



The Role of Survey Design in Educational Research: Enhancing Data Quality and Reliability

Ramesh Adhikari¹ & Dr. Vipin Sharma²

1. Research Scholar, Sikkim Professional University
2. Department of Foreign Languages, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia, vksharma@jazanu.edu.sa

Abstract:

This study investigates the impact of survey design on quantitative research, specifically within educational contexts. It aims to assess how well surveys capture and analyze data on participants' attitudes, experiences, and opinions regarding specific research phenomena. Using a descriptive, data-driven approach, the research highlights the need for well-constructed surveys with clear, unbiased questions that align with research goals to ensure data relevance and reliability. Effective survey design is essential for obtaining reliable data. It is useful for trend analysis, comparative studies, and predictive insights, especially in education, due to its cost-effectiveness and capacity to handle large samples. However, the study also notes limitations, including potential sampling and response biases, low response rates, and question clarity issues. To mitigate these challenges, the research advocates for rigorous survey design practices, such as pilot testing and validation, to enhance reliability and validity. Properly designed surveys thus offer valuable insights into educational trends.

Keywords: Attitudes, Bias, Data, Design, Method, Reliability, Surveys, Validity,

Introduction:

Surveys are a cornerstone of quantitative research in education, providing valuable insights into participants' attitudes, experiences, and opinions. Quantitative methodologies, in educational research, often rely on surveys as a primary tool for data collection, offering researchers a window into the diverse perspectives of participants. This investigation, through careful analysis and reasoned discourse, examines the fundamental impact of survey structure and composition on the credibility and consistency of findings in educational research.

Quantitative Research Design:

Educational research relies heavily on surveys to gather insights, yet the efficacy of these tools is often taken for granted. Quantitative research encompasses a range of methodologies designed to systematically examine social phenomena through the lens of numerical data. In addition, the quantitative methods provide generalizable results (Shekhar et al., 2018.); therefore, it necessitates the quantification and measurement of the subject under investigation and employs a descriptive, data-driven methodology. Survey participants, within this framework, are asked to articulate their perceived readiness regarding specific research

phenomena and to express their views on how these phenomena should be introduced or implemented. The descriptive model allows the researcher to formulate theories based on the analysis of collected data, exemplifying a data-driven approach to result interpretation. This methodology aligns with the principles outlined in recent literature on quantitative research methods (Poudel&Adhikari, 2024; Pathak, Baral&Adhikari, 2024). This approach enables researchers to capture participants' perspectives and readiness levels while simultaneously gathering their opinions on the ideal implementation of the phenomena being studied. By allowing theories to emerge from the data analysis, rather than testing preconceived hypotheses, this method provides a robust framework for understanding complex social issues through quantitative means.

In recent years, the rapid advancement of technology and shifting societal norms have profoundly impacted different aspects of daily life. Understanding these changes and their implications is crucial for policymakers and practitioners in various fields. The survey study aims to understand the respondents' opinions, experiences, and ideas on specific issues of each research study, which has become increasingly relevant due to specific developments of different studies (Gurung&Adhikari, 2024).

Designing a suitability survey for research involves carefully crafting questions and methodologies to ensure that the data collected is relevant and reliable. A well-designed suitability survey aligns with the research objectives and accurately measures the variables of interest. It includes straightforward, unbiased questions directly related to the research hypothesis, ensuring the responses provide meaningful insights (Adhikari&Adhikari, 2024). For instance, Dillman et al. (2014) emphasise the importance of designing surveys that minimise respondent burden while maximizing data quality through pilot testing and cognitive interviews. The choice of survey mode (e.g., online, telephone, face-to-face) should also be tailored to the target population to enhance response rates and data accuracy. Effective survey design improves the validity of the research findings and facilitates the analysis and interpretation of the results, thereby contributing to more robust and actionable conclusions (Dillman et al., 2014).

Roles of the Survey Study Design in a Research Study:

Survey study design is pivotal in educational research because it efficiently collects data from many participants. This methodology is particularly effective for capturing trends, attitudes, and opinions within educational contexts. Surveys allow researchers to gather data quickly and cost-effectively, which is crucial when dealing with diverse populations or large samples (Cohen, Manion, & Morrison, 2018). They offer valuable descriptive insights by providing snapshots of current trends, practices, and opinions in educational settings, thus summarising characteristics of various populations or phenomena (Fraenkel, Wallen, & Hyun, 2019). Additionally, surveys facilitate correlation analysis, enabling researchers to explore relationships between variables, such as the impact of teaching methods on student outcomes or the link between student attitudes and academic performance (Ary, et al, 2019). They also support comparative studies by allowing researchers to contrast different groups within the educational system, such as comparing student experiences across educational levels or evaluating the effectiveness of different teaching strategies (Creswell & Creswell, 2017). Furthermore, surveys can be designed for predictive analysis, helping researchers anticipate future trends or outcomes based on current data, such as predicting the effects of educational policy changes on student achievement (Fink, 2017). Lastly, surveys are instrumental in gathering feedback from various stakeholders, including students, teachers, parents, and administrators, which can inform educational decision-making and policy development (Babbie, 2021).

Strengths of the Survey:

Survey studies offer several notable advantages, making them popular for researchers. Firstly, they are cost-effective, mainly when conducted online, reducing financial and logistical burdens while reaching a broad audience (De Leeuw, Hox & Dillman, 2008). Surveys also allow for data collection from large sample sizes,

enhancing the representativeness and generalizability of the results (Fowler, 2014; Poudel & Adhikari, 2024; Sharma, 2022, 2024). Their efficiency is evident in the ability to gather information from many participants simultaneously, streamlining the data collection process (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). Survey standardisation ensures consistency in responses, improving the data's reliability by minimising variability and bias (Groves et al., 2009; Gurung, Piya & Adhikari., 2024). Additionally, surveys are flexible and can collect quantitative and qualitative data, thus addressing diverse research questions (Dillman, Smyth, & Christian, 2014). They also offer anonymity, leading to more honest responses, especially on sensitive topics (Gurung & Adhikari, 2023; Piya & Adhikari, 2022).

The geographical reach of online and mail surveys allows researchers to obtain different perspectives from various locations (Couper, 2008). Finally, modern survey tools facilitate efficient data analysis, enabling the identification of trends and patterns with advanced statistical techniques (Bryman, 2016), which collectively contribute to the effectiveness of survey studies in providing valuable insights based on empirical evidence (Adhikari & Adhikari, 2022).

Limitations of the Survey Design:

Surveys, while valuable, have several limitations. One significant issue is sampling bias, which arises when the sample is not representative of the population, often due to non-random sampling methods or low response rates from certain demographic groups. This method can impact the generalizability of the results (Groves et al., 2009). Another concern is response bias, where respondents might give socially desirable answers rather than their actual opinions or behaviours, skewing the results and reducing data accuracy (Tourangeau & Yan, 2007). Surveys, particularly those with closed-ended questions, may also lack depth, capturing only a superficial view of respondents' experiences and opinions (Dillman et al., 2014). Additionally, respondents might misinterpret or fail to understand survey questions, leading to inaccurate or unreliable answers and highlighting the need for precise wording (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). Low response rates, especially in online or mail surveys, can limit data representativeness and introduce nonresponse bias (Couper, 2008). Unlike experimental methods, surveys do not allow for control over the data collection environment, which can lead to variability in responses that are hard to account for (Fowler, 2014). Data privacy concerns are also prominent, as handling personal data can raise issues if confidentiality assurances or data security measures are inadequate (Bryman, 2016). Finally, lengthy or complex surveys can lead to respondent fatigue, decreasing engagement and the quality of responses as the survey progresses (De Leeuw, Hox, & Dillman, 2008).

In the light of the above introduction, we intend to achieve the following research objectives:

- a. To evaluate the effectiveness of survey design in capturing and analyzing participants' attitudes, experiences, and opinions within educational research contexts.
- b. To identify and assess the key factors in survey construction that contribute to data relevance and reliability, with a focus on question clarity, lack of bias, and alignment with research goals.
- c. To investigate methods to mitigate common survey limitations (such as sampling bias, low response rates, and question clarity issues) through rigorous design practices like pilot testing and validation.

These objectives focus on survey design impact, data quality, and overcoming challenges in quantitative educational research. They encompass the main themes of assessing survey effectiveness, improving design practices, and addressing limitations to enhance overall research quality. In addition, this study delves into the critical relationship between survey design and data quality, exploring how seemingly minor choices in questionnaire construction can significantly impact research outcomes. By examining the nuances of survey methodology in educational contexts, we aim to uncover best practices that enhance data reliability and relevance. The findings underscore the importance of thoughtful survey design in capturing the true attitudes,

experiences, and opinions of participants, ultimately contributing to more robust and actionable educational research.

Literature of the Survey Designs:

Survey methodology has been a cornerstone of academic research, offering both advantages and challenges in data collection. The field has been extensively studied by numerous scholars, each contributing valuable insights into survey design and implementation. Groves et al. (2009) provide a comprehensive foundation for understanding survey methodology in their seminal work. They emphasize the critical nature of survey design principles, sampling techniques, and data collection methods in ensuring data quality and reliability. Their research underscores the importance of crafting surveys that minimize bias while maximizing the accuracy of responses.

Building on this foundation, Dillman, Smyth, and Christian (2014) delve into the specifics of various survey modes, including Internet, phone, mail, and mixed-mode approaches. Their work is particularly relevant in today's digital age, offering strategies to optimize survey design across different platforms and highlighting how the mode of administration can impact both response rates and data quality. In addition, Fowler (2014) contributes to the field by addressing the multifaceted challenges in survey research. His work provides valuable insights into designing surveys that yield reliable and valid data, covering aspects from initial design to sampling and data analysis.

Tourangeau and Yan (2007) have explored the cognitive aspects of survey responses in depth. Their research illuminates how respondents interpret questions and how the design of questions can significantly impact response accuracy. This work emphasizes the crucial role of precise question wording in survey design. In the realm of web-based surveys, Couper (2008) offers specialized guidance. His research provides practical guidelines for enhancing respondent engagement and data quality in online surveys, addressing the unique challenges presented by web-based data collection methods. Lastly, Creswell and Creswell (2018) offer a broader perspective on research design, situating survey research within the larger context of research methodologies. Their work provides a comprehensive framework for integrating survey research with other research strategies, offering a holistic view of the research process. This body of literature collectively emphasizes the complexity and importance of effective survey design in academic research, highlighting the need for careful consideration of various factors to ensure the collection of high-quality, reliable data.

Methods:

Different Designs of the Survey Study:

Cross-sectional surveys are designed to collect data at a single point in time from a population or sample, providing a valuable snapshot for assessing the prevalence of characteristics, behaviours, or conditions within a population (Fink, 2017). Their strengths include relatively quick and cost-effective efficiency and the ability to offer a snapshot of the population at a specific moment, which helps identify trends and patterns. However, their limitations include an inability to establish causality due to the lack of temporal sequencing and an inability to capture changes over time (Bryman, 2016; Adhikari & Timsina, 2024).

In contrast, longitudinal surveys repeatedly collect data from the same subjects, allowing researchers to track changes and developments over time (Menard, 2002). Due to the continuous data collection, these surveys are advantageous for temporal analysis and a better understanding of causal relationships. Nonetheless, they can be more expensive and time-consuming and are susceptible to attrition, which can introduce bias if not correctly managed (Heckman, 2008).

Experimental surveys involve manipulating one or more variables to observe their effects, often incorporating randomised controlled trials (RCTs) to establish causal relationships (Campbell & Stanley,

1966). The primary strengths of experimental surveys are their strong ability to infer causality and provide high-level evidence of the effectiveness of interventions. However, they can be complex to design and implement, and their findings may not always be generalisable to non-experimental settings (Shadish, Cook, & Campbell, 2002).

Panel surveys, a subtype of longitudinal surveys, involve surveying the same individuals regularly, offering detailed insights into individual-level changes and dynamics (Adhikari, 2022). They provide rich data for analysing changes over time and understanding the influence of variable changes. The main drawbacks include their high cost and time requirements and the risk of panel attrition, which can affect the sample's representativeness (Kalton, 1983).

Critical Analysis of the Survey Study Design:

Survey research design is a prevalent methodology used across various fields, such as social sciences, marketing, and health research, to collect and analyse participant data (Mishra & Sharma, 2023). Its key strengths include cost-effectiveness and efficiency, as surveys are often less expensive and time-consuming than methods like experiments or longitudinal studies, allowing for rapid data collection from large populations (Dillman, Smyth, & Christian, 2014). Surveys are also versatile and flexible, adaptable to various topics, and administered through multiple online, telephone, and face-to-face interactions (Fink, 2017, Sharma, 2018).

Using standardised questions enhances data reliability and facilitates statistical analysis across different groups (Bryman, 2016). However, survey research also has notable weaknesses. Sampling bias can skew results if certain groups are underrepresented or overrepresented, with online surveys potentially excluding individuals without internet access (Couper, 2008). Response bias, including social desirability bias, can lead participants to provide socially acceptable answers rather than genuine opinions, compromising validity (Tourangeau & Yan, 2007).

Surveys can also lack depth in capturing nuanced responses compared to qualitative methods, resulting in less rich data (Bradburn, 2000, Shakhar et.al., 2018, Holbah & Sharma, 2022). Cross-sectional surveys are limited by their inability to track changes over time or understand variable dynamics. However, longitudinal surveys, which address this issue, have challenges like higher costs and participant attrition (Bryman, 2016; Menard, 2002). From a critical perspective, ethical considerations such as ensuring informed consent and protecting participant privacy are crucial (American Psychological Association, 2017). Researchers must also maintain accuracy and honesty in data reporting to avoid manipulation (Merton, 1973). Overall, while survey research design provides valuable tools for data collection, it is essential to address its limitations related to sampling and response biases and the depth of information to enhance the reliability and validity of findings.

Characterizes the Best Survey Questionnaire:

A well-designed self-administered survey questionnaire is essential for collecting reliable and valid data. The most effective questionnaires exhibit several vital characteristics. They should use clear, straightforward language to avoid jargon and ensure respondents understand the questions as intended (Dillman, Smyth, & Christian, 2014). Additionally, the questionnaire should have a logical structure with coherent questions to reflect the survey's purpose, preventing confusion and aiding comprehension (Bryman, 2016). Questions must relate directly to the survey's objectives, avoiding irrelevant content to maintain respondent engagement and data accuracy (Fink, 2017). The questionnaire should also be concise yet comprehensive enough to cover necessary topics, avoiding excessive length that could lead to respondent fatigue (Couper, 2008). Response options should be balanced and exhaustive to reduce bias and accurately capture

respondents' views (Tourangeau & Yan, 2007), and appropriate scales should be used consistently throughout (Schuman & Presser, 1981).

The design should feature a clean, professional layout with adequate spacing and clear headings to enhance readability (Dillman, Smyth, & Christian, 2014), and questions should be logically grouped to minimise cognitive load (Bryman, 2016). Privacy and confidentiality are paramount, with assurances that responses will be kept confidential and anonymous wherever possible to reduce social desirability bias (American Psychological Association, 2017; Merton, 1973). Rigorous pretesting and validation through pilot studies and expert reviews are crucial to refining the questionnaire and ensuring it accurately measures the intended constructs (Bradburn, 2000; Schuman & Presser, 1981). Finally, the questionnaire should be accessible to all respondents, including those with disabilities, and provide clear instructions for completion (Couper, 2008; Fink, 2017). These characteristics collectively ensure that self-administered survey questionnaires effectively gather high-quality, reliable, and valid data.

Strengths and Weakness of the Survey Questionnaires:

A well-designed self-administered survey questionnaire is crucial for obtaining reliable and valid data, exhibiting several key characteristics that enhance its effectiveness. The questionnaire should use clear and straightforward language to avoid jargon and ensure respondents can understand and interpret the questions accurately (Dillman, Smyth, & Christian, 2014). It must have a logical structure with coherent questions to reflect the survey's purpose, preventing confusion and aiding comprehension (Bryman, 2016). Questions should directly relate to the survey's objectives, avoiding irrelevant content to maintain respondent engagement and data accuracy (Fink, 2017). Additionally, the questionnaire should be concise yet comprehensive, avoiding excessive length that could lead to respondent fatigue (Couper, 2008). Response options should be balanced and exhaustive to minimise bias and accurately capture respondents' views, and the scales used should be appropriate and consistent throughout (Tourangeau & Yan, 2007; Schuman & Presser, 1981).

The design should feature a clean, professional layout with adequate spacing and clear headings to enhance readability (Dillman, Smyth, & Christian, 2014), and questions should be logically grouped to minimise cognitive load (Bryman, 2016). Ensuring privacy and confidentiality is paramount, with assurances that responses will be kept confidential and anonymous where possible to reduce social desirability bias (American Psychological Association, 2017; Merton, 1973). Rigorous pretesting and validation through pilot studies and expert reviews are essential to refine the questionnaire and ensure it measures the intended constructs accurately (Bradburn, 2000; Schuman & Presser, 1981). Finally, the questionnaire should be accessible to all respondents, including those with disabilities, and provide clear instructions for completion (Couper, 2008; Fink, 2017). These characteristics collectively ensure that self-administered survey questionnaires effectively gather high-quality, reliable, and valid data.

Reliability and Validity of the Survey Study:

In quantitative research, reliability refers to the consistency and precision of the study's results (Cohen, Manion & Morrison 2007, p. 146). According to Golafshani (2015, p. 599), reliability is confirmed if the same conclusions are reached across multiple trials. The validity, conversely, pertains to the accuracy of the results and whether the survey questions accurately measure what they are intended to (Groves et al., 2009, p. 274). Specifically, construct validity ensures that the data effectively represent the measured constructs, which is essential for proper validation (Golafshani, 2015, p. 599; Wainer & Braun, 1988, p. 25).

The survey was developed using theoretical and qualitative insights from earlier thesis sections to ensure reliability and validity. To avoid misunderstandings and ensure clarity, the survey questions were pretested with feedback from an academic supervisor, a colleague, a board member, and a company secretary (Collins,

2003, p. 231). This pilot testing aimed to confirm that the questions were clear, relevant, and met methodological and ethical standards (Rea et al., 2014, p. 38). By integrating findings from the literature review and qualitative insights, the questionnaire is expected to effectively address all relevant aspects of the research questions and fulfil the criteria for reliability and validity.

Results, Discussion and Conclusion:

Results:

Quantitative Research and Survey Design: Quantitative research uses numerical data to systematically study social issues, often involving surveys to collect participants' opinions and experiences. Effective survey design ensures data relevance and reliability by crafting straightforward, unbiased questions aligned with research objectives. Surveys help capture trends, attitudes, and opinions and enable various analyses, including correlation, comparison, and prediction.

Advancements and Relevance: Technological advancements and societal shifts have heightened the relevance of surveys in understanding and addressing contemporary issues. Surveys provide valuable insights into these changes, making them essential for policymakers and practitioners.

Design Considerations: Designing a suitable survey involves careful question crafting and methodological alignment with research goals. Effective surveys use straightforward language, logical structure, and balanced response options to enhance clarity and data quality. Pilot testing and validation ensure that the survey accurately measures the intended constructs.

Strengths of Surveys: Surveys are cost-effective, capable of handling large samples, and provide standardisation that enhances reliability. They offer flexibility, anonymity, and the ability to gather quantitative and qualitative data, making them a versatile research tool.

Limitations of Surveys: Surveys face challenges such as sampling and response biases, potential lack of depth, and issues with question clarity and respondent fatigue. These limitations can impact the data's generalizability, accuracy, and richness.

Survey Design Literature: Literature on survey design highlights principles for minimising bias, optimising response rates, and ensuring data quality. Different survey designs, including cross-sectional, longitudinal, and experimental, each have specific strengths and limitations depending on the research objectives.

Reliability and Validity: Ensuring the reliability and validity of survey data is crucial. Reliability refers to consistency across trials, while validity pertains to the accuracy of measurements. Pilot testing and integrating theoretical insights help confirm that surveys meet these criteria.

Discussion:

Quantitative research applies numerical data to systematically study social issues, focusing on the measurement and quantification of the subject matter. The approach often involves using surveys to gather participants' opinions and experiences, forming a descriptive model to analyse and interpret data. The objective of this study was to understand the applicability of the survey study in educational research. Recent technological advancements and societal shifts have increased the relevance of understanding them. Effective survey design ensures that the data collected is relevant and reliable, involving straightforward, unbiased questions that align with research objectives. Surveys are valuable for capturing trends, attitudes, and opinions, enabling correlation and comparative analyses, predictive insights, and stakeholder feedback. Despite their strengths, such as cost-effectiveness and the ability to handle large sample sizes, surveys also have limitations, including sampling and response biases, potential lack of depth, and issues with question clarity and respondent fatigue.

Conclusion:

This study has underscored the critical importance of survey design in educational research, highlighting its significant impact on data quality, reliability, and overall research outcomes. The findings demonstrate that well-designed surveys with clear, unbiased questions aligned with research objectives are essential for capturing accurate and relevant data on participants' attitudes, experiences, and opinions. While surveys are cost-effective and capable of handling large samples, their effectiveness is influenced by sampling methods, question design, and response accuracy. In addition, the insights gained from surveys can significantly contribute to understanding trends and making informed decisions, provided that the design and execution of the survey are rigorously managed. The role of well-designed surveys in research will remain crucial since the field of education continues to evolve. We encourage future researchers to prioritize survey design as a fundamental aspect of their methodology, ensuring that their studies contribute meaningful and reliable data to the broader body of educational knowledge.

References:

- Adhikari, B.P & Adhikari, R. (2022). A review of an article based on the Mixed Methods Approach in Educational Sciences Regarding Teacher Retention Intention in the Asian Context. *The OCEM Journal of Management, Technology & Social Sciences*, 2(2), 147-177.
- Adhikari, R., & Timsina, T. P. (2024). An Educational Study Focused on the Application of Mixed Methods Approach as a Research Method. *The OCEM Journal of Management, Technology and Social Sciences*, 3(1), 94-109.
- American Psychological Association. (2017). *Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct*. <https://www.apa.org/ethics/code>
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Irvine, C. K. S., & Walker, D. A. (2019). *Introduction to Research in Education*. Cengage Learning.
- Babbie, E. (2021). *The Practice of Social Research*. Cengage Learning.
- Baral, E., Gurung, R., & Adhikari, B. P. (2024). Unveiling Motivational Factors Driving Nepali Students to Pursue Higher Education Abroad. *OCEM Journal of Management, Technology & Social Sciences*, 3(1), 18-25. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ocemjmtss.v3i1.62221>
- Bradburn, N. M. (2000). *The science of self-report: Implications for research and practice*. In S. L. Christian & J. E. Smith (Eds.), *Public opinion and survey research* (pp. 211–228). Wiley.
- Bryman, A. (2016). *Social Research Methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Campbell, D. T., & Stanley, J. C. (1966). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research Methods in Education*. Routledge.
- Collins, D. (2003). Pretesting survey instruments: An overview of cognitive methods. *Quality of Life Research*, 12(3), 229–238.
- Couper, M. P. (2008). *Designing effective web surveys*. Cambridge University Press.

- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- De Leeuw, E. D., Hox, J. J., & Dillman, D. A. (2008). *International Handbook of Survey Methodology*. Routledge.
- Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2014). *Internet, phone, mail, and mixed-mode surveys: The tailored design method* (4th ed.). Wiley.
- Fink, A. (2017). *How to conduct surveys: A step-by-step guide* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Fowler, F. J. (2014). *Survey Research Methods* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2019). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Golafshani, N. (2015). Understanding Reliability and Validity in Qualitative Research. *The Qualitative Report*, 8(4), 597–606.
- Gurung, S., Piya, S. and Adhikari, B.P. (2024). Investigating the Factors Influencing Women's Entrepreneurship in Nawalparasi District, Nepal. *The OCEM Journal of Management, Technology and Social Sciences*, 3(1), 136-147.
- Heckman, J. J. (2008). *The economics and econometrics of longitudinal data*. In J. J. Heckman & E. Vytlacil (Eds.), *Handbook of econometrics* (Vol. 6, pp. 4593–4648). Elsevier.
- Holbah, A.W., & Sharma, V. (2022). Online Language Assessment the Exception, Not the Rule: For Inclusive Language Learning. *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ) Special Issue on CALL (8)*, 299-313. <https://dx.doi.org/10.24093/awej/call8.20>
- Kalton, G. (1983). *Introduction to survey sampling*. Sage Publications.
- Menard, S. (2002). *Longitudinal research* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Merton, R. K. (1973). *The sociology of science: Theoretical and empirical investigations*. University of Chicago Press.
- Mishra, S., & Sharma, V. (2023). Motivation to Work of Teacher Educators at Elementary Level of Teacher Education Institution. *International Journal of Social Learning*, 4(1), 63–74.
<https://doi.org/10.47134/ijsl.v4i1.189>
- Pathak, S., Baral, A., & Adhikari, B. P. (2024). Factors Influencing Undergraduate Students' Motivation for Junk Food. *OCEM Journal of Management Technology & Social Sciences*, 3(1), 5–17.
<https://doi.org/10.3126/ocemjmtss.v3i1.62208>.
- Piya, S. & Adhikari, B.P (2022). Relationship Between Internet Scrolling Habit and Social Media Marketing *The OCEM Journal of Management, Technology & Social Sciences*, 2(2), 81-102.
- Poudel, M., & Adhikari, B. P. (2024). The Impact of Depression on Undergraduate Students' Educational Performance: A Case of Nawalparasi and Chitwan Districts. *OCEM Journal of Management Technology & Social Sciences*, 3(1), 72–84. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ocemjmtss.v3i1.62227>.

- Schuman, H., & Presser, S. (1981). *Questions and answers in attitude surveys: Experiments on question form, wording, and context*. Academic Press.
- Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalised causal inference*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Sharma, V. (2018). Saudi Students' Perspective on Social Media Usage to Promote EFL Learning. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation*, 2(1), 117-127.
<https://doi.org/10.32996/ijllt.2019.2.1.17>
- Sharma, V. (2022). Letting the Struggling Saudi EFL Readers Take Lead: How Teachers Transform English Language Instruction. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 13(3), 533-540.
<https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.1303.09>
- Sharma, V.K., Begam, S. (2024). Academic researchers, come on! Integrate social media in Pedagogy. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 13(5), 3284-3292.
<https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v13i5.29651> □ 3284
- Shekhar, P., Prince, M., Finelli, C., Demonbrun, M., & Waters, C. (2018). Integrating quantitative and qualitative research methods to examine student resistance to active learning. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 44(1-2), 6–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03043797.2018.1438988>
- Tourangeau, R., & Yan, T. (2007). *Sensitive Questions in Surveys*. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133(5), 859-883.
- Wainer, H., & Braun, H. I. (1988). *Test Validity*. London, Routledge.

Citation: Adhikari. R. & Sharma. Dr. V., (2024) “The Role of Survey Design in Educational Research: Enhancing Data Quality and Reliability”, *Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Development (BIJMRD)*, Vol-2, Issue-8, September-2024.