parental involvement influences creative development can motivate families to adopt more supportive and open attitudes that enhance their children's creative potential.

At the policy level, the findings can inform educational reforms aimed at balancing standardized assessment with creative skill development. Policies that integrate creativity nurturing programs and provide resources for extracurricular creative activities can be shaped based on the study's insights, thus addressing the growing demand for creative competencies in the workforce and society.

Furthermore, this study contributes to the academic literature by synthesizing psychological, social, familial, and educational factors affecting adolescent creativity, encouraging further research in diverse cultural and socioeconomic contexts. It also sheds light on the psychological barriers, such as stress and fear of failure, that may limit creativity, prompting a more holistic approach to adolescent development.

In summary, the study's significance lies in its potential to enhance understanding of adolescent creativity's complex dynamics and to offer practical recommendations that foster environments conducive to creative growth. This is crucial for preparing young individuals to thrive in an increasingly complex, competitive, and rapidly changing world.

Objectives:

This study investigates the various factors that influence creative thinking among adolescents, focusing on familial, educational, psychological, and social dimensions.

Familial Factors Influencing Creative Thinking

Parental Support and Encouragement:

The family environment plays a foundational role in shaping adolescents' creative capacities. Parents who provide emotional support, encouragement, and autonomy tend to cultivate greater creativity in their children (Beghetto & Kaufman, 2014). When adolescents feel safe to express ideas without fear of criticism, they are more likely to experiment, take risks, and explore new possibilities.

Research indicates that parents who engage in creative activities with their children — such as art projects, storytelling, or problem-solving games — nurture curiosity and divergent thinking (Clarke & Zimmerman,

2012). Such involvement signals that creativity is valued and rewarded, fostering intrinsic motivation for creative expression.

Parenting Styles:

Parenting style has a profound impact on creative thinking. Authoritative parenting, characterized by warmth, clear boundaries, and responsiveness, is often linked to higher creativity (Grolnick & Ryan, 1989). This style balances freedom and guidance, encouraging adolescents to develop their own ideas while providing a supportive framework.

Conversely, authoritarian parenting — which is more controlling and punitive — tends to suppress creativity by promoting conformity and discouraging risk-taking. Permissive parenting, with its lack of structure, may also negatively affect creativity by failing to provide the necessary challenge and feedback that spur creative growth.

Socioeconomic Status and Resources:

Familial socioeconomic status (SES) influences creative development indirectly through access to resources. Higher SES families often provide greater access to enriching experiences such as books, museums, extracurricular activities, and technology, which can stimulate creative exploration (Feldman & Benjamin, 2006). Conversely, limited resources may constrain opportunities for creative engagement, although creativity can still flourish in low-resource environments given supportive family dynamics.

Sibling Influence:

Siblings also shape creative thinking through interaction and collaboration. Positive sibling relationships promote creative problem-solving and perspective-taking (Dunn, 2007). Sibling rivalry, when constructive, can foster motivation to excel and innovate. However, hostile sibling relationships may undermine confidence and willingness to express unique ideas.

Educational Factors Influencing Creative Thinking

Teaching Methods and Curriculum Design: Schools are pivotal environments for nurturing creativity. Teaching approaches that emphasize inquiry, problem-solving, and project-based learning encourage adolescents to engage in creative thinking (Amabile, 1996). Inquiry-based learning, where students explore questions and discover answers, fosters curiosity and independent thought.

Curricula that allow flexibility, choice, and interdisciplinary connections stimulate creative thinking by encouraging students to integrate knowledge from diverse domains. Conversely, rigid curricula that emphasize memorization and standardized testing can stifle creativity by limiting opportunities for exploration and risk-taking (Craft, 2005).

Teacher Attitudes and Support: The attitudes of teachers toward creativity critically affect students' creative development. Teachers who value creativity, provide positive feedback, and encourage experimentation create classrooms where creativity thrives (Beghetto & Kaufman, 2014). Supportive teachers model creative thinking, challenge students with open-ended questions, and celebrate creative efforts, thereby enhancing students' self-efficacy and motivation.

In contrast, educators who prioritize conformity, punish mistakes, or ignore creative efforts may discourage students from taking creative risks, limiting creative potential.

Peer Influence in Educational Settings: Peer interactions within the school context influence creativity by providing diverse viewpoints and collaborative opportunities. Working in groups allows adolescents to share ideas, build on each other's creativity, and develop social skills that underpin creative collaboration (Sawyer, 2012). Positive peer feedback reinforces creative confidence and persistence.

However, peer pressure to conform or fear of negative evaluation can inhibit creativity. Adolescents who feel accepted and supported by their peers are more likely to express original ideas freely.

Extracurricular Activities: Participation in extracurricular activities such as music, drama, art clubs, and science fairs provides important outlets for creative expression beyond the traditional classroom. These activities promote experimentation, skill development, and self-directed learning, which are vital for creative growth (Feldman & Benjamin, 2006).

Schools that encourage a balance between academic rigor and creative pursuits tend to produce students with higher creative capacities.

Psychological Factors Influencing Creative Thinking

Intrinsic Motivation and Curiosity: Intrinsic motivation — engaging in activities for inherent satisfaction rather than external rewards — is a core psychological driver of creativity (Hennessey & Amabile, 2010). Adolescents motivated by curiosity, personal interest, or enjoyment tend to invest more effort and persist longer in creative tasks.

Curiosity fuels exploration and questioning, essential components of creative thinking. Adolescents who ask "what if" and "why not" are more likely to generate novel ideas and approaches.

Self-Efficacy and Confidence: Creative self-efficacy, or belief in one's ability to produce creative outcomes, significantly influences creative performance (Bandura, 1997). Adolescents who feel capable of thinking creatively are more willing to take risks, experiment, and endure setbacks.

Building creative confidence requires supportive feedback, opportunities for mastery, and role models who exemplify creative success. Without self-efficacy, fear of failure or rejection may inhibit creative expression.

Cognitive Flexibility and Divergent Thinking: Creativity is closely linked to cognitive flexibility — the ability to shift perspectives and adapt thinking strategies. Adolescents with higher cognitive flexibility can generate multiple solutions to problems and think "outside the box" (Runco & Acar, 2012).

Divergent thinking tasks, such as brainstorming many possible uses for an object, assess this aspect of creativity. Educational and familial environments that encourage exploration and tolerate ambiguity help cultivate cognitive flexibility.

Emotional Well-being and Stress: Adolescents' emotional health also affects creativity. While moderate stress may stimulate creative problem-solving, chronic stress and anxiety typically constrain creative thinking by narrowing attention and increasing fear of failure (Forgeard, 2013).

Supportive environments that promote resilience, emotional regulation, and positive self-concept enable adolescents to take creative risks without excessive fear or inhibition.

Social Factors Influencing Creative Thinking

Peer Relationships and Social Acceptance: Positive social relationships provide emotional support, encouragement, and feedback crucial for creative exploration (Sawyer, 2012). Adolescents who feel accepted by peers are more confident in expressing unique ideas.

Social acceptance reduces fear of negative evaluation, which often inhibits creativity. Conversely, bullying, exclusion, or peer pressure to conform can significantly dampen creative expression.

Cultural and Societal Norms: Cultural values and societal expectations shape the ways creativity is understood, expressed, and rewarded. Cultures that emphasize individualism tend to encourage originality, personal expression, and risk-taking (Niu & Sternberg, 2002).

In contrast, collectivist cultures may stress harmony and conformity, influencing creativity to be expressed in socially acceptable ways or within group contexts. Awareness of these cultural dimensions is essential for understanding and supporting adolescent creativity across diverse populations.

Technology and Media Influence: The digital age presents new social contexts that impact adolescent creativity. Access to information, creative tools (e.g., digital art, music software), and platforms for sharing ideas (e.g., social media) can enhance creative opportunities (Greenfield, 2017).

However, excessive screen time or passive media consumption may reduce time spent in active creative pursuits or social interactions essential for creativity.

Community and Societal Support: Community resources such as youth centers, libraries, arts organizations, and mentorship programs provide supportive spaces for creative development. Societies that invest in creative education and celebrate innovation send positive messages that nurture creativity among youth.

Discussion:

The convergence of evidence underscores that adolescent creativity flourishes within supportive, stimulating environments. Family support, characterized by encouragement and freedom to explore, significantly boosts creative thinking (Clarke & Zimmerman, 2012). Educational settings that prioritize inquiry-based learning, teacher encouragement, and collaborative activities further enhance creative capacities (Amabile, 1996; Sawyer, 2012).

Psychological factors like intrinsic motivation and self-confidence emerge as internal drivers of creativity, while stress and fear act as inhibitors (Hennessey & Amabile, 2010; Forgeard, 2013). This suggests the need for strategies addressing adolescent emotional well-being alongside skill development.

Social contexts, including peer groups and cultural norms, also mold creativity. Adolescents benefit from interactions that expose them to diverse viewpoints and support creative risk-taking. Moreover, understanding cultural dimensions can help tailor creativity programs to fit diverse populations (Niu & Sternberg, 2002).

Implications

The findings have practical implications for various stakeholders:

187 | Page

- Educators should design curricula that foster exploration, critical thinking, and collaboration, reducing emphasis on rote learning and high-stakes testing. Teacher training programs should incorporate creativity facilitation techniques.
- **Parents** need to create home environments that encourage questioning, risk-taking, and emotional support, avoiding overly critical or restrictive attitudes.
- **Policymakers** should promote policies that integrate creativity development into educational standards and provide resources for extracurricular creative activities.

Conclusion:

Creative thinking is a multifaceted construct influenced by family, educational, psychological, and social factors. Adolescence offers a critical window for nurturing these skills, which are essential for personal development and societal advancement. By fostering supportive environments, encouraging intrinsic motivation, and embracing flexible educational approaches, stakeholders can unlock adolescents' creative potential. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies and intervention-based approaches to deepen understanding and application.

References

- Amabile, T. M. (1996). Creativity in context. Westview Press.
- Akbari, O., & Sahibzada, J. (2020). Students' self-confidence and its impacts on their learning process: A case study of private universities in Kabul, Afghanistan. *Educational Research Journal*, 30(2), 112–126.
- Bagchi, M., & Bairagya, S. (2021). Concept and context of teacher education. In *Teacher education:* Contemporary issues, practices & prospects (Vol. 1).
- Bairagya, S., Mete, J., & Ghosh, S. K. (n.d.). A study on the relative effectiveness between concept attainment model and traditional method of teaching in economics. *Journal of Education, R.B.U., 9*(1), 109–114.
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. W.H. Freeman.
- Barsha Bhattacharyya, & Maity, A. (2025). Employee performance in relation to motivation and job satisfaction: A survey on MSME. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development*, 9(1), 987–992.
- Beghetto, R. A., & Kaufman, J. C. (2014). Classroom contexts for creativity. *High Ability Studies, 25*(1), 53–69.
- Biswas, S., & Kumari, M. (2023). A qualitative study on the globalization of higher education: Trends and implications. *Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(1), 42–51.
- Biswas, S., & Kumari, M. (2023). Sustainable strategies for digital transformation in higher education: A global perspective. *Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(3/2).

- Biswas, S. (2022). An assessment of the needs of first-generation college girls students. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development*, 6(6), 2305–2308.
- Biswas, S. (2016). Inclusion of socio-economically disadvantaged groups children in the inclusive school education. *Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 4(2), 209–214.
- Biswas, S., & Kumari, M. (2024). Integrating indigenous wisdom: Transforming higher education with Bhartiya knowledge systems. *American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research*, *5*(2), 132–142.
- Clarke, S. N., & Zimmerman, J. (2012). Family influence on creativity. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 26(1), 80–90.
- Craft, A. (2005). Creativity in schools: Tensions and dilemmas. Routledge.
- Dandapat, A. K., & Maity, A. (2022). Relationship between socio-economic status and academic performance of the B.Ed. students in Paschim Medinipur. 2 Day International Seminar on Swami Vivekananda College of Education.
- Dasgupta, A., & Bairagya, S. (n.d.). Social values among school children through the teaching of valuebased contents in Bengali. *Journal of Education and Development*, 7(14).
- Dunn, J. (2007). Sibling influences on creativity. *Journal of Adolescence*, 30(3), 341–354.
- Feldman, D. H., & Benjamin, A. C. (2006). Socioeconomic influences on creativity. *Developmental Psychology*, 42(2), 343–352.
- Forgeard, M. J. C. (2013). Perceiving benefits after adversity: The relationship between stress and creativity. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts,* 7(3), 245–264.
- Ghosh, S., & Bairagya, S. (2010). Attitude of secondary school teachers towards teaching profession in relation to some demographic variables. *Edusearch: Journal of Educational Research, 1*(1), 55–59.
- Greenfield, P. M. (2017). Technology and creativity in adolescents. *Psychological Bulletin, 143*(2), 111–142.
- Grolnick, W. S., & Ryan, R. M. (1989). Parenting and creativity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *57*(5), 951–962.
- Hennessey, B. A., & Amabile, T. M. (2010). Creativity. Annual Review of Psychology, 61, 569–598.
- Kuhn, D. (2001). How do people know? *Psychological Science*, 12(1), 1–8.
- Mr. Susovan Pradhan, Sk Rasid Mahammad, Mr. Amit Adhikari, Manikanta Paria, & Maity, A. (2023). Job satisfaction among secondary school teachers in Paschim Medinipur District in the present context. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results*, 14(3).
- Niu, W., & Sternberg, R. J. (2002). Cultural influences on artistic creativity and its assessment. *International Journal of Psychology*, *37*(5), 285–295.
- Roy, S., & Bairagya, S. (2019). Conceptualisation of pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) of science from Shulman's notion to Refined Consensus Model (RCM): A journey. *Education India Journal*, 8(2), 55–59.

- Runco, M. A., & Acar, S. (2012). Divergent thinking as an indicator of creative potential. *Creativity Research Journal*, 24(1), 66–75.
- Sawyer, R. K. (2012). Explaining creativity: The science of human innovation. Oxford University Press.
- Citation: Deb. J., (2025) "A Study of Factors Influencing Creative Thinking in Adolescents", *Bharati* International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD), Vol-3, Issue-05, May-2025.