



## Role of Social Media as a Real Virus: A Journey from Misinformation to Disinformation

Dr. Abhishek Srivastava

Associate Professor, Faculty of Management Studies, Gopal Narayan Singh University, Rohtas

[inbox.abhishek@gmail.com](mailto:inbox.abhishek@gmail.com)

### Abstract

*This paper explores the metaphorical concept of social media functioning as a “virus” in society, particularly focusing on its role in spreading misinformation and disinformation. It delves into the mechanisms of how false information proliferates on social media platforms, the impact of such information on various societal sectors, and strategies to mitigate these effects. This journey from misinformation to disinformation involves several stages and mechanisms, facilitated by the pervasive and instantaneous nature of social media platforms.*

**Keywords:** *Information Age, Misinformation, Disinformation, Transparent Social Media, Controlled News.*

### Introduction:

In today’s digital age, social media has revolutionized the way we communicate, access information, and interact with the world. Platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok have become integral parts of our daily lives, offering unprecedented connectivity and information dissemination capabilities. However, this immense power also comes with significant drawbacks. One of the most pressing issues is the rapid spread of misinformation and disinformation, which can be likened to the spread of a virus.

The analogy of social media as a “real virus” effectively captures its potential to propagate false information swiftly and widely, leading to various societal harms.

Unlike traditional media, where information dissemination is often slower and more controlled, social media allows anyone to publish and share content instantly, without the need for verification. This democratization



of information has its benefits, but it also makes it easier for inaccurate or deliberately misleading information to proliferate.

Misinformation refers to false or inaccurate information spread without harmful intent. It can arise from misunderstandings, misinterpretations, or simply outdated data being recirculated. On the other hand, disinformation is deliberately misleading or biased information, created with the intent to deceive and cause harm. Both forms of false information can spread like wildfire on social media, thanks to the platforms' algorithms designed to maximize user engagement.

The journey from misinformation to disinformation involves several stages. It often begins with the unintentional spread of inaccurate information. This misinformation can be picked up and manipulated by malicious actors who create disinformation to achieve specific goals, such as political gain, financial profit, or social disruption. Social media platforms, with their echo chambers and algorithms that favour sensational content, further amplify these false narratives.



The impact of this “viral” spread of misinformation and disinformation is profound. It affects public health, as seen during the COVID-19 pandemic when false information about treatments and vaccines led to harmful behaviours and vaccine hesitancy. It undermines political stability, with disinformation campaigns influencing elections and inciting violence. It erodes social cohesion, creating divisions and increasing distrust in institutions.

However, to combat this issue, a multifaceted approach is necessary. This includes fact-checking initiatives, algorithmic changes by social media companies, enhancing public media literacy, and regulatory measures to hold platforms accountable. By understanding and addressing the mechanisms through which false information spreads, society can better protect itself from the detrimental effects of this modern “virus.”

### **Defining Misinformation and Disinformation:**

In the context of social media, understanding the distinctions between misinformation and disinformation is crucial for addressing their impacts effectively.

#### **Misinformation**

Misinformation refers to false or inaccurate information that is spread without any intention to deceive. This type of information can originate from various sources and for various reasons:

- **Errors in Reporting:** Mistakes made by journalists, bloggers, or individuals when sharing news or information.
- **Misinterpretations:** Incorrect understandings of events, data, or scientific findings that are then communicated to others.
- **Outdated Information:** Information that was once accurate but has since become obsolete, yet continues to circulate.
- **Personal Anecdotes:** Stories or experiences shared without verification, which may be accepted as fact by others.

The spread of misinformation is often unintentional and can stem from a genuine belief in the accuracy of the information being shared. For example, during a health crisis, people might share incorrect remedies or prevention tips, believing they are helping others.

### **Disinformation:**

Disinformation is deliberately false or misleading information created and disseminated with the intent to deceive, manipulate, or cause harm. Unlike misinformation, disinformation is purposefully crafted to mislead audiences and achieve specific goals:

- **Political Agendas:** Governments, political parties, or other groups may spread disinformation to influence public opinion, sway elections, or undermine opponents.
- **Financial Gains:** Fraudulent schemes and scams often rely on disinformation to deceive people into parting with their money.
- **Ideological Warfare:** Groups or individuals may use disinformation to promote specific ideologies, create social unrest, or incite violence.
- **Propaganda:** State or non-state actors may disseminate disinformation as part of a broader strategy to control narratives and shape perceptions.

Disinformation campaigns are often sophisticated and well-organized, employing various tactics to maximize their impact. These tactics include the use of bots and trolls to amplify messages, the creation of fake news websites, and the strategic release of misleading information to coincide with significant events.

### **Differences in Spread and Impact:**

While both misinformation and disinformation can spread rapidly on social media, their impacts can vary significantly:

- Misinformation tends to spread organically through user interactions, often exacerbated by social media algorithms that favour engaging content. It can lead to confusion and misinformed decisions, particularly in areas like public health and safety.
- Disinformation is usually part of a coordinated effort to achieve a specific outcome. Its spread can be amplified by malicious actors using automated systems, paid advertisements, or coordinated networks of fake accounts. The consequences of disinformation are often more severe, including political instability, social polarization, and erosion of trust in institutions.

In order to create successful tactics to counter the spread of misinformation and disinformation, it is vital to understand the distinctions between the two. Everyone from lawmakers to tech corporations to the general public can do their part to combat the spread of misinformation in the digital era by understanding the motivations behind the information and the channels it uses to spread.

### **The Journey from Misinformation to Disinformation:**

**1. Inception of Misinformation:** Accidental Errors: Misinformation often starts innocuously through misunderstandings, misreporting, or outdated information.

Amplification by Social Media: Platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram facilitate the rapid sharing and viral spread of this information.

**2. Transition to Disinformation:** Manipulation by Malicious Actors: Individuals or groups exploit misinformation to create disinformation, aiming to manipulate public opinion and achieve specific agendas.

Echo Chambers and Confirmation Bias: Social media algorithms create echo chambers where users predominantly encounter information that confirms their preexisting beliefs, making it easier for disinformation to take root.

**3. Propagation Mechanisms:** Bots and Trolls: Automated and fake accounts amplify disinformation, making false narratives appear popular and credible.

Memes and Infographics: Visual content simplifies complex issues, making disinformation seem straightforward and more believable.

### **Impact of Misinformation on Society**

**1. Public Health:** During health crises like the COVID-19 pandemic, misinformation about treatments, vaccines, and the nature of the virus led to harmful behaviours and vaccine hesitancy, exacerbating the public health crisis.

**2. Political Stability:** Disinformation campaigns can undermine democratic processes, influence elections, and incite violence. False information about election fraud, for instance, has led to significant civil unrest.

**3. Social Cohesion:** The spread of false information polarizes communities, increases distrust in institutions, and creates societal divisions, weakening the fabric of society.

### **Strategies to Combat the Spread of Misinformation and Disinformation**

- **Fact-Checking Initiatives:** Independent fact-checking organizations are crucial in identifying and debunking false information. Social media platforms have begun integrating fact-checking warnings and labels.
- **Algorithmic Changes:** Social media companies are adjusting algorithms to reduce the spread of false information and promote credible sources.
- **Media Literacy:** Educating the public on critical evaluation of information sources is essential. Enhanced media literacy can empower users to discern misinformation from credible content.
- **Regulatory Measures:** Governments and international bodies are exploring regulations to hold social media platforms accountable for the spread of disinformation while balancing free speech concerns.

### **New Regulations by the Government of India:**

“The Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) now has unrestricted authority to establish a “fact check unit” to identify false or misleading online content, thanks to a recent amendment to the Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021, introduced by the Indian government”.

“Should social media intermediaries fail to stop users from storing or disseminating content that has been identified, their “safe harbour” immunity may be revoked, potentially subjecting them to criminal punishment. This might have a detrimental effect on India’s civil freedoms and freedom of speech”.

The new rule gives the Union government the authority to restrict information by designating certain material as fake and implementing censorship through middlemen. This is in opposition to the constitution’s guarantees of free expression and open information.

**Government Notifies “Amendments to the Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics code) rules, 2021 for an Open, Safe & Trusted and Accountable Internet”, its highlights are as follows:**

- In order to determine who originally posted any given piece of content, social media sites had to use technical methods, which put users' privacy at danger.
- The government now has broad censorship powers because to revisions passed in April 2023 that allow it to determine whether information is untrue and force intermediaries to remove postings that are considered phoney or misleading.
- The new rules endanger civil rights and freedom of expression in India as they limit speech by executive order instead of laws.
- The right to freedom of speech and expression is guaranteed to all citizens of India by Article 19(1)(a) of the constitution. This right may only be curbed via lawful limits that are reasonable and based on the reasons outlined in Article 19 (2).
- The modifications to the IT Rules do not remove the restrictions they impose, and the dissemination of false information and news cannot be used as a basis to curtail free expression.
- Social media intermediaries are compelled to act based on the Fact Check Unit's open-ended and undefined conclusions, which give them endless ability to determine what information is incorrect.

### Findings:

In the digital age, the dissemination of false information and fake news is a serious issue. Serious repercussions may ensue for people, groups, and even entire countries. The Indian government has amended the IT Rules in an effort to remedy this problem. Concerns have been voiced, meanwhile, over how these changes may affect the right to free speech and expression.

Developing successful tactics to stop the spread of misinformation requires an understanding of the distinctions between misinformation and disinformation. Policymakers, technology firms, and the general public can more effectively handle the problems presented by misleading information in the digital age, particularly on social media platforms, by understanding the purpose of the material and the channels via which it is shared.

- **Enhance Collaboration:** Foster collaboration between social media companies, governments, and fact-checking organizations to address the spread of false information.
- **Promote Transparency:** Encourage social media platforms to be transparent about their algorithms and data on misinformation spread.
- **Support Research:** Invest in research to understand the mechanisms of misinformation and disinformation spread and develop effective countermeasures.
- **Increase Public Awareness:** Launch public awareness campaigns to educate users about the importance of verifying information and the dangers of spreading false information.

By taking these steps, we can begin to treat social media misinformation and disinformation as we would a virus, containing and ultimately eradicating its harmful effects on society.

### Conclusion:

The metaphor of social media as a virus aptly captures its potential to spread misinformation and disinformation rapidly and widely. Understanding these dynamics and their societal impacts is crucial for developing effective strategies to combat them. Through collaborative efforts among technology companies, regulatory bodies, and the public, we can mitigate the adverse effects of false information in our increasingly digital world.



## References:

- Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social media and fake news in the 2016 election. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31(2), 211-236. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.2.211>
- Del Vicario, M., Bessi, A., Zollo, F., et al. (2016). Fake news on social media: People believe what they want to believe when it makes no sense at all. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 37825. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep37825>
- Howard, P. N., & Hussain, M. M. (2011). The role of social media in the Arab Spring. *International Journal of Communication*, 5, 13. <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/1246>
- Srivastava, A., & Pandey, K. M. (2012). Social media marketing: an impeccable approach to e-commerce. *Management insight*, 8(2), 99-105.
- Jack, C. (2017). Understanding and overcoming disinformation on social media. Data & Society Research Institute. [https://datasociety.net/pubs/ia/DataAndSociety\\_Understanding\\_Overcoming\\_Disinfo.pdf](https://datasociety.net/pubs/ia/DataAndSociety_Understanding_Overcoming_Disinfo.pdf)
- Lazer, D. M. J., Baum, M. A., Benkler, Y., et al. (2018). Misinformation, disinformation, and fake news: Call for papers for a special issue of information systems research. *Information Systems Research*, 29(2), 397-400. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2018.0793>
- Marwick, A. E., & Lewis, R. (2017). Disinformation and social media. Data & Society Research Institute. [https://datasociety.net/pubs/ia/Disinformation\\_and\\_Social\\_Media.pdf](https://datasociety.net/pubs/ia/Disinformation_and_Social_Media.pdf)
- Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. *Science*, 359(6380), 1146-1151. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9559>
- Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policymaking. Council of Europe. <https://rm.coe.int/information-disorder-toward-an-interdisciplinary-framework-for-researc/168076277c>
- Desai, B. (2021). Social media, misinformation and Covid-19. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT)*, 12(2), 1941-1954.
- Shahbazi, M., & Bunker, D. (2024). Social media trust: Fighting misinformation in the time of crisis. *International Journal of Information Management*, 77, 102780.
- Bhardwaj, A., & Kumar, V. (2023). Social Media as an Enabler to Combat Misinformation. In *Pandemics in the Age of Social Media* (pp. 1-15). Routledge.
- Diaz Ruiz, C., & Nilsson, T. (2023). Disinformation and echo chambers: how disinformation circulates on social media through identity-driven controversies. *Journal of public policy & marketing*, 42(1), 18-35.
- Freiling, I., Krause, N. M., Scheufele, D. A., & Brossard, D. (2023). Believing and sharing misinformation, fact-checks, and accurate information on social media: The role of anxiety during COVID-19. *New media & society*, 25(1), 141-162.
- Unlu, A., Truong, S., Tammi, T., & Lohiniva, A. L. (2023). Exploring political mistrust in pandemic risk communication: mixed-method study using social media data analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25, e50199.

- Orhan, A. (2023). Fake news detection on social media: the predictive role of university students' critical thinking dispositions and new media literacy. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10(1), 29.
- Lazer, D. M. J., Baum, M. A., Grinberg, N., et al. (2018). The science of fake news. *Science*, 359(6380), 1094-1096. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao2998>
- Lalani, H. S., DiResta, R., Baron, R. J., & Scales, D. (2023). Addressing viral medical rumors and false or misleading information. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 176(8), 1113-1120.
- Oladokun, B. D., Aruwa, J. E., Ottah, G. A., & Ajani, Y. A. (2024). Misinformation and Disinformation in the Era of Social Media: The Need for Fact-Checking Skills. *Journal of Information and Knowledge*, 1-7.
- Kondamudi, M. R., Sahoo, S. R., Chouhan, L., & Yadav, N. (2023). A comprehensive survey of fake news in social networks: Attributes, features, and detection approaches. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*, 35(6), 101571.
- Lelisho, M. E., Pandey, D., Alemu, B. D., Pandey, B. K., & Tareke, S. A. (2023). The negative impact of social media during COVID-19 pandemic. *Trends in Psychology*, 31(1), 123-142.
- Westberry, C., Palmer, X. L., & Potter, L. (2023, October). Social Media and Health Misinformation: A Literature Review. In *Proceedings of the Future Technologies Conference* (pp. 404-418). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Govindankutty, S., & Gopalan, S. P. (2023). Modelling Rumor Spread and Influencer Impact on Social Networks. *IEEE Access*.

**Citation:** Srivastava,A,(2024) “Role of Social Media as a Real Virus: A Journey from Misinformation to Disinformation” *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-2, Issue-4 May-2024.