The Legacy of Rousseau and Its Importance in Modern Times: The Role of Participatory Democracy

Dr. Santanu Biswas[1] & Professor. Prokash Biswas[2]

1. HOD, Department of Education, RKDF University, Ranchi, santanubb@gmail.com

2. HOD, Department of Education, Jadavpur University, Kolkata, prokashbiswas1974@gmail.com

Abstract:

Examining the ideas put out by Jean-Jacques Rousseau, this study sought to determine the relevance and enduring influence of participatory democracy today. Modern concepts of participatory governance traced back to Rousseau's works, which highlighted the significance of civic virtue, direct citizen engagement, and the public will as paramount. As new avenues for public engagement made possible by technological advancements, the ideas put out by Rousseau take on new significance in the present day. This abstract delves into the relevance of Rousseau's concepts to modern democratic practices, specifically looking at how digital technology used to increase public engagement. This abstract aims to shed light on the lasting significance of participatory democracy in tackling modern political issues and promoting democratic principles in the 21st century by referencing Rousseau's work.

Keywords: *Rousseau, Participatory Democracy, Direct Citizen, Legacy, Contemporary Relevance.*

1. Introduction

Many of the works of the Enlightenment philosopher Jean-Jacques Rousseau, including "The Social Contract" and "Discourse on the Origin and Basis of Inequality among Men," have contributed significantly to the field of political philosophy. Fundamental to Rousseau's argument was the concept that individuals sacrifice their personal liberty for the greater good of the community, such as prosperity and security. Real sovereignty, in Rousseau's view, rests in the people's collective will, and the path forward is direct democracy, in which people actively participate in shaping their government. Despite growing cynicism about representative democracies, Rousseau's views continue to influence contemporary political discourse. At a time when citizens are becoming more and further apart from their governments, Rousseau's emphasis on participatory democracy offers a refreshing change from the status quo. Aiming to provide people a greater voice in political decision-making, groups that advocate for more public participation, transparency, and accountability draw inspiration from Rousseau's ideal. Recent technical advances have paved the way for more citizen engagement, which in turn allows more individuals to take part and collaborate. From online deliberative democracy platforms to social media activity, modern technologies allow direct interaction between people and authorities, reiterating Rousseau's call for active citizenship. The implementation of participatory democracy, however, presents serious challenges and ethical dilemmas. When it comes to inclusive decision-making processes, some question whether direct democracy can scale up to match the

complexity of current concerns. In participatory systems, safeguarding the rights of oppressed groups and preventing majority control are of equal importance. However, there may be significant benefits to participatory democracy as well. By embracing participatory tactics that foster more legitimacy, transparency, and accountability, governments might potentially better address the needs and aspirations of their citizens. Not only that, but participatory democracy fortifies our democratic system by encouraging civic engagement via public debate and instruction. In the end, Rousseau's proposal of participatory democracy offers a compelling framework for addressing the challenges of contemporary governance. It was Rousseau's demand for universal involvement that prompted a rethinking of democracy in view of the increased complexity and interdependence of modern life.

1.1. Background of the Study

Participatory democracy, which stems from Jean-Jacques Rousseau's political philosophy, has lately seen a rise of interest among intellectual and political circles around the world. This study attempts to assess the practicality and relevance of participatory democracy in contemporary governmental systems by analysing Rousseau's legacy in connection to contemporary political frameworks. Jean-Jacques Rousseau, a major figure in the Enlightenment, cast doubt on long-held notions of monarchy and individual liberty in his magnum work, "The Social Contract." The idea of participatory democracy that Rousseau put out challenged established norms by stressing the need of citizens' active participation in policiesmaking. He advocated for institutions that gave the public a voice in government operations, arguing that true sovereignty is based on the collective desire of the people. There has been a recent upsurge in interest in participatory democracy for several reasons. New digital technologies facilitate more participation, concerns about political apathy and disenfranchisement are on the rise, and people's growing disenchantment with representative institutions is another factor. Similar to Rousseau's aims, the democratic reform, environmental sustainability, and social justice movements seek to foster inclusive leadership and restore democratic values. Collective action and grassroots participation have shown to be successful in bringing about political change in recent global events such as the Occupy and Arab Spring movements. The universal will, as proposed by Rousseau, is that people would join in reaction to common issues and in pursuit of common aims; these revolts exemplified this idea. While the idea of participatory democracy is appealing and useful in principle, there are several challenges to implementing it in reality. Concerns include the potential for technology to facilitate more inclusive decision-making, the impact of majority rule regimes on minority rights, and the scalability of direct democratic methods. Cultural, institutional, and economical factors all have a role in how effective participatory systems are in a given setting. However, there may be significant benefits to participatory democracy as well. Governmental institutions are made more legitimate and effective via participatory techniques that promote more transparency, accountability, and public agency. The promotion of civic engagement and discourse via participatory democracy has contributed to the advancement of pluralistic and inclusive societies by strengthening democratic resilience and social cohesion. This study aims to examine and assess Rousseau's participatory democracy in light of contemporary political systems, bearing all of this in mind. The purpose of this research is to add to the ongoing discussion on democratic theory and practice by examining existing theoretical frameworks and offering suggestions for how participatory principles may enhance and shape modern forms of government.

1.2. The Statement of the Problem

The purpose of the issue statement was to investigate how Jean-Jacques Rousseau's philosophical writings on participatory democracy relate to the current role of digital technology in facilitating public engagement in democratic decision-making processes. The purpose of this research is to understand how Rousseau's ideas on popular involvement in governance apply to the internet age. In addition, the study investigated whether elements of participatory democracy may be effective in addressing contemporary political challenges. The research aims to provide light on how digital technologies might enhance citizen

involvement and address the complicated political landscape of today by exploring these areas. These are two areas where Rousseau's theories can be used in democratic practices and have practical importance.

1.3. The Significance of the Study

Combining Jean-Jacques Rousseau's philosophical works with more contemporary ideas on digital technology and political challenges, this research investigates participatory democracy from multiple viewpoints, which is why it is important. This study examines Rousseau's ideas from the perspective of contemporary technology in order to provide light on how to increase participation in democratic processes via the use of digital technologies. By investigating what factors could make participatory democracy effective in addressing contemporary political issues, the study also contributes to the ongoing discussions on democratic theory and practice. It also has practical consequences for politicians, activists, and scholars attempting to understand how to improve the functioning of democracy in this complex environment. Summarising, the study bridged the gap between classical political theory and modern administration by offering a nuanced evaluation of participatory democracy and its relevance to current political processes.

1.4. The Research Questions

RQ_1: In Jean-Jacques Rousseau's philosophical works on the topic of participatory democracy, what are the essential points?

RQ_2: How do internet tools like social media and forums affect people's participation in democratic decision-making?

RQ_3: In tackling modern political issues, what are the main elements that determine whether participatory democracy succeeds or fails?

1.5. The Objectives of the Study

O_1: To understand about the democratic philosophy of Jean-Jacques Rousseau.

O_2: To determine how contemporary digital tools influence public participation in democratic decision-making.

O_3: In order to tackle the political issues of today, it is necessary to study what elements of participatory democracy may be effective.

2. The Review of Related Literature

- **Çıdam, Ç. (2023).** Democracy, Political Education, and Spectacles: Rereading Rousseau's Letter to M. D'Alembert. Going beyond their expected role as passive consumers of information, audience members change the distribution of the sensible by participating in forbidden activities like evaluating performances and enjoying the hedonistic pleasures of the wealthy. The public festival and the marital ball, two of Rousseau's theatre alternatives, try to shut this door by being subtly symbolic. According to Rousseau, these representations of a "ideal" society may effectively convey moral lessons if they are made to seem like real communities.

- **Karadas, A. B. (2022).** It is Rousseau's fundamental belief that people should have the capacity to limit the authority of their government in order to prevent it from becoming too powerful. After the first part explains the problems, the second part shows how they link to Rousseau's opinions on Poland. Rousseau asserts that Poles lack political maturity in this section. Following his discussion with a lawgiver, he stresses the need of information and warns against making hasty decisions, especially on social matters. To ensure the safety of lawmakers, he devises plans to rein in the executive branch.

- **Stockman, C., & Scalia, V. (2020).** The Rousseau platform, which is the foundation of the five star movement, includes democracy. How Politics and Society in Europe Have Changed Over Time. This research seeks to clarify any confusion over the platform's true meaning by using that critical lens to Rousseau's "Emile" and "The Social Contract." Subtle authoritarianism and the illusion of agency are the phenomena that we focus on. This allows the platform to subtly influence people's lives. As an anti-modern public forum, it threatens democracy in a major way.

- **Junior, E. B., & Ferreira, B. M. (2022).** The central premise of the study states that the context of communication dictates the level and kind of participation. In contrast to more hierarchical communicative structures, such as traditional mass media, which tend to concentrate power and decision-making, we sought to determine how effectively the information-organization and elaboration methods of these platforms, within the context of digital networks, enable broad and effective citizen action.

- **Gomes, B. (2020).** Education and citizenship were topics that Rousseau covered in his works. Jean-Jacques Rousseau had strong opinions on the subject of education's role in shaping people in this chapter. By describing Rousseau's educational goal and addressing the scholarly disputes around its interpretation, the section labelled "Rousseau's Educational Project(s): Domestic and Civic" imparts useful background. One school of thought holds that Rousseau gives us two competing educational paradigms, one based on the home and one on the neighbourhood. Modern thinkers, however, argue that these two schools of thought are really complementary to one another. Here we examine the arguments for and against each interpretation of Rousseau's pedagogical aim before delving into the complexities of the second reading.

2.1. Research Gap

Much material has been devoted to Jean-Jacques Rousseau's political theory and participatory democracy. Regardless, studies that thoroughly investigate the connections between Rousseau's ideas and contemporary democratic issues and technological advancements are few. Though Rousseau's philosophies have been the subject of many analyses, very few have considered how they may contribute to the ongoing discussion around digital democracy and citizen engagement. Digital technology's potential impact on participatory democratic practices and the relevance of Rousseau's theories to contemporary political issues such as polarisation, disinformation, and inequality have received little academic attention. A comprehensive examination that links Rousseau's writings to contemporary events is required to comprehend the superiority of modern participatory democracies over their predecessors.

3. Methodology of Study

To assess Rousseau's participatory democracy in contemporary political systems in an exhaustive and rigorous manner, a specific method is needed. This introduction section lays out the research approach, data collection methodologies, and analytical frameworks. It examines the possibility and repercussions of implementing participatory practices into contemporary governance. A multidisciplinary framework using a number of views and methodologies is used in the research approach to better comprehend Rousseau's political theory and its relevance to current politics.

4. Analysis and Interpretation

Pertaining to Objective 1:

O₁: To understand about the democratic philosophy of Jean-Jacques Rousseau.

Jean-Jacques Rousseau, a prominent Enlightenment thinker, had some very valid points on participatory democracy that we may learn from today. Conceptually, Rousseau's philosophies revolve on the idea of the

"general will," or the collective will of the people striving to advance society's interest or the common good. This universal will, which Rousseau asserts is the bedrock of lawful political power, is, in his opinion, the perfect condition for a government to function. Significant viewpoints on democratic participation offered by Rousseau in his philosophical works are as follows.

One of Jean-Jacques Rousseau's most influential works, "The Social Contract," sets forth his principles for effective administration. According to him, social agreements are the root cause of both the establishment of government and the building of society. The essence of this agreement is submitting to the collective will and relinquishing certain personal freedoms in return for the possible safety and security that the group may provide.

According to Rousseau, a direct democracy would enable a large number of people to have a say in how public policy is made. If we want our laws and policies to reflect the values of the people, he says, this kind of public engagement is crucial. Members of his perfect society would meet often to discuss and vote on important issues.

For democracies to endure, says Rousseau, people need to be politically active and practise civic virtue. The common good, he added, only to understood and pursued via education and active engagement in governmental activities. Civic virtues include being an active citizen in government and being willing to put the needs of one's community ahead of one's self. Participatory democracy, in Rousseau's view, thrives in tiny, homogenous groups where people have similar beliefs and pursue shared interests. Decisions more likely made collectively according to the general will in these communities because members are more likely to form bonds of trust and solidarity with one another. A representative democracy is one in which citizens have a voice in the decision-making process by electing officials to do so. This system was met with Rousseau's scepticism. He said that representatives in democracies are more likely to put their own interests ahead of the common good, which causes people to lose faith in them. A good and democratic society built by the active participation of people, civic virtue, and the pre-eminence of the public will, according to Jean-Jacques Rousseau's philosophical works on participatory democracy.

Pertaining to Objective 2:

O₂: To determine how contemporary digital tools influence public participation in democratic decision-making.

Several aspects of today's digital technology point to the possibility of more citizen participation in democratic decision-making. A comprehensive analysis of the effects of these technologies on democracies presented here: Improvements for Accessibility: The public now has more access than ever before to political data and chances for engagement because to the widespread use of digital technologies like smartphones and the internet. Social media has made it easier for citizens to participate in online forums, have access to official government documents, and stay abreast of current events. This alternative makes it easier for those who have been unable to participate in conventional political processes to do so. Digital platforms like online voting, e-petitions, and crowdsourcing allow citizens to actively engage in decision-making processes. There is no longer any need for middlemen thanks to these platforms where individuals may express themselves, make suggestions, and impact policy decisions directly. Direct involvement fosters transparency and inclusion by giving people a voice in government processes. Open data projects gaining popularity among the governments and provide the public with access to large volumes of government data in digital forms. Citizens are able to keep tabs on expenditures, see how their tax dollars spent, and hold their politicians to account when government papers made public. Better decision-making and civic engagement are possible outcomes of open data's levelling the playing field for access to information.

Pertaining to Objective 3:

O₃: In order to tackle the political issues of today, it is necessary to study what elements of participatory democracy may be effective.

To explore the possible components of participatory democracy as a solution to modern political problems, one must have a firm grasp of the ways in which democratic ideals are applied to intricate social situations. What follows is a breakdown of these possible parts:

An essential feature of participatory democracy is hearing out a variety of opinions before settling on a decision. One way that participatory democracy may tackle the political issues caused by bias, marginalisation, and socioeconomic inequality is by making sure that everyone has a chance to speak. Promoting policies that represent the demands and interests of the whole community, reducing polarisation, and strengthening social cohesion are all outcomes of inclusive decision-making processes. In participatory democracies, reasoned discussion and deliberative discourse form the basis of the decision-making system. Ideological extremism, polarisation, and disinformation are all political problems that participatory democracies aim to address by promoting public engagement via dialogue and collaboration. Better policy results achieved via thoughtful conversation, which fosters comprehension, analysis, and consensus building.

Citizens in participatory democracies feel more empowered because they have a bigger say in policymaking. By allowing people a voice in and a say in political decision-making, participatory democracies may help combat political indifference, estrangement, and mistrust of the state. A more accountable government is the result of people who are not afraid to speak up for what they believe in. A more responsible and responsive government is the result of feedback loops and citizen-government involvement in participatory democracies. By requesting and integrating public opinion into policy choices, the political system referred to as "participatory democracy" seeks to solve concerns about the credibility, effectiveness, and responsiveness of the government. When government officials are more approachable, citizens have greater faith in their institutions, and services are better. Designing and implementing democratic procedures in a way that supports innovation, creativity, and adaptability is the hallmark of participatory democracy. Problems like fast social change, technology disruption, and international crises are more manageable in participatory democracies that are receptive to new ideas, technologies, and ways of public interaction. By using innovative democratic processes, governments may enhance their ability to tackle emerging issues, use public knowledge, and promote a growth mind-set. To ensure that those in authority are answerable to the public, participatory democracies use accountability mechanisms including openness, supervision, and electoral accountability. Participatory democracy may help combat political problems including corruption, power abuse, and democratic decline by enhancing accountability measures. Honest administration encouraged, wrongdoing discouraged, and democratic principles and institutions protected by robust accountability systems. Technology has made it easier for grassroots organisations to organise and advocate for change by providing resources for planning and carrying out coordinated actions. In particular, the capacity of social media platforms to unite individuals with shared values, disseminate information about critical political and social problems, and rally support for different causes has made them potent instruments for political engagement. With the help of digital advocacy, under-represented groups may have their voices heard, current power structures challenged, and real social change achieved. While there are many areas of society that digital technologies have the potential to improve, there are also new worries and problems about representative democracy that these technologies raise. Internet prejudice, disinformation, invasions of privacy, and digital inequality are some of the problems that hinder democratic debate and participation. The possibility for political meddling with internet platforms and the concentration of power in a few businesses raise concerns about the openness and fairness of online democracy. Finally yet importantly, contemporary digital technologies greatly enhance public participation in democratic decision-making. These technologies hold immense promise for enhancing democratic governance and fostering civic engagement through

increasing information accessibility, streamlining personal engagement, promoting accountability and openness, and amplifying grassroots initiatives.

5. Conclusion

At last, but most crucially, the enduring wisdom of Jean-Jacques Rousseau, a pioneer of participatory democracy, as found in his works. These insights provide light on the nature and functioning of representative democracy. By highlighting civic virtue, direct citizen engagement, and the general will, Rousseau stresses the significance of inclusive, thoughtful, and responsive democratic processes. With the rise of digital technologies that allow more people to participate, his vision of a community where people actively shape their common fate has only become more important. More inclusive, responsible, and strong political institutions—ones that can meet the complicated problems of today without betraying democratic ideals and principles—may be the goal of modern democracies that want to build upon Rousseau's ideas.

References:

- Acharya A., Samanta, A., Maity, A., Pal, D., Ghosh, A., Gupta, A., and Saha. R. (2024)Green Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles from *Sarcochlamyspulcherrima*: Enhanced Antimicrobial and Anti-biofilm Activity. *Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Modern Tools and Approaches in the Emerging Field of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Research*. 20-22, Nov 17(1): pp 219.

- Banks, J. A. (2015). Cultural diversity and education: Foundations, curriculum, and teaching. *The Journal of Negro Education,* 63(4), 545–564.

- Barber, B. (2003). Strong democracy: Participatory politics for a new age. *University of California Press*.

- Biswas, s. (2022)Constraints of the First Generation College Girls Students: A Survey. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD).* 2022. 6(7). 2277-2280.

- Biswas, S. (2022.) An Assessment of the needs of First-Generation College Girls Students. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD).* 2022. 6(6). 2305-2308.

- Biswas, S. 2015. Parents Responses about Juvenile Delinquency of School going Teenagers. *Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal (GIMRJ).* 2015. 1(3). 62-65.

- Biswas, S. A Study on Probable Causes of Dropout and Retention of Tribal Children in Secondary Level. *International Journal of Trends in Scientific Research and Development.* 2016. 1(1), 237 – 240.

- Biswas, S. Educational Dynamics in West Bengal: A Holistic Examination. *Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal (GIMRJ).* 2016. 6(3). 319-325.

- Biswas, S.(2014) Role of ICT improving the Quality of School Education in India. *Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal (GIMRJ).* 2014. 1(1). 168-173.

- Biswas, S;(2016) Inclusion of Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Groups Children in the inclusive School Education. *Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal (GIMRJ).* 2016. 6(2). 209 - 2014.

- Boerop, J. B. (2017). Participatory democracy: theory and models.

- Book, I. (1995). by Jean Jacques Rousseau. *Social and Political Philosophy: Classical Western Texts in Feminist and Multicultural Perspectives*, 203.

- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design. *Harvard University Press*.

- Çıdam, Ç. (2023). Spectacles, Political Education, and Democracy: Re-reading Rousseau's Letter to M. D'Alembert. *Polity*, *55*(3), 568-598.

- Cranston, M. (1991). *The Noble Savage: Jean-Jacques Rousseau, 1754-1762*. University of Chicago Press.

- Daripa, S., Khawas, K., Behere, R. P., Verma, R., Kuila, B. K. (2021). Efficient Moisture-Induced Energy Harvesting from Water-Soluble Conjugated Block Copolymer-Functionalized Reduced Graphene Oxide. *ACS Omega*, *6*, 7257-7265.

- Daripa, S., Khawas, K., Das, S., Dey, R. K. &Kuila, B. K. (2019). Aligned Proton Conducting Graphene Sheets via Block Copolymer Supramolecular Assembly and Their Application for Highly Transparent Moisture Sensing Conductive Coating. *Chemistry Select, C,* 4,7523 -7531.

- Daripa, S., Khawas, K., Sharma, A., Kumar, A., Pal, B., Das, S., Jit, S. K. &Kuila, B. K. (2020). Simple and Direct Synthetic Route to a Rod-Coil Conjugated Block Copolymer either from Rod or Coil Block using a Single Bi-Functional Initiator, Solvent Dependent Self-Assembly and Field Effect Mobility Study. *ACS Applied Polymer Materials*, *2*, 1283-1293.

- Delpit, L. (2012). Multiplication is for white people: Raising expectations for other people's children. *The New Press*.

- Doughty, H. A. (2015). Participatory Democracy: Beyond Classical Liberalism. *College Quarterly*, *18*(2), n2.

- Eigeldinger, M. (1978). *Jean-Jacques Rousseau*. La Baconnière.

- Estlund, D. M., Waldron, J., Grofman, B., & Feld, S. L. (1989). Democratic theory and the public interest: Condorcet and Rousseau revisited. *American Political Science Review*, *83*(4), 1317-1340.

- Flores-Rodríguez, C., & Martín-Sánchez, M. (2024). THE LIBERAL FOUNDATION OF WESTERN DEMOCRATIC EDUCATION: THE PEDAGOGICAL LEGACY OF LOCKE AND ROUSSEAU. *RevistaHistória da Educação*, *28*.

- Florida, A. (2018). The origins of the deliberative turn. *The Oxford handbook of deliberative democracy*, 35-54.

- Johnston, S. (1999). *Encountering tragedy: Rousseau and the project of democratic order*. Cornell University Press.

- Karimi, S. (2021). Public Administration and Democracy: The Virtue and Limit of Participatory Democracy as a Democratic Innovation. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, *15*, 493.

- Khawas K., Daripa, S.; Kumari, P. &Kuila, B. K. (2018). Electrochemical and Electronic Properties of Transparent Coating from Highly Solution Processable Graphene Using Block Copolymer Supramolecular Assembly: Application toward Metal Ion Sensing and Resistive Switching Memory. *ACS Omega*, *3*, 7106- 7116.

- Khawas K., Daripa, S.; Kumari, P., Bera, M. K., Malik, S.&Kuila, B. K. (2019).Simple Synthesis of End Functionalized Regioregular Poly(3-Hexyl thiophene) by Catalytic-Initiated Kumada Catalyst Transfer Polymerization. *Journal of Polymer Science, Part A: Polymer Chemistry*, *57*, 945- 951.

- Khawas, K., Daripa, S. Kumari, P., Das, S., Dey, R. K. &Kuila, B. K. (2019). Highly Water-Soluble Rod–Coil Conjugated Block Copolymer for Efficient Humidity Sensor. *Macromol. Chem. Phys,* 220, 1900013 (1-12).

- Khawas, K., Kumari, P., Daripa, S.,Oraon, R. &Kuila, B. K. (2017). Hierarchical Polyaniline-MnO_2-Reduced Graphene Oxide Ternary Nanostructures with Whiskers-Like Polyaniline for Supercapacitor Application.*Chemistry Select*, 1*,* 1 –8.

- Kumari, M & Biswas, S. (2023) A Qualitative Study on the Globalization of Higher Education: Trends and Implications. *Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal (GIMRJ).* 2023. 11(1). 42-51.

- Kumari, M & Biswas, S. (2023.) Sustainable Strategies for Digital transformation in Higher Education: A Global Perspective. *Gurukul International Multidisciplinary Research Journal (GIMRJ).* 11(3/2). 2023. 50-61.

- Kumari, P., Khawas, K., Bera, M. K., Hazra, S., Malik, S. &Kuila, B. K. (2017). Enhanced Charge Carrier Mobility andTailored Luminescence of n-Type OrganicSemiconductor through Block Copolymer Supramolecular Assembly. *Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics,* 218, 1600508.

- Kumari, P., Khawas, K., Hazra, S. Kuila, B. K. (2016). Poly (3-hexyl thiophene)-b-Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide): synthesis and its composition dependent structural, solubility, thermo responsive, electrochemical and electronic properties. *Journal of Polymer Science, Part A: Polymer Chemistry*, 54, 1785-1794.

- Kumari, P., Khawas, K., Nandy, S. &Kuila, B. K. (2016). A supramolecular approach to Polyanilinegraphenenanohybrid with three dimensional pillar structures for high performing electrochemical supercapacitor applications. *ElectrochimicaActa*, 190, 596-604.

- Landemore, H. (2020). *Open democracy: Reinventing popular rule for the twenty-first century.* Princeton University Press.

- Manti, F. (2017). Good Government and Participatory Democracy A Model of Social Partnership. *PHILOSOPHY AND PUBLIC ISSUES*, 7(3), 123-156.

- Masciulli, J. (2017). Rousseau versus Instant Government: Democratic Participation in the Age of Telepolitics. In Democratic Theory and Technological Society (pp. 150-164*). Routledge.*

- Mintz, A. I. (2023). Rousseau on Democratic Education. *The Cambridge handbook of democratic education*, 45-61.

- Rascão, J. (2023). Debate on the Democracy of the Future, in the Digital Era (from Theory to Practice). *American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research*, 7(03), 06-54.

- Rogers, K. (2008). Participatory Democracy. In *Participatory Democracy, Science and Technology: An Exploration in the Philosophy of Science* (pp. 111-142). London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.

- Rousseau, H., Rolland, R., & Rossi, P. (1975). *Rousseau*. Ballantine Books.

- Rousseau, J. J. (1861). *The confessions of Jean Jacques Rousseau, transl.*

- Rousseau, J. J. (1985). *The government of Poland*. Hackett Publishing.

- Rousseau, J. J. (1992). *The reveries of the solitary walker*. Hackett Publishing.

- Rousseau, J. J. (2012). *Discourse on the Origin and Foundations of Inequality among Men*. Newcomb Livraria Press.

- Rousseau, J. J. (2013). *A discourse on political economy* (pp. 117-153). Stonewell Press.

- Rousseau, J. J. (2016). The social contract. In *Democracy: A Reader* (pp. 43-51). Columbia University Press.

- Rousseau, J. J. (2017). *The social contract and the first and second discourses*. Yale University Press.

- Rousseau, J. J., Masters, R. D., & Kelly, C. (1997). *Rousseau Dialogues*. UPNE.

- Rousseau, W. (2003). Participation and Inclusion in the Extensive Republic. In *Civic Republicanism* (pp. 85-118). Routledge.

- Sah, S, K & Biswas, S. (2022). Learning Difficulties and Earner Diversity in Early Childhood Care & Education. *International Journal of Humanities, Engineering, Science and Management (IJHESM)*. 3(1). 203-2012.

- Salzborn, S. (2017). The Will of the People?: Carl Schmitt and Jean-Jacques Rousseau on a Key Question in Democratic Theory. *Democratic Theory*, *4*(1), 11-34.

- Silvestrini, G. (2016). Neither Ancient nor Modern: Rousseau's Theory of Democracy. In *The Ashgate Research Companion to the Politics of Democratization in Europe* (pp. 55-73). Routledge.

- Soëtard, M. (1994). Jean-Jacques Rousseau. *education (Paris, UNESCO: International Bureau of Education)*, *24*(3/4), 423-38.

- Spector, C. (2024). 12 Rousseau's Case against Democracy. *Cambridge Companion to Rousseau's Social Contract*, 252.

- Tourbier, M. (2024). *The Council of Europe's Framework of Competences for Democratic Culture: Hope for democracy or an allusive Utopia?* (Doctoral dissertation, Durham University).

- Verli, D. (2016). *The Role of Ancient Liberty in Rousseau's Democratic Vision*. University of Toronto (Canada).

- Vick, J. (2015). Participatory versus radical democracy in the 21st century: Carole Pateman, Jacques Rancière, and Sheldon Wolin. *New political science*, *37*(2), 204-223.

- Viroli, M. (1988). *Jean-Jacques Rousseau and the'well-ordered society'*. Cambridge University Press.

- Vitale, D. (2006). Between deliberative and participatory democracy: A contribution on Habermas. *Philosophy & social criticism*, *32*(6), 739-766.

- Zittel, T. (2006). Participatory democracy and political participation. In *Participatory democracy and political participation* (pp. 25-44). Routledge.